Paper No. 22

Entered: February 22, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,

v.

WEST VIEW RESEARCH, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00123 Patent 8,719,037 B2

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, KEVIN W. CHERRY, and JASON J. CHUNG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

 $CHUNG, Administrative\ Patent\ Judge.$

JUDGMENT FINAL WRITTEN DECISION Inter Partes Review 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)



I. BACKGROUND

On November 17, 2015, Petitioner, Volkswagen Group of America, Inc. ("Volkswagen"), filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 22, 37, 42, 48, 72–73, 75, and 77 of U.S. Patent No. 8,719,037 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '037 patent"). Paper 2. Patent Owner, West View Research LLC ("West View"), filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 6. Patent Owner also filed a Statutory Disclaimer disclaiming claims 1, 37, 48, and 71–73 of the '037 patent. Prelim. Resp. 21–22; Ex. 2007. On May 13, 2016, we instituted an *inter partes* review as to the remaining challenged claims of the '037 patent—claims 22, 42, 75, and 77. Paper 8.

On February 9, 2016, West View filed a Request for Adverse Judgment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Paper 20 ("Mot."). West View requests that we cancel the remaining challenged claims of the '037 patent—claims 22, 42, 75, and 77, the only claims we instituted trial on—and, as a consequence, enter adverse judgment against West View in this trial. Mot. 1. For the reasons discussed below, we *grant* West View's Request for Adverse Judgment.

II. DISCUSSION

A party may request entry of adverse judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b). Actions construed to be a request for adverse judgment include, among other things, cancellation or disclaimer of a claim such that the party has no remaining claim in the trial. 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)(2). West View has requested that we cancel claims 22, 42, 75, and 77, which are all of the remaining challenged claims involved in



IPR2016-00123 Patent 8,719,037 B2

this trial. Given that West View has no remaining claims in this trial, entry of adverse judgment against West View and cancellation of all of the challenged claims of the '037 patent is appropriate.

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that West View's Request for Adverse Judgement is GRANTED;

ORDERED that adverse judgment is entered against West View with respect to claims 22, 42, 75, and 77 of the '037 patent;

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 22, 42, 75, and 77 of the '037 patent are cancelled¹; and

FURTHER ORDERED that, because this is a Final Written Decision, parties to this proceeding seeking judicial review of our decision must comply with the notice and service requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 90.2.

¹ See 37 C.F.R. § 42.80 (indicating that after the Board issues a final written decision in an *inter partes* patent review proceeding, the Office will issue and publish a certificate canceling any claim of the patent finally determined to be unpatentable).



IPR2016-00123 Patent 8,719,037 B2

For PETITIONER:

Michael J. Lennon Clifford A. Ulrich Kenyon & Kenyon LLP mlennon@kenyon.com culrich@kenyon.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Peter J. Gutierrez, III Kim H. Leung Mark Wang Gazdzinski & Associates, PC docket@gazpat.com

