

Filed on behalf of ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC
By: Daniel Becker, Reg. No. 38,376
Jennifer R. Bush, Reg. No. 50,784
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel: (650) 988-8500
Fax: (650) 938-5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC,
Petitioner

FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT 8,221,381

DECLARATION OF TERRY N. LAYTON, Ph. D.

ANCESTRY EX. 1003

I, **Terry N. Layton**, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

A. TERMS AND SCOPE OF ENGAGEMENT

1. Counsel for Ancestry.com DNA, LLC (“AncestryDNA” or “Petitioner”) has requested that I provide declaratory evidence in the above captioned *Inter Partes* Review proceeding (“IPR Engagement”). I understand that this *Inter Partes* Review proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 8,221,381 (“the ’381 patent”) (Ex. 1001).

2. I have also been engaged by the same counsel as an expert witness on behalf of defendants Spectrum DNA, Spectrum Solutions L.L.C., and Spectrum Packaging L.L.C. (collectively, “Spectrum”) in the litigation styled *DNA Genotek, Inc. v. Spectrum DNA; Spectrum Solutions L.L.C., and Spectrum Packaging, LLC*, Case No. 15-cv-00661-SLR (the “*Spectrum* litigation”) in which the ’381 patent has been asserted to be infringed. I have provided a declaration in support of Spectrum’s opposition to DNA Genotek’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction in the *Spectrum* litigation (my “litigation declaration”). I attach a copy of that declaration, with its attached exhibits, as Exhibit 1017.

3. For the IPR Engagement, I have been asked to provide analysis and expert opinions on whether any of claims 1-20, 39-41, 43-47, and 49 of the ’381 patent, under the claim construction standards that apply during *Inter Partes* Review, are

invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by, or under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as having been obvious over, specific references in the prior art.

4. For my work as an expert in the IPR Engagement, I am being compensated at the rate of \$250 per hour, except that for my work providing deposition or PTAB trial testimony, I am compensated at a rate of \$350 per hour. My compensation is not contingent on the opinions I reach or on the outcome of any legal action, mediation, arbitration, or the terms of any settlement in this case.

5. I have been informed by counsel and understand that in contrast to the *Spectrum* litigation, the grounds of unpatentability discussed herein must be based solely on prior patents and other printed publications. I understand that AncestryDNA and Spectrum reserve all rights to assert other grounds for invalidity, not addressed herein, at a later time or in other forums. Thus, absence of discussion of such matters here should not be taken as indicating that there are no such additional grounds for invalidity of the '381 patent.

6. I reserve the right to supplement my opinions to address any information obtained, or positions taken, based on any new information that comes to light throughout this proceeding.

B. QUALIFICATIONS

7. I studied Electrical Engineering at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and received a Bachelor of Science degree in Zoology/Physiology

from the University of Wyoming in 1966. I earned a M.S. in Bioengineering from the University of Illinois at Chicago in 1972, and a Ph.D. in Biomedical Engineering from the University of Virginia in 1975.

8. I have worked in the medical device field for more than 35 years, and have been actively involved with the engineering, research, product design, development, and manufacturing of medical devices, including FDA regulated medical devices and medical fluid collection devices. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit 1004.

9. From 1975 to 1988, I was employed by The Kendall Company, starting out as a research scientist, and ultimately being promoted to Manager of Medical & Sports Medicine Divisions. During that time, I designed, tested, developed, and/or managed a variety of products in the dental, medical, and sports fields. Several of these projects involved urine collection devices, lumbar puncture spinal fluid collection vials, and thoracentesis collection bags and specimen collection tubes and caps.

10. From 1988 to 1990, I was employed by Baxter Healthcare Corporation (“Baxter”), where I was a manager of the Advanced Device Technology group. During that time, I was involved in the design and management of products and components for IV systems and components, and blood collection products.

11. From 1991 to 1994, I was employed by Packer Engineering as its Director of Biomedical Engineering. During that time, I consulted on and made numerous technology assessments of a variety of health related products in the biomedical field and conducted failure mode investigations of medical devices. From 1994 to 1999, I was employed by Integra and the NeuroCare Group as its Vice-President, Group Technical Officer. During this time, I was involved in developing and releasing to the market new products relating to neurosurgical implants and monitoring devices, assessing new technologies, licensing patents, and purchasing patents and companies. I was also involved in developing and releasing to the market medical devices such as cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) collection bags and valves.

12. I am an inventor on eighteen issued patents, and have authored numerous publications and presentations related to medical devices. A list of my publications and patents is included in Exhibit 1004.

C. BASIS FOR OPINIONS

1. Materials considered

13. I have reviewed and considered the '381 patent (Ex. 1001) and the prosecution file history for the '381 patent (Ex. 1002). For purposes of my assessment, I have been informed by counsel and understand that the effective date

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.