

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELIT WIRELESS SOLUTIONS INC.
and
TELIT COMMUNICATIONS PLC,
Petitioners,

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00054
Patent 8,648,717

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	2
A. “numbers to which the programmable communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions”	2
IV. STANDARD FOR INSTITUTING INTER PARTES REVIEW	5
V. GROUNDS 4, 5, 9 and 10 SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THEY PRESENT THE SAME ART AND ARGUMENT PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED BY THE OFFICE.....	6
A. McGarry Was Considered During Prosecution	7
B. Whitley Was Considered During Prosecution	7
C. The Petitioners Make No Attempt to Overcome the Presumption of Administrative Correctness	7
D. Petitioners Add Nothing More than What Is Already in the Record with Respect to McGarry and Whitley	10
VI. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE IT FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS.....	11
A. Petitioners’ Apply an Incorrect Claim Construction for Grounds 1-5.....	11
B. Petitioners’ Obviousness Analysis Is Inadequate	12
1. The Petitioners failed to articulate the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art.....	12
2. The Petitioners have not provided an adequate rationale to combine the references	14
C. Wandel in View of Boden Fail Either to Disclose All Elements in the Claims 1-3, 5-15, 18, and 23-28 or to Render Those Claims Obvious as Alleged in Ground 6.....	14
1. “a programmable interface for establishing a communication link with at least one monitored technical device,” (element (a))	16
2. “the one or more stored telephone numbers or IP addresses being numbers to which the programmable	

	communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions;” (element (e))	21
3.	“wherein the programmable communicator device is configured to process data received through the programmable interface from the at least one monitored technical device in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.”(element (h))	26
4.	Wandel and/or Boden do not disclose all of the elements of claim 24.	30
D.	Wandel Fails to Disclose Material Elements Present in Dependent Claims 5, 10, 11, and 12.	31
1.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 further configured to request that an at least one monitored technical device send data through the programmable interface for processing by the programmable communicator device in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 5)	31
	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 further configured to request that an at least one monitored technical device send data through the programmable interface for receipt by the programmable communicator device in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 12).....	31
2.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 further configured to determine whether the processed received data indicates a change in status of the at least one monitored technical device that crosses a threshold parameter, or that otherwise indicates an alarm condition in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 10).....	33
3.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 10 further configured to send an at least one transmission for alerting an at least one monitoring device of said change in status or other alarm condition in response to programming instructions received in an	

Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

	incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 11)	35
E.	Wandel and Boden in View of Sonera Fail Either to Disclose All Elements in Claim 4 or Render That Claim Obvious as Alleged in Ground 7.....	36
F.	Wandel and Boden in View of Fernandez Fail Either to Disclose All Elements in Claims 16-17, 19-20, 22 or Render Those Claims Obvious as Alleged in Ground 8.....	38
1.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 further comprising a location processing module configured to determine an at least one location of the programmable communicator device, and wherein the programmable communicator device is configured to respond to an at least one transmission initiated by an at least one monitoring device requesting that said location data be sent to the monitoring device in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 16)	38
	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 16 wherein the location processing module comprises a Global Positioning System (GPS) module.” (Claim 17)	38
2.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 wherein the monitored technical device is a health monitoring system.” (Claim 19).....	40
3.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 19 wherein the programmable communicator device is configured to receive data from the health monitoring system through the programmable interface representing at least one of body temperature, blood pressure, periodic or continuous electrocardiogram health rhythm, blood glucose concentration, blood electrolyte concentration, kidney function, liver function, and labor contractions in response to programming instructions received in an incoming wireless packet switched data message.” (Claim 20).....	41
4.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 1 wherein the monitored technical device is a home or domestic appliance.”(Claim 22)	42

Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

G.	Wandel and Boden in View of McGarry Fail Either to Disclose All Elements in Claim 21 or Render That Claim Obvious as Alleged in Ground 9.....	43
H.	Wandel and Boden in View of Whitley Fail Either to Disclose All Elements in Claims 29 and 30 or Render Those Claims Obvious as Alleged in Ground 10.....	44
1.	“a programmable interface for establishing a communication link with at least one monitored technical device,” (element 29(a)).....	44
2.	“the one or more stored telephone numbers or IP addresses being numbers to which the programmable communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions;” (element 29(e))	44
3.	wherein the one or more wireless transmissions from the programming transmitter containing instructions to program the stored number comprise one or more short message service (SMS) data messages; and” (element 29(g)).....	45
4.	“wherein the programmable communicator device is configured to process data received through the programmable interface from the at least one monitored technical device in response to programming instructions received in at least one incoming short message service (SMS) data message or packet switched data message.” (element 29(h)).....	46
5.	“A programmable communicator device according to claim 29 wherein the processing module processes received data to determine whether it indicates a change in status of the at least one monitored technical device that crosses a threshold parameter, or that otherwise indicates an alarm condition.” (Claim 30)	46
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	46

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.