

1 PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585)
pandre@kramerlevin.com
2 LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404)
lkobialka@kramerlevin.com
3 JAMES HANNAH (State Bar No. 237978)
jhannah@kramerlevin.com
4 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP
5 990 Marsh Road
6 Menlo Park, CA 94025
7 Telephone: (650) 752-1700
8 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
9 FINJAN, INC.

10 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

14 FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware
18 Corporation,

19 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-cv-03999-BLF

**PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S OPENING
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Date: August 22, 2014

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Dept.: Courtroom 3, 5th Floor

Judge: Beth Labson Freeman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1	
2	
3	I. INTRODUCTION 1
4	II. STATEMENT OF FACTS 2
5	A. Background of the Technology..... 2
6	B. Summary of Finjan’s Patents 3
7	1. ‘822, ‘633 Patents 3
8	2. ‘844 Patent 4
9	3. ‘731 Patent 5
10	4. ‘780 Patent 5
11	5. ‘968 Patent 5
12	III. ARGUMENT 6
13	A. Finjan’s Proposed Terms 6
14	1. Mobile Protection Code (the ‘822, ‘633 Patents) 6
15	2. Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received Downloadable (the ‘844 Patent)..... 9
16	3. Means-plus-function Claim Terms (3 Terms) 11
17	i. Means for causing mobile protection code to be communicated to at least one information-destination of the downloadable- information, if the downloadable-information is determined to include executable code 11
18	ii. Means for determining whether to trust the first Downloadable security profile / means for comparing the first Downloadable security profile against the security policy if the first Downloadable security profile is trustworthy 13
19	B. Blue Coat’s Proposed Terms for Construction 15
20	1. Information-destination/Downloadable-information destination (‘822, ‘633)..... 16
21	2. Causing mobile protection code to be executed by the mobile code executor at a downloadable-information destination such that one or more operations of the executable code at the destination, if attempted, will be processed by the mobile protection code (‘633) 18
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Preamble with Typographical Errors (the ‘633 Patent) 19

4. Before [a/the] web server make[s] the Downloadable available to web clients (the ‘844 Patent) 20

5. Network Gateway / Computer Gateway (the ‘731 Patent) 21

IV. CONCLUSION..... 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Aventis Pharm. Inc. v. Amino Chems. Ltd.</i> , 715 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	16, 22
<i>B. Braun Med., Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 124 F.3d 1419 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	13
<i>CBT Flint Partners, LLC v. Return Path, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	20
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6, 8
<i>Funai Elec. Co. v. Daewoo Elecs. Corp.</i> , 616 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	8, 17
<i>Hoechst Celanese Corp. v. BP Chems. Ltd.</i> , 78 F.3d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	17
<i>Jang v. Boston Scientific Corp.</i> , 532 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	11, 21
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995)(en banc), <i>aff'd</i> , 517 U.S. 370 (1996)	6
<i>Odetics, Inc. v. Storage Tech Corp.</i> , 185 F.3d 1259 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	15
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc).....	6
<i>SuperGuide Corp. v. DirecTV Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	8
<i>Superior Indus., Inc. v. Masaba, Inc.</i> , 553 Fed. Appx. 986 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11, 21
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	10, 16, 19, 23
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	7

STATUTES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

35 U.S.C. § 112(f).....13, 14

35 U.S.C. § 112(6)11, 13, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.