

Filed On Behalf Of:

Novartis AG

By:

Nicholas N. Kallas
NKallas@fchs.com
ZortressAfinitorIPR@fchs.com
(212) 218-2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

NOVARTIS AG,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No. 2016-00075

U.S. Patent 7,297,703

PRELIMINARY RESPONSE BY
PATENT OWNER PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	The Board Should Adopt Novartis’s Construction Of The Claim Term “Solid Mixture” And Reject Par’s Construction	1
A.	The Intrinsic Evidence Repeatedly And Consistently Distinguishes The Claimed “Solid Mixture” From A Pharmaceutical Composition	2
1.	The ’703 Patent Claims Distinguish The Claimed “Solid Mixture” From A Pharmaceutical Composition.....	5
2.	The Specification Also Distinguishes The Claimed “Solid Mixture” From A Pharmaceutical Composition.....	12
3.	The Prosecution History Also Distinguishes The Claimed “Solid Mixture” From A Pharmaceutical Composition.....	17
II.	The Board Should Deny Institution Of Ground 1 As To Claims 1-3, 6 and 7 Because Guitard Does Not Anticipate Those Claims Of The ’703 Patent.....	21
III.	The Board Should Deny Institution Of Ground 1 As To Claims 8, 9 And 11 Because Guitard Does Not Anticipate Those Claims Of The ’703 Patent.....	25
IV.	The Board Should Deny Institution Of Ground 2 Because Eastlick And Fricker Do Not Render Obvious Any Claim Of The ’703 Patent.....	28
A.	Eastlick Does Not Teach Or Suggest That Everolimus Or Rapamycin Is Oxidatively Unstable	29
B.	Fricker Does Not Teach Or Suggest That Everolimus Or Rapamycin Is Oxidatively Unstable	33

C. Because Neither Eastlick Nor Fricker Teach Or Suggest
That Rapamycin Or Everolimus Are Oxidatively
Unstable, There Is No Motivation To Combine Them.....40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>ActiveVideo Networks Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	38
<i>Bd. of Regents v. BENQ Am. Corp.</i> , 533 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	4, 15, 24
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. Tyco Healthcare Group, LP</i> , 616 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	4, 11
<i>Cephalon, Inc. v. Abraxis Bioscience, LLC</i> , 618 Fed. Appx. 663 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	7
<i>Eibel Process Co. v. Minn. & Ont. Paper Co.</i> , 261 U.S. 45 (1923)	26, 39
<i>Forest Labs., Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , No. 96-cv-159, 1998 WL 35285402 (W.D.N.Y. Aug. 3, 1998)	8, 11
<i>Forest Labs., Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 239 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	8, 10, 11
<i>In re Omeprazole Patent Litig.</i> , 536 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	27, 40
<i>Innogenetics N.V. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	38
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	38
<i>Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea</i> , 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	26, 27, 39
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> , 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	2
<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	7

<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Multi-Tech Sys., Inc.</i> , 357 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	16
<i>Nystrom v. Trex Co., Inc.</i> , 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	3, 15, 25
<i>Outside the Box Innovations, LLC v. Travel Caddy, Inc.</i> , 695 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6
<i>Schoenhaus v. Genesco, Inc.</i> , 440 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	3, 10, 11
<i>Spectrum Int’l, Inc. v. Sterilite Corp.</i> , 164 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	7
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm’t Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	3
<i>Trading Techs. Int’l, Inc. v. eSpeed, Inc.</i> , 595 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	38
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	3, 4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.