

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TELIT WIRELESS SOLUTIONS INC. &

TELIT COMMUNICATIONS PLC

Petitioner

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

Filed: Jul. 3, 2013

Issued: Feb. 11, 2014

Title: Programmable Communicator

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2016-00054

**DECLARATION OF KIMMO SAVOLAINEN**

**FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,648,717**

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
A. Engagement .....	1
B. Background And Qualifications .....	1
C. Compensation and Prior Testimony .....	5
D. Information Considered.....	6
II. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY .....	7
III. THE '717 PATENT .....	10
A. Overview Of The '717 Patent .....	10
B. Independent Claims Of The '717 Patent .....	14
IV. BACKGROUND RELEVANT TO THE '717 PATENT .....	16
A. Field of the Claimed Subject Matter .....	16
B. Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art .....	17
C. Routine Knowledge .....	17
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	27
A. “programmable” .....	27
B. “interface” .....	27
C. “monitored technical device” .....	28
D. “monitoring device” .....	28
E. “processing module” .....	28
F. “coded number” .....	29

Declaration of Kimmo Savolainen in *Inter  
Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

G.	“the transmissions including the at least one telephone number or IP address and the coded number” .....	29
H.	“numbers to which the programmable communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions” .....	29
VI.	EFFECTIVE FILING DATE OF THE '717 PATENT.....	33
VII.	PATENTABILITY ANALYSIS OF THE '717 PATENT .....	37
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 5-15, 18, 23-28 Were Anticipated By Wandel.....	40
1.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 1 .....	46
2.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 2.....	60
3.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 3.....	60
4.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 5.....	61
5.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 6.....	63
6.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 7.....	64
7.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 8.....	65
8.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 9.....	66
9.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 10.....	66
10.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 11.....	68
11.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 12.....	69
12.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 13.....	70
13.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 14.....	71
14.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 15.....	71
15.	Wandel Anticipated Claim 18.....	72

Declaration of Kimmo Savolainen in *Inter  
Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

16. Wandel Anticipated Claim 23 .....	73
17. Wandel Anticipated Claim 24 .....	73
18. Wandel Anticipated Claim 25 .....	74
19. Wandel Anticipated Claim 26 .....	75
20. Wandel Anticipated Claim 27 .....	75
21. Wandel Anticipated Claim 28 .....	75
B. Ground 2: Claim 4 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel in view of Sonera .....	76
C. Ground 3: Claims 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel in view of Fernandez .....	79
1. Claim 16 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Fernandez .....	81
2. Claim 17 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Fernandez .....	83
3. Claim 19 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Fernandez .....	83
4. Claim 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Fernandez .....	85
5. Claim 22 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Fernandez .....	86
D. Ground 4: Claim 21 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel in view of McGarry .....	87
E. Ground 5: Claims 29 and 30 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Whitely .....	88
1. Claim 29 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Whitely .....	90

Declaration of Kimmo Savolainen in *Inter  
Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

2.	Claim 30 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Whitely .....	93
F.	Grounds 6-10: .....	93
1.	Ground 6: Claims 1-3, 5-15, 18, and 23-28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel In View Of Boden .....	93
2.	Ground 7: Claim 4 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel and Boden In View Of Sonera.....	93
3.	Ground 8: Claims 16-17, 19-20, 22 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel and Boden In View Of Fernandez .....	93
4.	Ground 9: Claim 21 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel and Boden In View Of McGarry .....	93
5.	Ground 10: Claim 29 and 30 Would Have Been Obvious Over Wandel and Boden In View Of Whitely .....	93
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	97

**APPENDICES:**

**A.** Curriculum Vitae of Kimmo Savolainen

**B.** List of Materials Considered

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.