

Patent Owner's Response
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELIT WIRELESS SOLUTIONS INC.
and
TELIT COMMUNICATIONS PLC,
Petitioners,

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00055
Patent 8,648,717

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO C.F.R. § 42.120**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND	1
II.	RELIEF REQUESTED	2
III.	BACKGROUND	2
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	3
A.	Applicable Legal Standards	3
B.	“the at least one of the transmissions including the at least one telephone number or IP address and the coded number”	5
1.	The Claim Language	5
2.	The Specification	8
3.	The Prosecution History	10
C.	“numbers to which the programmable communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions”	12
1.	The Claim Language	12
2.	The Specification	14
3.	The Prosecution History	18
V.	CLAIMS 1-3, 5-18, 22-23, AND 29 ARE NOT OBVIOUS BASED ON THE COMBINATION OF VAN BERGEN AND BETTSTETTER AS ALLEGED IN GROUND 1	19
A.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Independent Claim 1 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1	19
1.	The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(b)	19
2.	The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(d)	26
3.	The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(e)	32
4.	The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(g)	33
5.	The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(h)	43

Patent Owner's Response

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

B.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claims 5 and 12 or to Render Either of Those Claims Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	45
C.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claim 8 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	47
D.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claim 10 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	48
E.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claim 13 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	50
F.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claim 15 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	51
G.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Dependent Claims 16 and 17 or to Render Either of Those Claims Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	52
H.	Van Bergen in View of Bettstetter Fails to Disclose All the Elements of Independent Claim 29 or to Render That Claim Obvious As Alleged in Ground 1.....	54
	1. The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(b).....	54
	2. The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(d).....	55
	3. The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(e).....	55
	4. The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(g).....	56
	5. The Combination of Van Bergen and Bettstetter Fails to Disclose Claim Element 1(h).....	57
VI.	CLAIM 24 IS NOT ANTICIPATED BY VAN BERGEN AS ALLEGED IN GROUND 2.....	57
A.	Van Bergen Does Not Disclose Claim Element 24(c).....	57
B.	Van Bergen Does Not Disclose Claim Element 24(d).....	58

Patent Owner's Response

Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

VII. CLAIM 4 IS NOT OBVIOUS BASED ON THE COMBINATION OF VAN BERGEN, BETTSTETTER, AND SONERA AS ALLEGED IN GROUND 558

VIII. CLAIMS 19-20 ARE NOT OBVIOUS BASED ON THE COMBINATION OF VAN BERGEN, BETTSTETTER, AND KUUSELA AS ALLEGED IN GROUND 6.....59

IX. CLAIM 21 IS NOT OBVIOUS BASED ON THE COMBINATION OF VAN BERGEN, BETTSTETTER, AND ELDREDGE AS ALLEGED IN GROUND 761

X. CONCLUSION.....62

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Abbott Diabetes Care Inc.</i> , 696 F.3d 1142 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	4
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat'l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	35
<i>Kinetic Technologies, Inc. v. Skyworks Solutions, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00529, slip op.	31
<i>Luminara Worldwide, LLC v. Liown Electronics Co. Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-01183, slip op.	30
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>In re NTP Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	3
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Lee</i> , 797 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	8, 14
<i>In re Skvorecz</i> , 580 F.3d 1262 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	5
<i>Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , 806 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Trivascular, Inc. v. Samuels</i> , 812 F.3d 1056 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	35
35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1	9
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3).....	30

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.