

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

KOITO MANUFACTURING CO., LTD,  
Petitioner,

v.

ADAPTIVE HEADLAMP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00079  
Patent 7,241,034 C1

---

Before MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, RAMA G. ELLURU, and  
SCOTT C. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Granting Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice*  
of David A. Skeels  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Patent Owner has filed a motion to have Mr. David A. Skeels admitted *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. Paper 7. Patent Owner has also submitted a declaration from Mr. Skeels in support of this motion. Ex. 2001. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to Patent Owner's motion.

Based on the facts set forth in the motion and the accompanying declaration, we conclude that Mr. Skeels has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, that Mr. Skeels has demonstrated the necessarily familiarity with the subject matter of these cases, and that there is a need for Patent Owner to be represented by counsel who has experience litigating the challenged patent. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for the admission of Mr. Skeels *pro hac vice*. Mr. Skeels will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion to admit Mr. David A. Skeels *pro hac vice* (Paper 7) is granted, and Mr. Skeels is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner continue to have a registered practitioner serve as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Skeels comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42, of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

Case IPR2016-00079  
Patent 7,241,034 C1

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Skeels is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

For PETITIONER:

Samuel Borodach  
Michael F. Autuoro  
John Pegram  
John Goetz  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
[IPR10973-0232IP1@fr.com](mailto:IPR10973-0232IP1@fr.com)  
[PTABInbound@fr.com](mailto:PTABInbound@fr.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Brett M. Pinkus  
Friedman, Suder & Cooke  
[pinkus@fsclaw.com](mailto:pinkus@fsclaw.com)