## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

#### NOTICE OF DOCKETING

#### 16-1947 - West View Research, LLC v. Audi AG

Date of docketing: May 2, 2016

Appeal from: United States District Court for the Southern District of California case no. 3:14-cv-02668-CAB-WVG

Appellant(s): West View Research, LLC

#### Critical dates include:

- Date of docketing. See Fed. Cir. R. 12.
- Entry of appearance. (Due within 14 days of the date of docketing.) See Fed. Cir. R. 47.3.
- Certificate of interest. (Due within 14 days of the date of docketing.) See Fed. Cir. R. 47.4.
- Docketing Statement. (*Due within 14 days of the date of docketing or within 30 days if the United States or its officer or agency is a party in the appeal.*) [Only in cases where all parties are represented by counsel. See Fed. Cir. R. 33.1 and the mediation guidelines available at www.cafc.uscourts.gov.]
- Requests for extensions of time. See Fed. Cir. R. 26 and 27. N.B. Delayed requests are not favored by the court.
- Briefs. See Fed. Cir. R. 31. **N.B. You will not receive a separate briefing schedule from the Clerk's Office**. However, in a case involving an appellant, a cross-appellant, and an appellee, a special briefing schedule is used. The appellant's opening brief is due within 60 days of the date of docketing. The cross-appellant's opening brief is due within 40 days of filing of the appellant's opening brief is due within 40 days of filing of the appellant's response/reply brief is due within 40 days of filing of the cross-appellant's reply brief is due within 14 days of filing of the appellee's brief. The cross-appellant's reply brief is due within 10 days of filing of the cross-appellant's reply brief.
- Settlement discussions. See Fed. Cir. R. 33.
- ORAL ARGUMENT SCHEDULE CONFLICTS: Counsel should advise the clerk in writing within 30 days once briefing is completed of potential scheduling conflicts or as soon as they are known and should not wait until an actual conflict arises. Once scheduled, a case will not be postponed except on motion showing compelling reasons. See Practice Note following Fed. Cir. R. 34.

The official caption is reflected on the electronic docket under the listing of the parties and counsel. The Rules of Practice and required forms are available at www.cafc.uscourts.gov.

Daniel E. O'Toole Clerk of Court

cc: United States District Court for the Southern District of California Adam Spencer Garson Michael Lennon



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Case Casecu-6-219247-CAB/0/cV/ment201c-2m	enRage: EiledF0ed2905/02/2001/61 of 2 (2	2 of 29)
1 2 3 4	Adam Garson (Bar No. 240440) adam.garson@gazpat.com <b>GAZDZINSKI &amp; ASSOCIATES, PO</b> 750 B Street, Suite 1600 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (858) 675-1670 Facsimile: (858) 675-1674	2	
5 6	Attorneys for Plaintiff WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC		
7 8	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9 10	SOUTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC, a California corporation,	CASE NO.: 14-CV-2668 CAB WVG	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
14 15	AUDI AG, a German corporation; VOLKSWAGEN AG, a German corporation; and VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC. d/b/a	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
16 17	GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., a New Jersey corporation,	Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo Ctrm: 4C	
17	Defendants.		
19 20	And Related Counterclaim.		
20 21			
22			
23 24			
25			
26			
27 28			
	1 <b>7 FT TT</b>	14-CV-2668 CAR V	VVG
	D M	without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .	

1	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
2	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff West View Research, LLC hereb	by
3	appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the	he
4	Judgment entered on March 31, 2016 (Dkt. No. #89), based upon the Order entered	ed
5	in this action on March 31, 2016 (Dkt. No. #88) (including the court's adoption	of
6	the December 11, 2015 Order granting judgment on the pleadings [Doc. No. 58	in
7	Case No. 14cv2679]) and from all orders, opinions, decisions, and rulings adver-	se
8	to Plaintiff or in favor of Defendants prior to the entry of Judgment.	
9		
10		
11	Respectfully submitted,	
12	Dated: April 29, 2016 GAZDZINSKI & ASSOCIATES, PC	
13	/s/ Adam Garson	
14	By: Adam Garson Attorneys for Plaintiff	
15	adam.garson@gazpat.com	
16	WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2 14-CV-2668 CAR WY	VG
	<b>KET</b> <b>R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .	

1 1	~ 1	201
14		79
<b>.</b> .	<u> </u>	,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		ES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. 14-CV-2668 CAB WVG PROOF OF SERVICE Judge: Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo Ctrm: 4C
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	PRO	OF OF SERVICE
		without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

	Case 3 Clasev-162-61-94-7CAB Dolo Cubine Doto du Anen Parget: 4 File File File 61/2015/1062/2404106e 2 of 3 (5	
1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2 3 4	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of or employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16644 West Bernardo Dr., Suite 201, San Diego, California 92127. On April 29, 2016, I served the following document(s) described as:	
5	NOTICE OF APPEAL	
6	on the parties or attorneys for parties in this action who are identified on the	
7	attached service list, using the following means of service. (If more than one mean of service is checked, the means of service used for each party is indicated on the attached service list.)	
8	<b>BY REGULAR MAIL.</b> I caused such envelopes to be deposited in the	
9 10	United States mail, at San Diego, California with postage thereon fully prepaid, individually addressed to the parties as indicated on the attached service list. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and	
11	processing correspondence in mailing. It is deposited with the United States postal service each day and that practice was followed in the ordinary course of business for the service herein attested to. (C.C.P. § 1013(a)(3))	
12		
13	BY CM/ECF. I caused a true copy of the foregoing document(s) to be transmitted to each of the parties on the attached service list by transmitting via e-filing the document(s) listed above to the Case Management/Electronic Filing System	
14	Filing System.	
15 16	<b>BY FEDERAL EXPRESS.</b> I deposited such document(s) in a box or other facility regularly maintained by Federal Express or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by Federal Express to receive	
17	documents, in an envelope or package designated by Federal Express with delivery fees paid or provided for to the addressees on the attached service list.	
18 19	<b>BY PERSONAL SERVICE.</b> I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the addressees.	
20	<b>BY ELECTRONIC MAIL.</b> I caused a true copy of the foregoing documer	
21	<b>BY ELECTRONIC MAIL.</b> I caused a true copy of the foregoing document to be sent via electronic mail in .pdf format, to the individual(s) listed on the attached service list.	
22	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this cou	
23	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this cour at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
24	Executed on April 29, 2016, at San Diego, California.	
25	$\bigcap i = (1 - i) \bigcap i = (1 - i) $	
26	CUBIESTE MCCAW	
27	CHRISSIE MCGAW	
28	PROOF OF SERVICE	

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.