

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,
Patent Owner.

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,385,966
Case IPR No.: IPR2016-00178

**PATENT OWNER CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS
EQUIPMENT LLC'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND AND INVENTORS' SOLUTION.....	1
	A. TS 36.213 v8.2.0	1
	B. The '966 Patent	2
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	4
IV.	APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS.....	4
	A. Standard for Review	4
	B. Obviousness.....	5
V.	PETITIONER CANNOT SHOW THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	7
	A. Summary of Argument.....	7
	B. Independent Claims 1, 9, and 10 Are Not Obvious In View of Qualcomm and TS 36.213.....	9
	1. Qualcomm and TS 36.213 do not disclose initializing a second power control adjustment so that " $P_{0_UE_PUSCH}+f(0)=\Delta P_{PC}+\Delta P_{rampup}$ "	10
	2. Petitioner's argument that Qualcomm discloses the claimed initialization is meritless	11
	a. <i>Petitioner's arguments fail because Qualcomm does not disclose the use of a power control adjustment state</i>	11

<i>b.</i>	<i>Petitioner’s arguments fail because Qualcomm does not disclose initializing a power control adjustment state so that $P_{0_UE_PUSCH}+f(0)=\Delta P_{PC}+\Delta P_{rampup}$.....</i>	12
3.	Qualcomm and TS 36.213 do not disclose computing “an initial transmit power for the uplink shared channel using full path loss compensation”	15
4.	A person of skill in the art would not have had a reason to combine Qualcomm and TS 36.213	16
C.	Dependent Claims 2-8 and 11-17 Are Not Obvious In View of the Alleged Combinations.....	19
1.	Claims 3 and 12 were not obvious in view of Qualcomm and TS 36.213	19
2.	Claims 4 and 13 were not obvious in view of Qualcomm and TS 36.213	21
3.	Claims 2 and 11 were not obvious in view of Qualcomm, TS 36.213, and TS 36.300	23
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int'l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	6
<i>Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Patent of Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2013).....	6
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	6
<i>Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	24
<i>In re Gardner</i> , 449 Fed. Appx. 914 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	7
<i>In re Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959).....	7
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	6
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (C.C.P.A. 1974).....	6
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	24
<i>Norman Int'l, Inc. v. Toti Testamentary Trust</i> , IPR2014-00283, Paper 9 (PTAB June 20, 2014)	18
<i>Par Pharm., Inc. v. TWi Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	7

Plas-Pak Indus., Inc. v. Sulzer Mixpac AG,
600 Fed. Appx. 755 (Fed. Cir. 2015)..... 7

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 5-7, 9
35 U.S.C. § 313 1
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) 4, 25

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) 4
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)..... 11, 12, 20, 22
37 C.F.R. § 42.107 1
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)(2)..... 25
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)..... 4

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14, 2012)..... 5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.