

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELIT WIRELESS SOLUTIONS INC. and
TELIT COMMUNICATIONS PLC,
Petitioners,

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Cases

IPR2016-00054 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)
IPR2016-00055 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2016-00054 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

IPR2016-00055 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 3¹), Patent Owner M2M Solutions LLC filed a “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” (Paper 6) of Michelle Moran.² Petitioners do not oppose the Motion. Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); see also *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).³

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission is *granted*, and Ms. Moran is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in IPR2016-00054 and IPR2016-00055;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these *inter partes* review proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Moran is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as

¹ All citations are to IPR2016-00054 unless otherwise noted. IPR2016-00055 includes the same cited papers and exhibits.

² Patent Owner also filed an affidavit of Ms. Moran in support of the Motion (Ex. 2001). Although Ms. Moran’s declaration identifies several proceedings before the Office in which she has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three years (Ex. 2001 ¶ 9), we are aware that Ms. Moran has applied to be admitted before the Office in related proceeding IPR2015-01823, which was not identified. As that proceeding is related to this proceeding, we hold this omission as a minor typographical oversight and do not deny Ms. Moran admission based on this omission.

³ Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2016-00054 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

IPR2016-00055 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and that Ms. Moran is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2016-00054 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

IPR2016-00055 (Patent 8,648,717 B2)

For PETITIONERS:

Caleb Pollack

Milo Eadan

Guy Yonay

Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer Baratz LLP

CPollack@pearlcohen.com

meadan@pearlcohen.com

gyonay@pearlcohen.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Jeffrey Costakos

Michelle Moran

Foley & Lardner LLP

jcostakos@foley.com

mmoran@foley.com