

V.

Signal IP, Inc. Patent Owner.

Patent No. 6,012,007 Issued: January 4, 2000 Filed: June 3, 1997

Inventors: Duane Donald Fortune, Robert John Cashler
Title: OCCUPANT DETECTION METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR AIR BAG
SYSTEMS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-00115

REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JOINDER



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		1
II.	ARGUMENT		
	A.	KMA's request for joinder raises no new issues and would not complicate the proceedings in the Honda IPR.	3
	В.	Joinder is in the public interest and will not frustrate the just, speed and inexpensive resolution of the Honda IPR	•
Ш	CONCLUSION		5



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
Apple, Inc. v. Benjamin Filmalter Grobler, IPR2014-00061, Paper 10 (Decision) at 5-6 (PTAB, Oct. 29, 2013)	4
Dot Hill Sys. Corp., et al. v. Crossroads Sys., Inc., IPR2015-00825, Paper 20 (Decision) at 8 (PTAB, Sept. 17, 2015)	4
Enzymotec Ltd. v. Neptune Technologies & Bioresources, Inc., IPR2014-00556, Paper 19 (PTAB Jul. 9, 2014)	1
Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited v. Zond, LLC, IPR2014-00845, Paper 14 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2014)	1, 3
Kia Motors America, Inc. v. Signal IP, Inc., IPR2016-00115, Order, Paper 12 at 2 (PTAB Dec. 7, 2015)	3, 4
Nintendo of Am., Inc., et al. v. Babbage Holdings, LLC, IPR2015-00568, Paper 12 (PTAB Mar. 18, 2015)	1, 2, 4
Perfect World Entm't, Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc., et al., IPR2015-01026, Paper 10, (PTAB Aug. 3, 2015)	1, 2, 3
Perkins v. Kwon, 886 F.2d 325 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	4
Other Authorities	
157 Cong. Rec. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011)	1



I. INTRODUCTION

The Board routinely grants motions for joinder where the party seeking joinder introduces identical arguments and the same grounds in the existing proceeding. See, e.g., Perfect World Entm't, Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc., et al., IPR2015-01026, Paper 10, (PTAB Aug. 3, 2015); Fujitsu Semiconductor Limited v. Zond, LLC, IPR2014-00845, Paper 14 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2014); Enzymotec Ltd. v. Neptune Technologies & Bioresources, Inc., IPR2014-00556, Paper 19 (PTAB Jul. 9, 2014). This is the exact situation here, and KMA's motion for joinder should be granted consistent with the Board's "policy preference for joining a party that does not present new issues that might complicate or delay an existing proceeding." Enzymotec, IPR2014-00556, Paper 19, at 5; see also 157 Cong. Rec. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl) ("The Office anticipates that joinder will be allowed as of right – if an *inter partes* review is instituted on the basis of a petition, for example, a party that files an *identical petition* will be joined to that proceeding . . . ")) (emphasis added).

Joinder is also routinely granted when the petitioner files a petition and motion for joinder within 30 days of the institution of trial in the existing proceeding. *Nintendo of Am., Inc., et al. v. Babbage Holdings, LLC*, IPR2015-00568, Paper 12 (PTAB Mar. 18, 2015)). Because KMA timely filed its petition and motion for joinder within one month of the October 1, 2015 institution of



Honda's IPR and there is nothing unusual about KMA's request for joinder, KMA's motion for joinder should be granted.

Patent Owner's argument that the proceedings in the Honda IPR have reached or soon will reach its substantive stages is not persuasive. KMA's identical petition and motion for joinder were filed before the close of discovery and Patent Owner's response is not due until January 4, 2016. *See* Honda IPR, Scheduling Order, Paper 12; *see also Perfect World*, IPR2015-01026, Paper 10 at 6 (granting joinder despite patent owner's argument that the existing IPR has reached its substantive stages). Indeed, KMA did not participate in the December 1, 2015 deposition of expert, Dr. Carr, and has no intention to revisit the already conducted deposition of Dr. Carr. Rather, KMA simply seeks to join the ongoing Honda IPR, adopting its "understudy" role upon the grant of joinder.

Patent Owner further argues that by joining KMA, termination of the proceeding would not be possible. This argument is also unpersuasive and premature because there has been no indication of Honda and Patent Owner reaching a settlement agreement and a Motion to Terminate has not been filed. *See Nintendo*, IPR2015-00568, Paper 12 at 4-5 (granting joinder despite patent owner's concerns of settlement because at the time Nintendo filed its petition and motion for joinder, no Motion to Terminate had been filed in the existing IPR proceeding).



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

