

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Palo Alto Networks, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Finjan, Inc.
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408

Filing Date: August 30, 2004

Issue Date: July 17, 2012

Title: Method and System for Adaptive Rule-Based Content Scanners

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-00157

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,225,408

Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	3
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108	3
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	3
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	3
C. Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	4
IV. BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE '408 PATENT	4
A. Malware Detection	5
B. Static Analysis Using Parse Trees.....	5
C. Malware and Vulnerability Detection.....	8
V. SUMMARY OF THE '408 PATENT	8
A. Brief Description of the '408 Patent	8
B. Petitioned Claims of the '408 Patent.....	9
C. Priority Date of the '408 Patent.....	11
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	12
A. "Parse tree" (all claims).....	12
B. "Dynamically building . . . while said receiving receives the incoming stream" (variants in all claims)	12

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
C. “Dynamically detecting . . . while said dynamically building builds the parse tree” (variants in all claims).....	13
D. “Instantiating . . . a scanner for the specific programming language” (variants in all claims).....	14
VII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART	15
VIII. PETITIONED CLAIMS 3-7, 12-16, AND 18-21 OF THE '408 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	15
A. Overview of Chandnani	16
B. Overview of Kolawa	17
C. Overview of Walls.....	18
D. Overview of Huang	18
E. Chandnani, Kolawa, Walls, and Huang Are All Analogous Art	19
F. General Motivations to Combine the Prior Art Teachings	20
IX. CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS 3-5, 12-16, AND 18-19 INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 1).....	21
A. Claim 1	21
1. Claim 1 – preamble	21
2. Claim element 1[a] – receiving a stream of code	22
3. Claim element 1[b] – determining a programming language	22
4. Claim element 1[c] – instantiating a scanner.....	23
5. Claim element 1[d] – scanner with language-specific rules	23
a. Claim element 1[e] - parser rules	24

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
b. Claim element 1[f] - analyzer rules	25
6. Claim element 1[g] – identifying tokens	26
7. Claim element 1[h] – dynamically building a parse tree	27
a. Building a parse tree	27
b. Dynamically building	32
8. Claim element 1[i] – dynamically detecting exploits	33
a. Detecting potential exploits	34
b. Dynamically detecting	35
9. Claim element 1[j] – indicating presence of exploits	36
B. Claim 9	36
1. Claim 9 – preamble	38
2. Claim element 9[a] – computer-readable storage medium.....	38
3. Claim element 9[b] – receiver.....	39
4. Claim element 9[c] – multi-lingual language detector	39
5. Claim element 9[d] – scanner instantiator	40
6. Claim element 9[e] – rules accessor	41
7. Claim elements 9[f]-[g] – parser and analyzer rules	42
8. Claim element 9[h] – tokenizer.....	42
9. Claim element 9[i] – parser.....	42
10. Claim element 9[j] – analyzer.....	43
11. Claim element 9[k] – notifier.....	44
C. Dependent Claim 3: “The method of claim 1 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched”	45
D. Dependent Claim 4: “The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is JavaScript”	47

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
E. Dependent Claim 5: “The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is Visual Basic VBScript”	47
F. Dependent Claim 12: “The system of claim 9 wherein said parser comprises a pattern-matching engine, for matching a pattern within a sequence of tokens in accordance with the parser rules accessed by said rules accessor”	48
G. Dependent Claim 13: “The system of claim 12 wherein the parser rules accessed by said rules accessor are represented as finite-state machines”	49
H. Dependent Claim 14: “The system of claim 12 wherein the parser rules are represented as pattern expression trees”	49
I. Dependent Claim 15: “The system of claim 12 wherein parser rules are merged into a single deterministic finite automaton (DFA)”	50
J. Dependent Claim 16: “The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched”	51
K. Dependent Claim 18: “The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched”	51
L. Dependent Claim 19: “The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched”	52
X. CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA AND HUANG RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS 6-7 AND 20-21 INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 2)	52
A. Dependent Claim 6: “The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is HTML”	52

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.