

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,141,154

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-00151

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PATENT’S OWNER’S EVIDENCE OF CONCEPTION AND DILIGENCE FAIL TO MEET THE EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS REQUIRED TO ANTEDATE A REFERENCE	2
A. Patent Owner’s evidence of diligence in reduction to practice falls short of the evidentiary standards required to antedate a reference	3
B. Patent Owner’s evidence of conception should be accorded little to no weight.....	7
III. ROSS RENDERS OBVIOUS EACH AND EVERY RECITED FEATURE OF CLAIMS 1-8, 10, AND 11 OBVIOUS	9
A. Ross renders obvious “processing content received over a network, the content including a call to a first function”	10
1. Ross teaches or suggests that the hook scripts can include a call to a first function	10
2. Ross teaches or suggests that the content can be received over a network.....	14
B. Ross renders obvious “for invoking a second function with the input”	17
C. Ross renders obvious “a receiver for receiving an indicator from the security computer whether it is safe to invoke the second function with the input”	20
D. Ross renders obvious “calling a second function with a modified input variable”	22
IV. PATENT OWNER’S EVIDENCE OF SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS SHOULD BE GIVEN NO WEIGHT	23

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
A. Patent Owner fails to establish a nexus between any commercial success and the challenged claims of the '154 patent	24
B. Patent Owner fails to provide sufficient evidence with respect to other secondary considerations	24
V. CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Ameranth, Inc.</i> , No. CBM2015-00080, Paper No. 44 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 26, 2016)	23, 24
<i>Baldwin Graphics Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	15
<i>Bey v. Kollonitsch</i> , 806 F.2d 1024 (Fed. Cir. 1986.)	6
<i>CBS Interactive Inc. v. Helferich Patent Licensing, LLC</i> , No. IPR2013-00033, Paper No. 122 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 3, 2014)	2, 8, 9
<i>Coleman v. Dines</i> , 754 F.2d 353 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	8
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446, slip op. (U.S. June 20, 2016)	15
<i>Gould v. Schawlow</i> , 363 F.2d 908 (C.C.P.A. 1966)	4
<i>Griffith v. Kanamaru</i> , 816 F.2d 624 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	3
<i>In re Antor Media Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	24
<i>Iron Dome LLC v. E-Watch, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2014-00439, Paper No. 16 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 4, 2014)	3
<i>KCJ Corp. v. Kinetic Concepts, Inc.</i> , 223 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	15
<i>Perfect Surgical Techniques v. Olympus Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2015-2043, slip .op. (Fed. Cir. Nov. 15, 2016).....	3, 4
<i>Price v. Symsek</i> , 988 F.2d 1187 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

Page(s)

Statutes and Other Authorities

37 C.F.R.

§ 42.23.....	1
§42.51(b)(iii).....	2
§ 42.65(a)	24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.