Paper No	
Date Filed: September 16, 2	2016

Filed On Behalf Of:

Novartis AG

By:

Nicholas N. Kallas NKallas@fchs.com ZortressAfinitorIPR@fchs.com (212) 218-2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,

,

Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS AG,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00084

Patent No. 5,665,772

NOVARTIS'S PATENT OWNER RESPONSE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Intro	duction	n		1
II.	Argu	ment (Overvi	iew	2
III.	Grou	nd 1: (Claims	s 1-3 And 10	6
	A.	Motiv Nor I	vated Have l	Vith Par's Goals Would Not Have Been To Modify Rapamycin To Make Everolimus Had A Reasonable Expectation Of Achieving ls	6
		1.	Moti Reas	ke And Yalkowsky Do Not Provide A ivation To Make Everolimus Nor A sonable Expectation Of Increasing Water bility	7
			a.	Par Focuses On Lemke's Teachings About Adding Hydroxyl Groups, But Adding Hydroxyl Groups To Rapamycin Would Not Lead To Everolimus	7
			b.	Lemke Would Not Have Motivated A POSA To Add An Ethyleneoxy Because A POSA Would Not Have Reasonably Expected Everolimus To Have Increased Water Solubility	9
			c.	Lemke Would Have Suggested Other Modifications To Rapamycin, Not The Addition Of An Ethyleneoxy	12
			d.	Dr. Jorgensen's New Deposition Arguments Do Not Reflect How A POSA Would Have Interpreted Lemke	14
			e.	Dr. Jorgensen's New Deposition Argument That Primary Alcohols Would Have Been Reasonably Expected To Impart Greater Solubility Than Secondary Alcohols Is Unsupported	16



	f.	Yalkowsky's Teachings Are Inapplicable To Rapamycin's Or Everolimus's Water Solubility17		
		i.	Everolimus Is Not A Long-Chain Derivative Of A Rigid Molecule Under Yalkowsky	20
		ii.	Dr. Jorgensen's New Deposition Argument Is Unsupported As Figure 2 Only Applies To Long-Chain Derivatives	23
	g.	Wate	er Solubility Is Unpredictable	25
2.	(PEC	G) Groi Additio	sen's Reliance On Polyethyleneoxy ups Is Not Supported Nor Relevant To on Of A Single Ethyleneoxy Group To	26
3.	Expe Solul	ectation bility S	ot Established A Reasonable of Increasing Rapamycin's Water Sufficiently To Provide A Practical	29
4.	Conc	clusion	l	31
Par Has Not Established That A POSA Would Have Been Motivated To Make Only The Three Specific Compounds Par Proposes, With A Reasonable Expectation That They Would Maintain Rapamycin's Immunosuppressive Activity		31		
1.			apamycin Derivatives Were Not Those With Small C40 Groups	32
2.	Wou	ld Not	are Of Rapamycin Bound To FKBP-12 Have Led A POSA To Consider Only	_
	Smal	ll Subs	tituents	36



B.

	3.	The Art As A Whole Would Have Taught A POSA To Synthesize Ester And Amide Derivatives, Not Avoid Them	38			
	4.	Par's List Of Possible C40-Substituents Ignores Numerous Options A POSA Would Have Considered	40			
	5.	A POSA Would Not Have Expected Everolimus To Have Immunosuppressant Activity Comparable To Rapamycin With Improved Solubility As Par Suggests	42			
C.		lecting Its Lead Compound, Par Ignored The Prior As A Whole	47			
D.	To In	Tails To Show A Motivation To Modify Rapamycin acrease Water Solubility, Let Alone A Motivation To By Chemical Synthesis Of Everolimus	50			
	1.	Contrary To Par's Allegation, Morris Does Not Provide A Motivation To Improve Rapamycin's Water Solubility	51			
	2.	Par Ignores Preferred Methods Of Addressing Water Solubility	53			
Е.	Par Fails To Show A Sufficient Motivation To Modify Rapamycin's C40 Position Over Other Positions					
F.	Par Fails To Show That A POSA Would Have Reasonably Expected Everolimus To Have Its Unique Combination Of Properties					
	1.	A POSA Would Not Have Reasonably Expected Everolimus's Combination Of Immunosuppressive Properties	56			
	2.	A POSA Also Would Not Have Reasonably Expected Everolimus's Observed Antitumor Activity	58			



G.	Compelling Objective Indicia Further Support Non- Obviousness					
	1.	Everolimus's Antitumor Properties Provide Evidence That Claims 1-3 And 10 Are Non- Obvious				
		a.	Everolimus Satisfied Long-Felt But Unmet Medical Needs In RCC And Breast Cancer	61		
		b.	Others Tried And Failed To Develop Effective Therapies For Advanced RCC And Breast Cancer	62		
		c.	Everolimus Unexpectedly Has FDA Approval For Six Antitumor Indications	63		
		d.	Everolimus Has Achieved Significant Commercial Success	66		
		e.	Everolimus Has Received Industry Praise	66		
	2.	Prov	Everolimus's Immunosuppressant Properties Provide Evidence Of Non-Obviousness Of Claims 1-3 And 10			
		a.	Others Tried And Failed To Develop Rapamycin Derivatives For Preventing Transplant Rejection	67		
		b.	Everolimus Met A Long Felt Need For An Immunosuppressive Regimen For Liver Transplant Recipients	68		
		c.	Everolimus Has A Significantly Shorter Half- Life Than Rapamycin And Can Be Safely Co- Administered With Cyclosporin A	68		
Grou	ınd 2: (Claims	8 And 9	69		
Con	clucion			71		



IV.

V.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

