

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
Patent No. 8,677,494

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Palo Alto Networks, Inc.  
Petitioner

v.

Finjan, Inc.  
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494  
Filing Date: Nov. 7, 2011  
Issue Date: Mar. 18, 2014

Title: Malicious Mobile Code Runtime Monitoring System and Methods

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2016-00159

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494**

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	2
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	2
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	2
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	3
D.	Service Information.....	3
E.	Power of Attorney .....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 .....	4
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	4
B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	4
C.	Status of the Cited References as Prior Art.....	5
1.	Touboul is prior art .....	6
2.	Swimmer is prior art .....	6
3.	The Ji patent is prior art .....	7
4.	Martin is prior art .....	7
D.	Threshold Requirement for Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	8
V.	BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE '494 PATENT .....	8
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '494 PATENT.....	10
A.	Brief Description of the '494 Patent .....	10
B.	The Petitioned Claims of the '494 Patent .....	12
C.	Priority Dates of the Petitioned Claims.....	13
1.	Claimset 2 – Claims 2 and 11 lack written description support until May 26, 2009 .....	14
2.	Claimset 3 – Claims 7, 8, 16 and 17 lack written description support until May 7, 2006 .....	14

## Table of Contents (continued)

3.	Claimset 1 – The priority date for claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10, 12-15, and 18 is March 30, 2000 .....	15
4.	Claimset 1 – Even if Patent Owner can fix the ‘822 patent, the earliest possible priority date for claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10, 12-15, and 18 is Nov. 6, 1997 .....	18
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) .....	19
A.	Legal Overview .....	19
B.	“Downloadable security profile data” (all claims).....	19
C.	“Database” (all claims).....	21
D.	“Downloadable” (all claims).....	23
VIII.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART.....	23
IX.	CLAIMS 1-18 OF THE ’494 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	24
A.	Overview of Touboul .....	25
B.	Overview of Swimmer .....	25
C.	Overview of Ji .....	26
D.	Overview of Martin .....	27
E.	Touboul, Swimmer, Ji, and Martin Are All Analogous Art .....	28
F.	Ground 1 – Claims 1, 3-6, 9, 10, 12-15, and 18 Are Anticipated Under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Touboul .....	29
1.	Claim 1 .....	29
2.	Claim 10 – A System Implementing the Method of Claim 1 .....	31
a.	Claim element 10[d] – Database Manager .....	31
3.	Claims 3-5 & 12-14 – Specific types of Downloadables .....	32
4.	Claims 6 & 15 – Specific suspicious computer operations .....	32
5.	Claims 9 & 18 – Disassembling downloadables .....	33
G.	Ground 2 – Touboul, or Touboul in light of Swimmer Renders Claims 2 & 11 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	33
H.	Ground 3 – Touboul, or Touboul in Light of Ji Renders Claims 7 & 16 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	36

## Table of Contents (continued)

I.	Ground 4 – Touboul Renders Claims 8 & 17 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	38
J.	Ground 5 – Swimmer Renders Claims 1-2, 6, 10-11, and 15 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	40
1.	Claim 1 .....	40
a.	Claim element 1[b] – Receiving.....	43
b.	Claim element 1[c] – Deriving Security Profile Data.....	44
c.	Claim element 1[d] – Database .....	46
2.	Claim 10.....	47
a.	Claim element 10[b] – Receiver.....	47
b.	Claim element 10[c] – Downloadable Scanner.....	48
c.	Claim element 10[d] – Database Manager .....	49
3.	Claims 2 and 11 – Date and Time.....	49
4.	Claims 6 and 15 – Specific Types of Suspicious Operations .....	50
K.	Ground 6 – Swimmer in Light of Martin Renders Claims 3-5 and 12-14 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	51
L.	No Secondary Considerations of Non-obviousness Exist .....	54
X.	CONCLUSION .....	55

## List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,677,494 to Edery, <i>et al.</i> (“the ’494 patent”)
<b>1002</b>	Declaration of Dr. Aviel D. Rubin
<b>1003</b>	Excerpts from trial transcripts of <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Symantec Corp., et al.</i> , Case No. 10-593-GMS (December 12, 2012)
<b>1004</b>	Virus Bulletin (May 1996)
<b>1005</b>	ThunderBYTE Anti-Virus Utilities-User Manual (1996) (“ThunderBYTE”, or “TB”)
<b>1006</b>	Morton Swimmer, “Dynamic Detection and Classification of Computer Viruses Using General Behaviour Patterns” (Sept. 1995)
<b>1007</b>	INFOWorld (Dec. 11, 1995)
<b>1008</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,761,436 (“the ’436 Patent”)
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,925,106 (“the ’106 Patent”)
<b>1010</b>	U.S. Patent No. 5,983,348 (“Ji”)
<b>1011</b>	Dmitry O. Gryaznov, “Scanners of the Year 2000: Heuristics, Virus Bulletin Conference” (Sept. 1995)
<b>1012</b>	The Virus Bulletin (Sept. 1995)
<b>1013</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,092,194 (“the ’194 Patent”)
<b>1014</b>	U.S. Patent Application No. 09/861,229 (“the ’229 Application”)
<b>1015</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,613,926 (“the ’926 Patent”)
<b>1016</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,058,822 (“the ’822 Patent”)
<b>1017</b>	Decision Granting Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim Under 37 C.F.R. U.S. Patent No 7,058,822 File History
<b>1018</b>	SurfinGate Press Release (1996)
<b>1019</b>	Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3. <i>Finjan v. Proofpoint, Inc., and Armorize Technologies, Inc.</i> (Jan. 26, 2015)
<b>1020</b>	U.S. Patent No. 6,154,844 (“the ’844 Patent”)
<b>1021</b>	Elmasri and Navathe, <i>Fundamentals of Database Systems</i> , 2d. Ed., Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. (1994)

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.