

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00151  
U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154

---

**DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC, PH.D.  
ON THE VALIDITY OF CLAIMS 1-8, 10, AND 11 OF U.S. PATENT NO.  
8,141,154 IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>I. QUALIFICATIONS</b> .....	1
<b>II. SCOPE OF ASSIGNMENT AND APPROACH</b> .....	5
<b>III. APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND CONTROLLING PRINCIPLES</b> .....	7
<b>A. OBVIOUSNESS</b> .....	7
<b>B. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART</b> .....	9
<b>IV. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS</b> .....	11
<b>V. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘154 PATENT</b> .....	11
<b>VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION</b> .....	14
<b>VII. Dr. Rubin’s Description of the Background of the ‘154 Patent</b> .....	15
<b>VIII. ROSS IS NOT PRIOR ART</b> .....	16
<b>A. The Invention Disclosure Document Supports All Elements of the ‘154 Patent Claims</b> .....	16
<b>IX. DISCUSSION AND OPINIONS REGARDING THE CONTRAST BETWEEN THE CLAIMS OF THE ‘154 PATENT AND THE PRIOR ART</b> .....	23
<b>A. TEACHINGS OF ROSS</b> .....	24
<b>B. Dr. Rubin’s Discussion of Ross</b> .....	25
<b>X. The Claims of the ‘154 Patent are Not Unpatentable Over Ross</b> .....	26
<b>A. Ross does not disclose processing content received over a network, the content including a call to a first function, and the call including an input (claims 1, 4, 6, and 10)</b> .....	27

1.	<b>Ross Does Not Disclose the Content Including a Call to a First Function .....</b>	<b>27</b>
2.	<b>Ross Does not Teach or Suggest Receiving Content Over a Single Network, the Content Including a Call to a First Function .....</b>	<b>32</b>
3.	<b>Ross’s Third Device Embodiment Fails to Disclose “Processing Content Received Over a Network .....</b>	<b>35</b>
B.	Ross does not disclose “a Content Processor...for invoking a second function with the input” .....	37
C.	Ross does not disclose [a receiver] for receiving an indicator from the security computer whether it is safe to invoke the second function with the input .....	38
D.	Ross does not disclose “calling a second function with a modified input variable” (claims 6 and 10) .....	39
<b>XI.</b>	<b>SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS .....</b>	<b>40</b>
A.	Commercial Success.....	40
B.	Long-Felt But Unresolved Need and Recognition of a problem .....	44
C.	Skepticism and Unexpected Results .....	44
D.	Failure of Others.....	45

I, Nenad Medvidovic, Ph.D., declare and state as follows:

**I. QUALIFICATIONS**

1. I make this Declaration based upon my own personal knowledge, information, and belief, and I would and could competently testify to the matters set forth herein if called upon to do so.

2. I received a Bachelor of Science (“BS”) degree, Summa Cum Laude, from Arizona State University’s Computer Science and Engineering department.

3. I received a Master of Science (“MS”) degree from the University of California at Irvine’s Information and Computer Science department.

4. I received a Doctor of Philosophy (“PhD”) degree from the University of California at Irvine’s Information and Computer Science department. My dissertation was entitled, “Architecture-Based Specification-Time Software Evolution.”

5. I am employed by the University of Southern California (“USC”) as a faculty member in the Computer Science Department, and have been since January, 1999. I currently hold the title of Professor with tenure. Between January, 2009 and January 2013, I served as the Director of the Center for Systems and Software Engineering at USC. Between July, 2011, and July, 2015, I served as my Department’s Associate Chair for PhD Affairs.

6. I teach graduate and undergraduate courses in Software Architecture, Software Engineering, and Embedded Systems, and advise PhD students. I have graduated 15 PhD students and advise 7 students currently pursuing a PhD.

7. I served as Program Co-Chair for the flagship conference in my field—International Conference on Software Engineering (“ICSE”)—held in May 2011. I have served as Chair or Co-Chair for various other conferences in the Software Engineering field, including: the Fifth Working IEEE/IFIP Conference on Software Architecture, the Third IEEE International Conference on Self-Adaptive and Self-Organizing Systems, the Fifteenth International ACM SIGSOFT Symposium on Component Based Software Engineering, the IEEE/CSSE/ISE Workshop on Software Architecture Challenges for the 21st Century, and the Doctoral Symposium at the Sixteenth ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering.

8. I serve or have served as an editor of several peer-reviewed journals, including: “IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering,” “ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology,” “Journal of Software Engineering for Robotics,” “Elsevier Information and Software Technology Journal,” “Journal of Systems and Software,” “Journal of Software Engineering Research and Development,” and “Springer Computing Journal.” Additionally, I have served as a guest editor of several special issues for different journals.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.