UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

Koito Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
Petitioner

v.

Adaptive Headlamp Technologies, Inc.
Patent Owner

_____

Case IPR2016-00079
Patent 7,241,034

PATENT OWNER'S RESPSONE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv
I. INTRODUCTION 1
II. BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF THE '034 PATENT 4
III. CLAIM INTERPRETATION 6
IV. PRIOR ART REFERENCES 7
A. KATO 7
B. TAKAHASHI 10
C. UGUCHI 12
V. PETITIONER'S FIRST ASSERTED GROUND 12
A. Kato is non-analogous prior art which may not be relied upon to support a finding of obviousness. 15
1. Kato is addressed to a different field of endeavor than the '034 Patent. 16
2. Kato is not reasonably pertinent to the problem addressed by the '034 Patent. 20
B. There is no motivation to combine Kato with the threshold of Takahashi 24
1. Consideration of Kato in its entirety belies Petitioner's proffered motivation to modify Kato with the threshold of Takahashi 25
2. Kato teaches against modification to implement a threshold as taught by Takahashi. 28
C. Claim 7 is patentable over the combination of Kato and Takahashi since the modified device does not meet all limitations of Independent Claim 7. 31
VI. PETITIONER'S EIGHTH ASSERTED GROUND 35
A. Kato is non-analogous prior art which may not be relied upon to support a finding of obviousness. 37
B. There is no motivation to combine Kato with the threshold of Uguchi 38

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. Consideration of Kato and Uguchi in their entireties belies Petitioner's proffered motivation to modify Kato with the threshold of Uguchi.....38

2. Kato teaches against modification to implement a threshold as taught by Uguchi.....40

VII. PETITIONER'S ASSERTED GROUNDS 2-7, 9 AND 10.....43

VIII. CONCLUSION.....44

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....45

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Intern. Corp.,
349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) 31

Cheese Sys. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys.,
725 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....32

Ex parte Levengood,
28 USPQ2d 1300 (B.P.A.I. 1993) ……..... 24, 37

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....15

Hartness Int'l, Inc. v. Simplimatic Eng'g Co.,
819 F.2d 1100, 1108 (Fed. Cir. 1987) ……12, 35

In re Abele,
684 F.2d 902, 910 (CCPA 1982) ……12, 35

In re Antle,
444 F.2d 1168 (CCPA 1971) ……19

In re Bigio,
381 F.3d 1320, 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2004)…… 3, 15, 16, 20

In re Clay, 966 F.2d 656
(Fed. Cir. 1992) …… 15, 20

In re Deminski,
796 F.2d 436 (Fed. Cir. 1986) ……..……16

In re Ellis,
476 F.2d 1370 (CCPA 1973) ……..……...…17

In re Fine,

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

837 F.2d 1071, 1076 (Fed. Cir. 1988) ……12, 35

In re Gordon,
733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984) …… 3, 29

In re Icon Health and Fitness, Inc.,
496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007) ……... 20

In re Kahn,
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) ……..... 24

In re Royka
490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974).....31

In re Oetiker,
977 F.2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992) ……...……..……16

In re Ratti,
270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959) …… 29

In re Wood,
599 F.2d 1032 (CCPA 1979) ……...……..……16

Jones v. Hardy,
727 F.2d 1524 (Fed. Cir. 1984) ……... 32

KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....24, 37

Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co.,
810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987) ……... 32

Stevenson v. Int'l Trade Comm.,
612 F.2d 546 (CCPA 1979)……..……...……..……17

W.L. Gore & Assoc., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.,
721 F.2d 1540 (Fed. Cir. 1983)……...…… 24, 32, 38

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.