

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIALS AND APPEAL BOARD

SL CORPORATION
Petitioner,

v.

ADAPTIVE HEADLAMP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,241,034
Case IPR No.: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,241,034 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-80, 42.100 *et seq.***

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034 (“the ‘034 Patent”), entitled “Automatic Directional Control System for Vehicle Headlights,” issued on July 10, 2007 to James E. Smith, *et. al.*
- Exhibit 1002 Declaration of Harvey Weinberg
- Exhibit 1003 March 23, 2012 Amendment D and Request for Reconsideration
- Exhibit 1004 December 18, 2012 Action Closing Prosecution
- Exhibit 1005 U.S. Patent 6,305,823 to Toda et al (“Toda”)
- Exhibit 1006 U.S. Patent 6,193,398 to Okuchi *et al* (“Okuchi”)
- Exhibit 1007 U.S. Patent 5,909,949 to Gotoh (“Gotoh”)
- Exhibit 1008 December 23, 2003 Office Action
- Exhibit 1009 March 25, 2004 Amendment
- Exhibit 1010 October 31, 2002 Utility Patent Application
- Exhibit 1011 June 15, 2004 Final Rejection
- Exhibit 1012 April 14, 2005 Non-Final Rejection
- Exhibit 1013 October 05, 2005 Final Rejection
- Exhibit 1014 October 06, 2006 Non-Final Rejection
- Exhibit 1015 January 10, 2007 Patentee’s Amendment
- Exhibit 1016 January 31, 2007 Interview Summary
- Exhibit 1017 July 09, 2010 Substitute Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034
- Exhibit 1018 May 16, 2011 Request for *Inter Partes* Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034
- Exhibit 1019 GB Patent Application 2,309,774 to Takahashi (“Takahashi”)

Exhibit 1020	June 23, 2011 Decision Granting Reexamination
Exhibit 1021	February 23, 2012 Decision Merging Proceedings
Exhibit 1022	GB Patent Application 2,309,773 to Uchida (“Uchida”)
Exhibit 1023	American Heritage Dictionary definition of “controller”
Exhibit 1024	Japanese Patent Application No. 09-151649 to Kato (“Kato”)
Exhibit 1025	Japanese Patent Application No. 10-364667 to Fukuwa <i>et al.</i> (“Fukuwa”)
Exhibit 1026	US Patent 6,229,263 to Izawa (“Izawa”)
Exhibit 1027	US Patent 6,293,686 to Hayami <i>et al.</i> (“Hayami”)

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL	3
III.	NOTICE OF EACH REAL PARTY-IN-INTEREST	3
IV.	NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS	3
V.	NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION FOR PETITIONER.....	4
VI.	SERVICE ON THE PATENT OWNER.....	4
VII.	GROUND FOR STANDING.....	5
VIII.	THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	5
IX.	SUMMARY OF THE '034 PATENT	5
	A. Overview of the '034 Patent.....	5
	B. Summary of the Prosecution History	6
	C. Summary of the Reexamination.....	7
X.	CONSTRUCTION OF THE CLAIMS	9
	A. Applicable legal standards	9
	B. Proposed constructions.....	10
XI.	PRIOR ART REFERENCES RELIED ON BY PETITIONER	13
XII.	STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	14
XIII.	STATEMENT OF NON-REDUNDANCY	15
XIV.	CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	15
	A. Ground 1: Claims 7-9, 13-18, 21-24, and 28-33 are anticipated by Kato.	16

B.	Ground 2: Claims 3-6 and 10-12 are obvious over Kato in view of Izawa, and/or in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior.	25
C.	Ground 3: Claims 19-20 and 25-27 are obvious over Kato in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior art.....	30
D.	Ground 4: Claims 7-9, 13-17, 21-24, and 28-33 are anticipated by Fukuwa	33
E.	Ground 5: Claims 3-6 and 10-12 are obvious over Fukuwa in view of Izawa, and/or in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior art.	42
F.	Ground 6: Claims 18-20 and 25-27 are obvious over Fukuwa in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior art.....	47
G.	Ground 7: Claims 36-39 are obvious over Kato in view of Hayami. Ground 8: Claims 36-39 are obvious over Fukuwa in view of Hayami.	51
H.	Ground 9: Claims 3-39 are obvious over Kato in view of Izawa and Takahashi, and/or in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior art. Ground 10: Claims 3-39 are obvious over Fukuwa in view of Izawa and Takahashi, and/or in view of Patent Owner’s admissions regarding the prior art.....	53
XV.	CONCLUSION.....	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.