Paper No. 13 Filed: April 13, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS INC., Petitioner,

v.

CONVERGENT MEDIA SOLUTIONS, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00047 Patent 8,640,183 B2

Before JAMESON LEE, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and JOHN F. HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HORVATH, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108



I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Unified Patents Inc. ("Petitioner") filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") to institute *inter partes* review of claims 1–5, 16, 18, 24–26, 32–38, 40–42, 49, 51–53, 55, and 58–61 of U.S. Patent No. 8,640,183 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '183 patent"). Convergent Media Solutions, LLC, ("Patent Owner") filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 9, "Prelim. Resp.").

Upon consideration of the Petition and Preliminary Response, we are persuaded, under 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), that Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing the unpatentability of claims 1–5, 16, 18, 24–26, 32–38, 40–42, 49, 51–53, 55, and 58–61 of the '183 patent. Accordingly, we institute an *inter partes* review of these claims.

B. Related Matters

Petitioner identifies the following as matters that could affect, or be affected by, a decision in this proceeding: *Convergent Media Solutions LLC v. AT&T Inc.*, Case No. 3-15-cv-02156 (N.D. Tex.); *Convergent Media Solutions LLC v. Hulu, Inc.*, Case No. 3-15-cv-02158 (N.D. Tex.); *Convergent Media Solutions LLC v. Netflix Inc.*, Case No. 3-15-cv-02160 (N.D. Tex.). Pet. 2. Patent Owner identifies each of the preceding matters as well as the following as a matter that could affect, or be affected by, a decision in this proceeding: *Convergent Media Solutions LLC v. Roku, Inc.*; Case No. 3-15-cv-02163 (N.D. Tex.). Paper 4, 2.



C. Evidence Relied Upon¹

Reference		Effective Date	Exhibit
Chen	US 8,479,238 B2	May 14, 2002 ²	Ex. 1003
Elabbady	US 7,483,958 B1	Mar. 26, 2002 ³	Ex. 1004
Meade	US Pub. 2003/0073412 A1	Oct. 16, 2001 ⁴	Ex. 1005

D. The Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability

Petitioner asserts the following grounds of unpatentability:

References	Basis	Claims Challenged	
Chen and Elabbady	§ 103(a)	1–5, 16, 18, 24–26, 32–38, 40–42, 49, 51–53, 55, and 58–61	
Meade and Elabbady	§ 103(a)	1, 16, 18, 24, 32, 33, 37, 38, 41, and 58–60	



¹ Petitioner also relies upon the Declaration of Jon Weissman (Ex. 1002).

² Chen was filed on May 14, 2002 and issued on July 2, 2013. For purposes of this decision, we consider Chen to be prior art to the '183 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) with an effective date of May 14, 2002. *See* § II.C *infra*.

³ Elabbady was filed on March 26, 2002 and issued on January 27, 2009. It is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), with an effective date of March 26, 2002.

⁴ Meade was filed on October 16, 2001 and published on April 17, 2003. It is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) with an effective date of October 16, 2001.

II. ANALYSIS

A. The '183 Patent

The '183 patent relates to systems and methods for navigating hypermedia using multiple coordinated input/output device sets. Ex. 1001, 3:13–15. The method allows "a user and/or an author to control what resources are presented on which device sets." *Id.* at 3:15–17. The device sets may include laptops, desktops, tablets, personal digital assistants (PDAs), televisions (TVs), set-top boxes, video cassette recorders (VCRs) and digital video recorders (DVRs). *Id.* at 16:28–43, 18:32–59, 19:32–47. The term hypermedia refers to "any kind of media that may have the effect of a non-linear structure of associated elements," and includes "graphics, video, and sound." *Id.* at 7:13–22. The '183 patent characterizes video and sound as examples of "continuous media," or a "representation of 'content' elements that have an intrinsic duration, that continue (or extend) and may change over time." *Id.* at 20:5–9.

The multiple input/output device sets described in the '183 patent may be coordinated using "a device set management process that performs basic setup and update functions . . . to pre-identify and dynamically discover device sets." Ex. 1001 37:36–43. This management process can "be based on and compatible with related lower-level processes and standards defined for linking such existing devices and systems . . . based on UPnP, HAVi, OSGi, Rendezvous and/or the like." *Id.* at 37:46–50. The process enables basic communications among the devices in the device set, and "provide[s] discovery, presence, registration, and naming services to recognize and identify devices as they become available to participate in a network, and to characterize their capabilities." *Id.* at 37:50–55.



Claims 1 and 58–60 of the '183 patent are independent. Claim 1 is representative of the claims of the '183 patent, and is reproduced below. Each of the other challenged claims depends from claim 1 or claim 60.

1. A method for use in a second computerized device set which is configured for wireless communication using a wireless communications protocol that enables wireless communication with a first computerized device set, wherein the first and second computerized device sets include respective first and second continuous media players, the method comprising:

making available to a user a first user interface that allows the user to select a continuous media content to be presented to the user, wherein the continuous media content includes a set of encoded video data;

making available to the user a second user interface that allows the user to select to have the continuous media content presented on either one of the first computerized device set and the second computerized device set;

receiving discovery information at the second computerized device set in accordance with a device management discovery protocol that is implemented at a communication layer above an internet protocol layer, and

wherein the discovery information allows the second computerized device set to determine that the first computerized device set is capable of receiving the continuous media content and playing the continuous media content;

wherein, in the event the user selects, via the second user interface, to have the continuous media content presented on the second computerized device set,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

