

IPR2016-00111
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FRESENIUS KABI USA, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

CEPHALON, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00111
Patent No. 8,895,756

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,895,756
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II.	NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES.....	4
	A. Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	4
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	5
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	6
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	6
	E. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
	F. Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	6
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)	7
	A. The Alleged Invention of the '756 Patent.....	9
	B. The Prosecution History of the '756 Patent.....	10
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	12
V.	HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	13
VI.	DETAILED EXPLANATION AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(4) AND (B)(5).....	13
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-4 Are Obvious Over the Ribomustin® Product Monograph in View Of Alexander or Sauerbier.	14
	B. Ground 2: Claims 1-4 Are Obvious Over the Ribomustin® Product Monograph in View Of Alexander or Sauerbier And Further in View of Teagarden.....	26
	C. Ground 3: Claims 1-4 Are Obvious Over the Ribomustin® Product Monograph in View Of Alexander or Sauerbier and Teagarden and	

Further in View of DeLuca.....	35
D. Ground 4: Claims 1-4 Are Obvious Over Maas and the Ribomustin® Product Monograph in View Of Alexander or Sauerbier and Teagarden.	46
VII. PROPOSED GROUNDS 1-4 FOR UNPATENTABILITY ARE NOT CUMULATIVE.....	56
VIII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS, EVEN IF CONSIDERED, FAIL TO OVERCOME THE EVIDENCE OF OBVIOUSNESS.....	58
IX. CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Breath Ltd.</i> , 603 F. App'x 999 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	59
<i>Aventis Pharma S.A. v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , 743 F. Supp. 2d 305 (D. Del. 2010).....	59
<i>Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , 713 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	60
<i>Bayer Schering Pharma A.G. v. Barr Laboratories Inc.</i> , 575 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	4, 19
<i>In re Bendamustine Consolidated Cases</i> , Case No. 1:13-cv-2046 (D. Del. Dec. 19, 2013)	5
<i>Cephalon, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-404 (D. Del. May 19, 2015)	5
<i>Cephalon, Inc. v. Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd.</i> , Case No. 15-cv-00179 (D. Del.).....	5
<i>Cephalon, Inc. v. Nang Kuang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.</i> , Case No. 1:14-cv-5180 (E.D.N.Y. Sept. 3, 2014)	5
<i>Cephalon, Inc. v. Panacea Biotec, Ltd.</i> , Case No. 1:15-cv-735 (D. Del. Aug. 25, 2015).....	5
<i>In re Huai-Hung Kao</i> , 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	58
<i>In re Huang</i> , 100 F.3d 135 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	59
<i>In re Kubin</i> , 561 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	3, 19

Wyers v. Master Lock Co.,
616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010)58

FEDERAL STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)11, 12
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)7
35 U.S.C. § 1037, 9, 56
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-19.....1
35 U.S.C. § 311(b)14
35 U.S.C. §§ 3154

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....4
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....5
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....6
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....6
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)6
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2)7
37 C.F.R. § 42.63(e).....14
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)13
37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*.....1
37 C.F.R. § 42.1036
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)7
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.