

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Attorney Docket No.: 10973-0232IP1

In re Patent of: Smith et al.  
U.S. Patent No.: 7,241,034 B2  
Issue Date: July 10, 2007  
Appl. Serial No.: 10/285,312  
Filing Date: October 31, 2002  
Reexam. Cert. No.: 7,241,034 C1  
Reexam. Cert. Date: June 14, 2013.

Title: AUTOMATIC DIRECTIONAL CONTROL SYSTEM FOR  
VEHICLE HEADLIGHTS

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF CLAIMS 3-26 AND 28-35  
OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 7,241,034 C1  
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8.....	1
A. Related PTO Proceedings.....	1
B. Litigation Involving the ‘034 Patent .....	1
C. Real Party-in-Interest .....	3
D. Designation of Counsel, Consent to Service, and Payment of Fees .....	3
II. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104.....	4
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	4
III. SUMMARY OF THE ‘034 PATENT .....	7
A. The Alleged Invention of the ‘034 Patent.....	7
B. Background of the Art.....	8
C. Summary of the Prosecution Histories of the ‘034 Patent & Reexamination Certificate.....	11
1. The Original Application & ‘034 Patent.....	11
2. Reexamination .....	13
D. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3).....	17
E. The Board should not decline institution on §112, ¶ 6 grounds .....	18
F. Any noncompliance by the Patentees with §112, ¶6 standards should not bar reaching a final decision on obviousness .....	19
IV. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF OBVIOUSNESS .....	21

V. CLAIMS 3-26, 28-35 ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER PRIOR ART..... 23

A. IPR Ground 1 - Claims 7, 8-9, 13-18, 20-21, 23-24, 28-29, 31-32 and 35 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi ..... 24

1. All of the limitations of independent claim 7, except the threshold limitation, and all limitations of its dependent claims 8, 14-18, 20-21, 23-24, 28 and 32 are disclosed in Kato..... 24

2. Claim Chart for Kato ..... 26

3. Takahashi discloses the threshold limitation in claim 7..... 32

4. Claims 7, 8, 14-18, 20-21, 23-24, 28 and 32 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi ..... 34

5. Takahashi also discloses the additional limitations in dependent claims 9, 13, 29, 31 and 35..... 35

6. Claims 9, 13, 29, 31 and 35 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi..... 37

B. IPR Ground 2 – Claim 10 is unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Mori ..... 40

C. IPR Ground 3 – Claims 11 and 19 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Uguchi ..... 41

D. IPR Ground 4 – Claim 12 is unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Ishikawa..... 44

E. IPR Ground 5 – Claim 22 is unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Panter..... 45

F. IPR Ground 6 – Claims 25 and 26 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Suzuki..... 47

G. IPR Ground 7 – Claims 30, 33 and 34 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Takahashi and further in view of Okuchi..... 48

H. IPR Ground 8 – Claims 3 and 6 are unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Uguchi..... 52

1. All of the limitations of independent claim 3 and dependent claim 6 are disclosed in Kato, except the threshold and rate of change of steering angle limitations of claim 3, which are disclosed in Uguchi..... 52

2. Uguchi discloses the threshold limitation and the rate of change of steering angle limitation in claim 3..... 54

3. Independent claim 3 and dependent claim 6 are unpatentable as obvious from the combination of Kato and Uguchi..... 55

I. IPR Ground 9 – Claim 4 is unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Uguchi and further in view of Ishikawa..... 57

J. IPR Ground 10 – Claim 5 is unpatentable as obvious from Kato in view of Uguchi and further in view of Takahashi..... 58

VI. CONCLUSION..... 59

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Title of Document</b>
<b>KOITO 1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034
<b>KOITO 1002</b>	Reexamination Certificate, U.S. Patent No. 7,241,034 C1
<b>KOITO 1003</b>	File History for U.S. Serial No. 10/285,312
<b>KOITO 1004</b>	File History for Ex Parte Reexamination Proceedings 90/011,011
<b>KOITO 1005</b>	File History for Merged Reexamination Proceedings 90/011,011 & 95/001,621
<b>KOITO 1006</b>	Kato, Japan Patent Application Publication H10-324191 (“Kato”)
<b>KOITO 1007</b>	Certified Translation of Kato
<b>KOITO 1008</b>	Takahashi, UK Published Patent Application GB 2 309 774 A (“Takahashi”)
<b>KOITO 1009</b>	Mori et al., Japan Patent Application Publication H7-164960 (“Mori”)
<b>KOITO 1010</b>	Certified Translation of Mori
<b>KOITO 1011</b>	Uguchi et al, Japan Patent Application Publication H01-223042 (“Uguchi”)
<b>KOITO 1012</b>	Certified Translation of Uguchi
<b>KOITO 1013</b>	Ishikawa et al, “Auto-Levelling Projector Headlamp System with Rotatable Light Shield,” SAE Technical Paper Series No. 930726, March 1993 (“Ishikawa”)
<b>KOITO 1014</b>	Panter, U.S. Patent No. 5,751,832 (“Panter”)
<b>KOITO 1015</b>	Suzuki et al., Japan Patent Application Publication H6-335228 (“Suzuki”)
<b>KOITO 1016</b>	Certified Translation of Suzuki

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.