# **Guidance for Industry** Clinical Trial Endpoints for the Approval of Cancer Drugs and Biologics

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> May 2007 Clinical/Medical

# **Guidance for Industry** Clinical Trial Endpoints for the Approval of Cancer Drugs and Biologics

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communications Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20857 (Tel) 301-827-4573 http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

or

Office of Communication, Training, and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 1401 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-1448 (Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800 http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> May 2007 Clinical/Medical

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	.1
II.	BACKGROUND	. 2
А.	Regulatory Requirements for Effectiveness	2
B.	Endpoints Supporting Past Approvals in Oncology	3
III.	GENERAL ENDPOINT CONSIDERATIONS	
A.	Overall Survival	
B.	Endpoints Based on Tumor Assessments	
2.	Disease-Free Survival Objective Response Rate Time to Progression and Progression-Free Survival	6 7
5.	a. TTP vs. PFS	
	b. PFS as an endpoint to support drug approval	
	<ul><li>c. PFS trial design issues</li><li>d. Analysis of PFS</li></ul>	
4.	Time-to-Treatment Failure	9
C.	Endpoints Involving Symptom Assessment	10
	Specific Symptom Endpoints	
2. D.	Problems Encountered with Symptom Data Biomarkers	
IV.	CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	
л	Single-Arm Studies	
	6	
В.	Studies Designed to Demonstrate Noninferiority	
C.	Trial Designs for Radiotherapy Protectants and Chemotherapy Protectants	
V.	CONCLUSION	12
APPENDIX 1: TUMOR MEASUREMENT DATA COLLECTION		
APPENDIX 2: ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN PFS ANALYSIS		
APPENDIX 3: EXAMPLE TABLES FOR PFS ANALYSIS		
APPENDIX 4: INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF TUMOR ENDPOINTS		

### Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup> Clinical Trial Endpoints for the Approval of Cancer Drugs and Biologics

This guidance represents the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

### I. INTRODUCTION

This guidance is the first in a planned series of cancer endpoint guidances. It provides recommendations to applicants on endpoints for cancer clinical trials submitted to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to support effectiveness claims in new drug applications (NDAs), biologics license applications (BLAs), or supplemental applications.<sup>2</sup> It also provides background information and discusses general regulatory principles. The endpoints discussed in this guidance are for drugs to treat patients with an existing cancer. This guidance does not address endpoints for drugs to prevent or decrease the incidence of cancer.

The FDA is developing guidance on oncology endpoints through a process that includes public workshops and discussions before the FDA's Oncologic Drugs Advisory Committee (ODAC).<sup>3</sup> Each subsequent guidance will focus on endpoints for specific cancer types (e.g., lung cancer, colon cancer) to support drug approval or labeling claims.

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Drug Oncology Products and the Division of Biologic Oncology Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the purposes of this guidance, all references to *drugs* include both human drugs and biological products unless otherwise specified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Transcripts are available at http://www.fda.gov/cder/drug/cancer\_endpoints/default.htm.

### II. BACKGROUND

Clinical trial endpoints serve different purposes. In conventional oncology drug development, early phase clinical trials evaluate safety and identify evidence of biological drug activity, such as tumor shrinkage. Endpoints for later phase efficacy studies commonly evaluate whether a drug provides a clinical benefit such as prolongation of survival or an improvement in symptoms. The following sections discuss the general regulatory requirements for efficacy and how they have influenced endpoint selection for the approval of cancer drugs. Later sections describe these endpoints in more detail and discuss whether they might serve as measures of disease activity or clinical benefit in various clinical settings.

### A. Regulatory Requirements for Effectiveness

The requirement that new drugs show effectiveness is based on a 1962 amendment to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. This law requires substantial evidence of effectiveness and specifies that this evidence must be derived from adequate and well-controlled clinical investigations. Similarly, the Public Health Service Act requires biological products to be safe, pure, and potent. Clinical benefits that have supported drug approval have included important clinical outcomes (e.g., increased survival, symptomatic improvement) but have also included effects on established surrogate endpoints (e.g., blood pressure, serum cholesterol).

The accelerated approval regulations (21 CFR part 314, subpart H and 21 CFR part 601, subpart E), promulgated in 1992, allow use of additional endpoints for approval of drugs or biological products that are intended to treat serious or life-threatening diseases and that either demonstrate an improvement over available therapy or provide therapy where none exists. In this setting, the FDA may grant approval based on an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is *reasonably likely* to predict clinical benefit ("based on epidemiologic, therapeutic, pathophysiologic, or other evidence"). Such surrogates are less well-established than surrogates in regular use, such as blood pressure or cholesterol for cardiovascular disease. A drug is approved under the accelerated approval regulations on condition that the manufacturer conducts clinical studies to verify and describe the actual clinical benefit. If the postmarketing studies fail to demonstrate clinical benefit or if the applicant does not demonstrate due diligence in conducting the required studies, the drug may be removed from the market under an expedited process. In the following discussion, the term *regular approval* denotes the longstanding route of drug approval based on the demonstration of clinical benefit. That term is distinguished from *accelerated approval*, which is associated with use of a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict benefit.

The evidence critical for supporting drug approval, including the preferred number of clinical trials, is discussed in the guidance for industry *FDA Approval of New Cancer Treatment Uses for Marketed Drug and Biological Products*<sup>4</sup> and in the FDA Modernization Act of 1997.<sup>5</sup> In most

DOCKE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We update guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the CDER guidance Web page at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> http://www.fda.gov/cder/fdama/default.htm

### DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

### **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.