

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.
Petitioner

v.

LIMESTONE MEMORY SYSTEMS LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR. No. **Unassigned**
U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181

**Corrected Declaration of R. Jacob Baker, Ph.D. in
Support of Petition For Inter Partes Review
of U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181
Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II. MATERIALS RELIED ON IN FORMING MY OPINION.....	4
III. UNDERSTANDING OF THE GOVERNING LAW	4
A. Invalidity by Obviousness	4
IV. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6
V. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE 181 PATENT.....	7
A. Technology Background	7
B. The 181 Patent.....	13
VI. 181 PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY	20
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS	24
A. Legal Standard.....	24
B. “word lines” (claims 1-7)	24
C. “spare memory cells” (claims 1-7).....	25
D. “sense amplifier bands” (claims 3 and 5).....	26
VIII. THE PRIOR ART.....	27
A. Sukegawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,487,040).....	27
B. Walck (U.S. Patent No. 4,967,1.397).....	35
C. Motivations to Combine Sukegawa and Walck	36
D. Betty Prince, <i>Semiconductor Memories</i> (2d ed. 1992)	37
E. Motivations to Combine Sukegawa and Prince	38
F. Oh (U.S. Patent No. 5,355,339)	39
G. Motivations to Combine Sukegawa and Oh.....	41
IX. GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY	42
X. Ground #1: Claim 1 is obvious over Sukegawa	1
XI. Ground #1: Claim 2 is obvious over Sukegawa	25
XII. Ground #2: Claim 3 is obvious over Sukegawa in view of Prince	30
XIII. Ground #3: Claim 4 is obvious over Sukegawa in view of Prince	36

XIV. Ground #4: Claim 5 is obvious over Sukegawa in view of Walck39
XV. Ground #1: Claim 6 is obvious over Sukegawa45
XVI. Ground #5: Claim 7 is obvious over Sukegawa in view of Oh.....52

I, R. Jacob Baker, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. My name is R. Jacob Baker. My findings, as set forth herein, are based on my education and background in the fields discussed below.

2. I have been retained on behalf of Petitioner Micron Technology, Inc. (“Micron”) to provide this Declaration concerning technical subject matter relevant to the *inter partes* review petition (“Petition”) concerning U.S. Patent No. 6,233,181 (“the 181 Patent”). I reserve the right to supplement this Declaration in response to additional evidence that may come to light.

3. I am over 18 years of age. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and could testify competently to them if asked to do so.

4. My compensation is not based on the resolution of this matter. My findings are based on my education, experience, and background in the fields discussed below.

5. I currently serve as a Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV). I have been teaching electrical engineering at UNLV since 2012. Prior to this position, I was a Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Boise State University from 2000. Prior to my position at Boise State University, I was an Associate Professor Electrical Engineering between 1998 and 2000 and Assistant Professor of

Electrical Engineering between 1993 and 1998 at the University of Idaho. I have been teaching electrical engineering since 1991.

6. I received my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Nevada, Reno in 1993. I also received a MS and BS in Electrical Engineering from UNLV in 1988 and 1986, respectively.

7. As described in my CV (MICRON-1008), I am a licensed Professional Engineer in the state of Idaho and have more than 25 years of experience, including extensive experience in circuit design and manufacture of Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) integrated circuit chips and CMOS Image Sensors (CISs) at Micron in Boise, Idaho. I also spent considerable time working on the development of Flash Memory while at Micron. My efforts resulted in more than a dozen Flash-memory related patents. Among other experiences, I led development of the Delay-Locked Loop (DLL) in the late 90s so that Micron products could transition to the DDR memory standard. I have worked as a consultant at other companies designing memory chips, including Sun, Oracle, and Contour Semiconductor. I have also worked as a consultant at other companies designing CISs, including OmniVision and Lockheed Martin.

8. I have taught courses in integrated circuit design (analog, digital, mixed-signal, etc.), linear circuits, microelectronics, communication systems, and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.