

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC.

Petitioner

v.

BLITZSAFE TEXAS, LLC

Patent Owner

IPR2016-00118

Patent 8,155,342

Multimedia Device Integration System

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT 8,155,342**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION - 1 -

II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B) - 1 -

 A. REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST - 1 -

 B. RELATED MATTERS - 1 -

 C. PAYMENT OF FEES - 2 -

 D. DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL - 2 -

 E. SERVICE INFORMATION - 3 -

 F. POWER OF ATTORNEY - 3 -

III. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW - 3 -

 A. GROUND FOR STANDING..... - 3 -

 B. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES - 3 -

 1. U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0028717 to Ohmura (“*Ohmura*”). - 3 -

 2. U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0084910 to Owens (“*Owens*”)..... - 4 -

 3. Pub. No. WO 02/096137 to Ahn (“*Ahn*”)..... - 4 -

 4. Other references for standard features in dependent
 claims. - 5 -

 C. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED - 6 -

IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '342 PATENT - 9 -

 A. PRIORITY DATE OF THE '342 PATENT - 9 -

 B. SUMMARY OF THE '342 PATENT..... - 10 -

 C. SUMMARY OF RELEVANT PROSECUTION FILE
 HISTORY..... - 12 -

 D. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART - 13 -

 E. PROPOSED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION..... - 13 -

 1. “integration subsystem” - 15 -

 2. “multimedia device integration system” - 15 -

V. PROPOSED REJECTIONS SHOWING THAT PETITIONER HAS A
 REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING - 16 -

| | | |
|----|--|--------|
| A. | GROUND 1: INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 49 AND DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4 ARE ANTICIPATED BY <i>OHMURA</i> | - 17 - |
| B. | GROUND 2: INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1 AND 49, AND DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> IN VIEW OF <i>AHN</i> | - 24 - |
| C. | GROUND 3: INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 25 AND 73 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>AHN</i> | - 34 - |
| D. | GROUND 4: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 97 AND DEPENDENT CLAIM 5 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>FLICK</i> | - 35 - |
| E. | GROUND 5: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 97 AND DEPENDENT CLAIM 5 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> IN VIEW OF <i>FLICK</i> | - 37 - |
| F. | GROUND 6: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 120 AND DEPENDENT CLAIM 6 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>TRANCHINA</i> | - 37 - |
| G. | GROUND 7: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 120 AND DEPENDENT CLAIM 6 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> IN VIEW OF <i>TRANCHINA</i> | - 40 - |
| H. | GROUND 8: DEPENDENT CLAIMS 7-10 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>COON</i> | - 40 - |
| I. | GROUND 9: DEPENDENT CLAIMS 7-10 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> IN VIEW OF <i>COON</i> | - 43 - |
| J. | GROUND 10: DEPENDENT CLAIM 11 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>LUTTER</i> | - 44 - |
| K. | GROUND 11: DEPENDENT CLAIM 11 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> IN VIEW OF <i>LUTTER</i> | - 45 - |
| L. | GROUND 12: DEPENDENT CLAIMS 12-18, 20-21 AND 23- 24 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>MCCONNELL</i> | - 46 - |
| M. | GROUND 13: DEPENDENT CLAIMS 12-18, 20-21 AND 23- 24 ARE OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> IN VIEW OF <i>MCCONNELL</i> | - 50 - |
| N. | GROUND 14: DEPENDENT CLAIM 19 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>OHMURA</i> IN VIEW OF <i>BECKERT</i> | - 52 - |
| O. | GROUND 15: DEPENDENT CLAIM 19 IS OBVIOUS OVER <i>OWENS</i> AND <i>AHN</i> | - 53 - |

P. GROUND 16: DEPENDENT CLAIM 22 IS OBVIOUS OVER
OHMURA IN VIEW OF *MCCONNELL* AND FURTHER IN
VIEW OF *TRANCHINA*..... - 53 -

Q. GROUND 17: DEPENDENT CLAIM 22 IS OBVIOUS OVER
OWENS AND *AHN* IN VIEW OF *MCCONNELL* AND
FURTHER IN VIEW OF *TRANCHINA* - 54 -

R. GROUND 18: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 121 IS OBVIOUS
OVER *OHMURA* IN VIEW OF *EICHE* - 55 -

S. GROUND 19: INDEPENDENT CLAIM 121 IS OBVIOUS
OVER *OWENS* AND *AHN* IN VIEW OF *EICHE* - 56 -

IV. CONCLUSION..... - 57 -

EXHIBIT LIST

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| 1001 | U.S. Patent No. 8,155,342 to Marlowe |
| 1002 | Declaration of Prasant Mohapatra (“Mohapatra Declaration”) |
| 1003 | Resume of Prasant Mohapatra |
| 1004 | Ohmura U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0028717, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1005 | Owens U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0084910, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1006 | Ahn Pub. No. WO 02/096137, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1007 | Coon US 6,539,358, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1008 | Beckert Pat. 6,175,789, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and § 102(e) |
| 1009 | Article, “Blitzsafe Designs “Smart” Integration Device,” Automedia (Feb. 1998) (“ <i>Blitzsafe Integration Device</i> ”) Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) |
| 1010 | Perry Pub. App. 20030025830, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1011 | Flick US Pub. 20010029415, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1012 | Tranchina US 7,493,645, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1013 | Lutter U.S. Pub. No. 20020196134, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1014 | McConnell 6,608,399, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1015 | Eiche [US Pub. No. 20020137505, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1016 | Sony JP Pat. 2001-128280, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), and machine translation to English |
| 1017 | Trauner U.S. Pub. App. 20020070852, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1018 | Lovin Pub. App. 20030053378, Prior Art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and § 102(e) |
| 1019 | Unified Patents Inc.’s Voluntary Interrogatories |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.