Filed: October 24, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, Petitioner,
v.
ROBERT BOSCH LLC, Patent Owner.
Case IPR2016-00038 Patent 6,292,974

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STRIKE HEARSAY **TESTIMONY OF WILFRIED MERKEL [EXHIBIT 2005]**



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	5
Robert Bosch LLC v. Pylon Manufacturing Corp., No. 08-542 (D. Del. Apr. 15, 2010)	1, 4
Statutes and Rules	
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(5)	3
Federal Rule of Evidence 804	5
Federal Rule of Evidence 804(b)(1)(B)	5
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.5	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.12	4
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.51	1, 3
77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012)	3
Legislative and Administrative Proceedings	
Arisdyne Sys., Inc. v. Cavitation Tech., Inc., IPR2015-00977, Paper No. 32 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2015)	5
HTC Corp. v. NFC Technology, LLC, IPR2014-01198, Paper No. 41 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2015)	3, 4, 5
IBG LLC v. Trading Techs. Int'l, Inc., CBM2015-00179, Paper No. 39 (PTAB Apr. 15, 2016)	4, 5
Ikaria, Inc. v. GeNO LLC, IPR2013-00253, Paper No. 22 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2014)	5



IPR2016-00038 PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STRIKE

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Cont'd

Cases	Page(s)
John's Lone Star Distrib., Inc. v. Thermolife Int'l, Inc., IPR2014-01201, Paper No. 31 (PTAB May 13, 2015)	5
Square, Inc. v. REM Holdings 3, LLC, IPR2014-00312, Paper No. 37 (PTAB December 9, 2014)	2. 3

Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Petitioner") submits this Motion to Strike the hearsay testimony of Wilfried Merkel (Exhibit 2005, 210–264), submitted by Robert Bosch LLC ("Patent Owner") in connection with IPR2016-00034, IPR2016-00036, IPR2016-00038, IPR2016-00039, IPR2016-00040, and IPR2016-00041 (the "IPRs") pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.51-53 (governing routine discovery), and 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. In each of the IPRs, Patent Owner submitted approximately 54 pages of a 2010 district court trial transcript, Robert Bosch LLC v. Pylon Manufacturing Corp., No. 08-542 (D. Del. Apr. 15, 2010), in which Mr. Merkel testified on behalf of Patent Owner. See Ex. 2005, 210-64. Each of Patent Owner's Responses in the IPRs cites Mr. Merkel's prior testimony as purportedly supporting assertions of non-obviousness in the IPRs, including as to patents that were not even at issue in the 2010 trial. See IPR2016-00034, Paper No. 26, at 22, 24, 27; IPR2016-00036, Paper No. 28, at 17, 19, 22; IPR2016-00038, Paper No. 28, at 9, 11, 14; IPR2016-00039, Paper No. 31, at 12–14, 17; IPR2016-00040, Paper No. 28, at 13, 15–16, 18; IPR2016-00041, Paper No. 32, at 14, 15– 16, 19.

On July 29, 2016, Petitioner objected to Mr. Merkel's testimony on multiple grounds. *See* Petitioner's Objections to Evidence (Paper No. 29), 3. On August 12, 2016, in response to Petitioner's objections, Patent Owner served a Declaration of Wilfried Merkel, in which Mr. Merkel asserted that "for reasons of cardiac health"



he would not "voluntarily give a deposition in this case." Ex. 1106 (Klaiber Decl.), pp. 6–8 (Tab A). After Petitioner inquired further, Patent Owner informed Petitioner that they contacted Mr. Merkel, but would not be producing him New York, instead proposing that Mr. Merkel answer written questions. Ex. 1106, p. 12 (Tab C). Petitioner declined because "it is important to cross-examine this particular witness in person to properly discuss the many exhibits and technical issues that are involved in" these six cases and because it is entitled to do so. *Square, Inc. v. REM Holdings 3, LLC*, IPR2014-00312, Paper No. 37, at 2–3 (PTAB December 9, 2014). Patent Owner rejected Petitioner's suggestion to voluntarily withdraw Mr. Merkel's testimony from consideration. Ex. 1106, p. 31 (Tab E).

On September 22, 2016, Petitioner sought relief from the Board and requested either that the Board order Patent Owner to make Mr. Merkel available for cross examination in the United States or authorize Petitioner to file a motion to strike. Ex. 1106, p. 33–34 (Tab F). On September 27, 2016, the Board held a teleconference call to discuss Petitioner's request. During that call, counsel for Patent Owner represented that before Patent Owner supplemented its evidence with a declaration from Mr. Merkel, it had not contacted Mr. Merkel in years. Subsequently, the Board authorized Petitioner to file a motion to strike Exhibit

¹ Ex. 1106 ¶ 3.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

