

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

ROBERT BOSCH LLC,
Patent Owner.

Cases¹

IPR2016-00034 (Patent 6,973,698 B1)
IPR2016-00036 (Patent 6,944,905 B2)
IPR2016-00038 (Patent 6,292,974 B1)
IPR2016-00039 (Patent 7,228,588 B2)
IPR2016-00040 (Patent 7,484,264 B2)
IPR2016-00041 (Patent 8,099,823 B2)

Before PHILLIP J. KAUFFMAN, WILLIAM V. SAINDON,
and BARRY L. GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GROSSMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice*
Admission of Joseph Purcell
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This order addresses issues raised in all six cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one order to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in subsequent papers.

IPR2016-00034 (Patent 6,973,698 B1)
IPR2016-00036 (Patent 6,944,905 B2)
IPR2016-00038 (Patent 6,292,974 B1)
IPR2016-00039 (Patent 7,228,588 B2)
IPR2016-00040 (Patent 7,484,264 B2)
IPR2016-00041 (Patent 8,099,823 B2)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date in each case, Robert Bosch LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed an unopposed motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Joseph Purcell.² The Declaration of Mr. Purcell supports the motion.³ The Motion and Declaration establish that Lead Counsel, Patrick R. Colsher, is a registered practitioner, and that Mr. Purcell is an experienced litigating attorney, has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding, and otherwise satisfies all requirements for *pro hac vice* admission to a proceeding before the Board. Accordingly, Patent Owner’s motion is *granted*. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

It is ORDERED that Patent Owner’s motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Purcell is granted; Mr. Purcell is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in each proceeding to which this Order applies;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in each proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Purcell is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the C.F.R., and to be subject to the Office’s disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

² Paper 38 in IPR2016-00034. A similar motion was filed in each of the other cases to which this Order applies.

³ Exhibit 2027 in IPR2016-00034. A similar Declaration was filed in each of the other cases to which this Order applies.

IPR2016-00034 (Patent 6,973,698 B1)
IPR2016-00036 (Patent 6,944,905 B2)
IPR2016-00038 (Patent 6,292,974 B1)
IPR2016-00039 (Patent 7,228,588 B2)
IPR2016-00040 (Patent 7,484,264 B2)
IPR2016-00041 (Patent 8,099,823 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Richard Koehl
David Lansky
James Klaiber
Stefanie Lopatkin
HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
richard.koehl@hugheshubbard.com
david.lansky@hugheshubbard.com
james.klaiber@hugheshubbard.com
stefanie.lopatkin@hugheshubbard.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Patrick Colsher
SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
patrick.colsher@shearman.com