Paper 37

Entered: October 27, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS AG, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01059 Patent 5,665,772

BRECKENRIDGE PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS AG, Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2016-01023, IPR2016-01103 Patent 5,665,772



ROXANE LABORATORIES, INC., Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS AG, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01102 Patent 5,665,772

Before LORA M. GREEN, CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, and ROBERT A. POLLOCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CRUMBLEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Granting, Granting-In-Part, and Denying Motions for Joinder 35 U.S.C. § 315(c); 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)



I. INTRODUCTION

On April 29, 2016, the Board instituted an *inter partes* review trial of claims 1–3 and 8–10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,665,772 (Ex. 1001,¹ "the '772 patent"). *Par Pharm. v. Novartis AG*, Case IPR2016-00084 ("Par I"), Paper 8. Trial in that matter is pending on the following grounds of unpatentability:

- 1. Whether claims 1–3 and 10 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as having been obvious over Morris,² Van Duyne,³ Rossmann,⁴ Yalkowski,⁵ and Lemke;⁶ and
- 2. Whether claims 8 and 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as having been obvious over Morris, Van Duyne, Rossmann, Yalkowski, Lemke, and Hughes.⁷



¹ Unless otherwise indicated, when essentially identical documents have been filed in each of the cases, for simplicity we will cite only to the docket of IPR2016-01023.

² Randall Ellis Morris, *Rapamycins: Antifungal, Antitumor, Antiproliferative, and Immunosuppressive Macrolides*, 6 TRANSPLANTATION REVIEWS 39–87 (1992) (Ex. 1005).

³ Gregory D. Van Duyne et al., *Atomic Structure of the Rapamycin Human Immunophilin FKBP-12 Complex*, 113 J. Am. CHEM. SOC'Y 7433–35 (1991) (Ex. 1006).

⁴ Michael G. Rossmann *et al.*, *Three-Dimensional Coordinates from Stereodiagrams of Molecular Structures*, B36 ACTA CRYST. 819–23 (1980) (Ex. 1024).

⁵ Samuel H. Yalkowsky, *Estimation of Entropies of Fusion of Organic Compounds*, 18 INDUS. ENG'G CHEM. FUNDAM. 108–11 (1979) (Ex. 1007).

⁶ Thomas L. Lemke, *Chapter 16: Predicting Water Solubility*, REVIEW OF ORGANIC FUNCTIONAL GROUPS 113–21 (2d ed. 1988) (Ex. 1008).

⁷ U.S. Patent 5,233,036 (Aug. 3, 1993) (Ex. 1009).

IPR2016-01023, IPR2016-01059, IPR2016-01102, IPR2016-01103 Patent 5,665,772

Four additional petitions have now been filed with the Board, each seeking joinder with Par I. We summarize these petitions below.

In IPR2016-01059 ("Par II"), Par Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claim 7 of the '772 patent. Par II, Paper 1, "Par II Pet." Concurrently with its Petition, Par filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 3, "Par II Mot."), seeking joinder with the Par I case. The owner of the '772 patent, Novartis AG, filed an Opposition to the Motion for Joinder (Paper 11) and a Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 16, "Prelim. Resp.").

In IPR2016-01023 ("Breckenridge I"), Breckenridge Pharmaceuticals, Inc. filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–3 and 8–10 of the '772 patent. Breckenridge I, Paper 4, "Breckenridge I Pet." Concurrently with its Petition, Breckenridge filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 5, "Breckenridge I Mot."), seeking joinder with the Par I case. Novartis filed an Opposition to the Motion for Joinder (Paper 12) and a Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 17).

In IPR2016-01103 ("Breckenridge II"), Breckenridge filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claim 7 of the '772 patent. Breckenridge II, Paper 1, "Breckenridge II Pet." Concurrently with its Petition, Breckenridge filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 4, "Breckenridge II Mot."), seeking joinder with the Par I case. Novartis filed an Opposition to the Motion for Joinder (Paper 10) and a Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 15).

¹ Novartis filed identical Preliminary Responses in each of the four cases. We will cite to them generally as "Prelim. Resp."



4

In IPR2016-01102 ("Roxane"), Roxane Laboratories, Inc. filed a Petition requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–3 and 7–10 of the '772 patent. Roxane, Paper 2, "Roxane Pet." Concurrently with its Petition, Roxane filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 3, "Roxane Mot."), seeking joinder with the Par I case. Novartis filed an Opposition to the Motion for Joinder (Paper 11) and a Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 14).

The grounds of unpatentability asserted, and the claims challenged, in all five proceedings may be summarized as follows:

Ground of Unpatentability	Challenged Claim(s)	Case
Morris, Van Duyne,	1–3, 10	Par I (instituted)
Rossmann, Yalkowski, and		Breckenridge I
Lemke		Roxane
Morris, Van Duyne,	8, 9	Par I (instituted)
Rossmann, Yalkowski,		Breckenridge I
Lemke, and Hughes		Roxane
	7	Par II
		Breckenridge II
		Roxane

As a threshold matter, we determine that the Motions for Joinder were timely. Our Rules provide that a request for joinder must be filed "no later than one month after the institution date of any *inter partes* review for which joinder is requested." 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b). The Motions were filed on or before May 26, 2016, less than one month after the April 29, 2016 institution date of the Par I *inter partes* review, and are thus timely.

For the reasons explained below, we grant the Breckenridge I Motion, grant-in-part the Roxane Motion, and deny the Par II and Breckenridge II Motions.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

