<u>trials@uspto.gov</u> 571-272-7822 IPR2016-00193, Paper No. 25 March 10, 2017

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - -

SL CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

ADAPTIVE HEADLAMP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00193

Patent 7,241,034 C1

Technology Center 2800

Oral Hearing Held: Thursday, February 23, 2017

Before: MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge, RAMA G. ELLURU and SCOTT C. MOORE (via video link), Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, February 23, 2017, at 10:00 a.m., in Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

PETER J. CUOMO, ESQ.

ADAM P. SAMANSKY, ESQ.

KONGSIK KIM, ESQ.

Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky & Popeo PC

One Financial Center

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

617-542-6000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

BRETT PINKUS, ESQ.

Friedman, Suder & Cooke, P.C.

No. 1, 604 East Fourth Street

Suite 200

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

817-334-0400



Case IPR2016-00193 Patent 7,241,034 C1

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:00 a.m.)
3	JUDGE MOORE: Good morning, everyone. We
4	will now hear arguments in Case Number IPR2016-00193,
5	titled SL Corporation, Petitioner, versus Adaptive Headlamp
6	Technologies, Incorporated, Patent Owner, regarding U.S.
7	Patent 7,241,034 C1.
8	Counsel for the parties, would you please step to
9	the lectern and introduce yourselves, starting with Petitioner?
10	MR. CUOMO: Yes, Your Honor. Peter Cuomo
11	representing the Petitioner, SL Corporation.
12	And joining me here today are my colleagues,
13	Adam Samansky, at counsel table, and also Kongsik Kim
14	seated behind me. We are all from the law firm of Mintz
15	Levin.
16	JUDGE MOORE: Thank you.
17	MR. PINKUS: Your Honor, I am Brett Pinkus
18	from Friedman, Suder & Cooke on behalf of the Patent Owner,
19	Adaptive Headlamp.
20	JUDGE MOORE: Thank you. Okay. As you
21	know, per our order, each party will have 50 minutes of total
22	time to present its arouments. Judge Elluru will be keeping



Case IPR2016-00193 Patent 7,241,034 C1

1	track of your time and will give you a warning when your time
2	is about to expire.
3	Because Petitioner has the burden to show

Because Petitioner has the burden to show unpatentability of the claims, Petitioner will proceed first and Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time, if desired. Next, Patent Owner will proceed with its arguments. Finally, Petitioner will have the opportunity to present rebuttal arguments if it has reserved time to do so.

And we will also in this case give Patent Owner a brief period of time at the end to present a quick summary, if it would choose to do so.

As you can see, I'm attending the hearing today remotely from Denver. Thus, it is critical that you remain at the lectern in the hearing room while you are speaking. When you step away from the lectern, I am not able to hear you.

I will also be working off paper copies of the exhibits today, and will not be able to see any exhibits you display using the ELMO. So it is critical that you please identify any of your demonstratives that you refer to in the hearing by page number so that I can find the correct page here.

Does counsel for either party have any questions



Case IPR2016-00193 Patent 7,241,034 C1

1	concerning these instructions?
2	MR. CUOMO: No, Your Honor.
3	MR. PINKUS: No, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE MOORE: Okay. Thank you. Counsel for
5	Petitioner, would you like to reserve any time for rebuttal?
6	MR. CUOMO: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to
7	reserve 15 minutes for rebuttal.
8	JUDGE MOORE: 15 minutes. Thank you. You
9	may proceed whenever you are ready.
10	MR. CUOMO: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
11	May it please the Court. With the Patent Owner having
12	waived any arguments with respect to the dependent claims for
13	which this IPR was instituted, the primary issue before the
14	Board today is with respect to independent claim 7 of the '034
15	patent.
16	I'm going on to slide 2. Slide 2 shows the
17	instituted grounds. For claim 7 there are two references that
18	render the claim obvious under Section 103, Kato and
19	Takahashi. Primary reference Kato describes a motorcycle
20	headlamp that solves all of the same types of problems as the
21	'034 patent.
2	I'm going to move on to slide 3. The Patent Owner



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

