

DOCKET NO.: 0107945.00235US10

Filed By: Donald R. Steinberg, Reg. No. 37,241
David L. Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476
Michael H. Smith, Reg. No. 71,190
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
Tel: (617) 526-6000
Email: Don.Steinberg@wilmerhale.com
David.Cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
MichaelH.Smith@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASML Netherlands B.V., Excelitas Technologies Corp., and Qioptiq Photonics
GmbH & Co. KG,
Petitioners

v.

Energetiq Technology, Inc.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00127

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,969,841
CLAIMS 10, 13, and 14**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A. Real Parties-in-Interest	1
B. Related Matters.....	1
C. Counsel	1
D. Service Information.....	2
II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING	2
III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED	2
A. Grounds for Challenge	2
B. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications Relied Upon	2
C. Relief Requested.....	3
IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	3
V. OVERVIEW OF THE '841 PATENT	3
A. Challenged Claims	5
B. Summary of the Prosecution History	6
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
A. "Light source"	10
B. "Laser Driven Light Source"	12
C. "Light Bulb"	13
VII. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	14
A. Laser Sustained Plasma Light Sources Were Known Long Before the Priority Date of the '841 Patent.....	14
B. Sustaining a plasma with a laser at various wavelengths, including those up to about 2000 nm, was well known in the art.....	16
VIII. GROUNDS FOR FINDING THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS INVALID...22	
A. Ground 1: Claims 10, 13, and 14 Are Unpatentable Over Gärtner in View of Mourou.....	22
1. Independent Claim 10	23
2. Dependent Claims	42

B.	Ground 2: Claims 10, 13, and 14 Are Unpatentable Over Gärtner in View of Kensuke.....	44
1.	Independent Claim 10	46
2.	Dependent Claims	56
IX.	RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS RAISED BY PATENT OWNER IN ITS PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION MOTION	57
A.	Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness	58
X.	CONCLUSION.....	59

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

A. Real Parties-in-Interest

ASML Netherlands B.V., Excelitas Technologies Corp., and Qioptiq Photonics GmbH & Co. KG (“Petitioners”) are the real parties-in-interest.

B. Related Matters

U.S. Patent No. 8,969,841 (“the ’841 patent,” Ex. 1101) is one member of a patent family of continuation and continuation in part applications. Exhibit 1102 shows the members of this patent family and the relationships among them.

Petitioners filed a separate petition seeking *inter partes* review of claims 1-3 and 7 of the ’841 patent, and are also seeking *inter partes* review of related U.S. Patent Nos. 7,435,982 (“the ’982 patent”); 7,786,455 (“the ’455 patent”); 8,309,943 (“the ’943 patent”); 8,525,138 (“the ’138 patent”); and 9,048,000 (“the ’000 patent”).

Petitioners request that the *inter partes* reviews of the ’841, ’982, ’455, ’943, ’138, and ’000 patents be assigned to the same Panel for administrative efficiency.

The following litigation matter would affect or be affected by a decision in this proceeding: *Energetiq Tech., Inc. v. ASML Netherlands B.V.*, No. 1:15-cv-10240-LTS (D. Mass.).

C. Counsel

Lead Counsel: Donald R. Steinberg (Registration No. 37,241)

Backup Counsel: David L. Cavanaugh (Registration No. 36,476)

Second Backup Counsel: Michael H. Smith (Registration No. 71,190)

D. Service Information

Email: Donald R. Steinberg, don.steinberg@wilmerhale.com

Post and Hand Delivery: WilmerHale, 60 State St., Boston MA 02109

Telephone: 617-526-6453

Facsimile: 617-526-5000

II. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioners certify pursuant to Rule 42.104(a) that the patent for which review is sought is available for *inter partes* review and that Petitioners are not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this Petition.

III. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to Rules 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104 (b)(1)-(2), Petitioners challenge claims 10, 13 and 14 of the '841 patent (“the challenged claims”) and request that each challenged claim be cancelled.

A. Grounds for Challenge

This Petition, supported by the declaration of Dr. J. Gary Eden, a Professor of Electrical Engineering at the University of Illinois (“Eden Decl.,” Ex. 1103), demonstrates that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioners will prevail with respect to at least one of the challenged claims and that each of the challenged claims is unpatentable for the reasons cited in this petition. 35 U.S.C. § 314(a).

B. Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications Relied Upon

Petitioners rely upon the following patents and printed publications:

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.