IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Inter Partes Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 7,297,703)
Issued: Nov. 20, 2007)
Application No.: 11/020,860)
Filing Date: Dec. 23, 2004)

For: Macrolides

FILED VIA PRPS

DECLARATION OF ERIC J. BENJAMIN, PH.D. IN SUPPORT OF **PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW** OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,297,703

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	Introduction and Qualifications		
II.	and an Ant	ence with Solid Mixtures Comprising a Poly-ene Macrolide ioxidant as Well as Processes for Stabilizing Such Mixtures -1990s	3
III.	Understand	ling Of The Governing Law	
		lidity by Anticipation or Obviousness	
		preting Claims Before the Patent Office	
	C. Mate	erials Relied on in Forming My Opinions	ð
IV.	Overview	Of The '703 Patent	8
V.	Summary (Of Opinions	10
VI.	Common General Knowledge Of a POSA Of The '703 Patent		
		d Mixtures, Including Solid Dispersions, Were Well- wn in the Art	11
		roemulsions Were Well-Known in the Art	
	40-0	-ene Macrolides, Including Rapamycin and its Derivative D-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, Were Well-Known in the	
	D. Poly Deri Kno Rout	r-ene Macrolides, Including Rapamycin and the Rapamycin vative 40-O-(2-hydroxy)ethyl Rapamycin, Were Well- wn to be Unstable under Normal Conditions and Were tinely Stabilized by Mixing with Antioxidants, Including min E, Vitamin C, and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol	
	and Rout	T) d Mixtures of Poly-ene Macrolides, Including Rapamycin its Derivative 40-0-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-rapamycin, Were tine and Included Pharmaceutical Compositions Containing maceutically Acceptable Carriers or Diluents	15
VII.	The Person	n Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	18

DOCKET

VIII.	The l	Invalidating Prior Art Relied Upon	19
	A.	Guitard (Ex. 1004)	19
	B.	Fricker (Ex. 1005)	24
	C.	Eastlick (Ex. 1006)	27
IX.	Moti	vations To Combine The Prior Art	33
	A.	Motivation to Combine Fricker with Eastlick	33
	A.	Reasonable Expectation of Success in Combining Fricker with	
		Eastlick	
X.	Clair	n Constructions	38
	A.	Legal Standard	38
	B.	"solid mixture"	
	C.	"catalytic amount"	41
	D.	"admixed with"	42
XI.	Grou	nds Of Invalidity	44
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 6-9, and 11 are Invalid under 35 U.S.C.	
		§ 102 on the Ground That They are Anticipated by Guitard	
		1. Claim 1	
		2. Claim 2	
		3. Claim 3	
		4. Claim 6	
		5. Claim 7	
		6. Claim 87. Claim 9	
		 Claim 9 Claim 11 	
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-3, 6-9, and 11 are Invalid under 35 U.S.C.	07
	D.	§ 103 on the Ground That They are Rendered Obvious by	
		Eastlick in View of Fricker	68
		1. Claim 1	
		2. Claim 2	
		3. Claim 3	91
		4. Claim 6	
		5. Claim 7	
		6. Claim 8	101
		7. Claim 9	110

Declaration of Eric J. Benjamin, Ph.D., in Support of Par Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,703

8.	Claim 11	.11	.4	-
----	----------	-----	----	---

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. Declaration of Eric J. Benjamin, Ph.D., in Support of Par Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,703

I, Eric J. Benjamin, resident of Jamestown, North Carolina, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

1. I have been retained by Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Par") to provide my opinions concerning the invalidity of claims 1-3, 6-9, and 11 (the "challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,703 (Ex. 1001, the "703 Patent") in support of Par's Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,297,703 (the "703 Petition"). I have not previously been employed or retained by Par in any capacity.

2. I have worked in the pharmaceutical industry for forty years and retired in 2011 as the Vice President of Pharmaceutical Development at TransTech Pharma in High Point, North Carolina. At TransTech, I was responsible for all chemistry and manufacturing control activities related to drug substance and drug products being conducted in-house and at contract organizations. My responsibilities included discovery support, manufacturing and testing of drug substances, pre-formulation and formulation studies, the development of analytical methods and testing of drug products, preparation and packaging of clinical trial materials, and preparation of chemistry and manufacturing control regulatory submissions. Since beginning at TransTech in 2003, I have also served as the Director and Senior Director of Pharmaceutical Development.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.