646

1	- VOLUME D -
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
4	~ ~ -
5	ROBERT BOSCH LLC, : CIVIL ACTION
6	Plaintiff, :
7	: Vs. :
8	PYLON MANUFACTURING :
9	CORPORATION, :
10	Defendant. : NO. 08-542 (SLR)
1.1	
12	Wilmington, Delaware
13	Monday, April 19, 2010 9:22 o'clock, a.m.
14	
15	BEFORE: HONORABLE SUE L. ROBINSON, U.S.D.C.J., and a jury
16	<i>∞</i>
17	APPEARANCES:
18	
19	POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON BY: RICHARD L. HORWITZ, ESQ.
20	
21	-and-
22	
23	Valerie J. Gunning
24	Kevin Maurer Official Court Reporters
25	

1 of 89 sheets

Page 646 to 646 of 868

04/19/2010 08:03:15 PM





04/19/2010 08:03:15 PM

I believe what it concerns is slides that are

Page 647 to 650 of 868

25

2 of 89 sheets

goes back to the original expert reports. That was always





25

1 our position. They got the claim construction. It didn't 2 go their way. They came to the Court and said, no, no, it's 3 not triangular as you said in the expert report. Now it's 4 some little ninja on the end cap. 5 Your order struck, unless I'm being

6 presumptuous, the opinions in the report being offered in 7 which he goes on to talk about rectangular flat sections, 8 and these demonstratives are going to present the exact same 9 opinion that you struck in your order. So this isn't a 10 ministerial matter of finding support. It's the fact they 11 tried to get new opinions after the fact. They're not 12 printed with good reason and now they are going to try to offer new opinions today because there's no more position 13 14

And the same goes for bracing itself on the wiper blade. I asked him in deposition whether or not it -virtually tracked your claim construction in the deposition, your Honor. I asked him whether or not it braced itself on both. I used the exact word you used in your claim construction. He said no, no, no. Now he's going to come in today and he's going to launch a new theory, which is predicated on a supplemental expert report.

take your trial time to have me review this carefully, and

whoever loses gets all that time accounted towards them,

THE COURT: This is the point. If you want to

MR. HANNEMANN: Good news. 2 MR. HILLYER: Never mind, then. 3 THE COURT: Are there any other issues? I 4 understood there were some deposition issues as well? 5 MR. HANNEMANN: I get to stand here and say I 6 have good news, your Honor, which is we have substantially 7 reduced the number of disputes. 8 I think if we get to the break this morning, Mr. 9 Sobrado and I are going to be able to work our way through 10 the rest and I will keep my fingers crossed that we'll be 11 able to work them out 12 THE COURT: All right. All right. So at Pylon's request, at their time, I will look at the slide 13 14 presentation and I have to see if I have still the 15 supplemental report to compare. 16 MR. HILLYER: I have a copy, your Honor. It 17 does have my highlighting on it. That actually may help 18

direct your attention. MR. GINSBERG: And, your Honor, I would also request that the Court consider Dr. Dubowsky's opening report and we'll have the passages in the deposition

20 21 22 testimony 23

For example, specifically, in Dr. Dubowsky's opening expert report, he opined that Pylon's proposed definition of a base body does not alter my opinion that the

652

19

24

25

6

12

then I will do it. I can't make a decision hearing your 1 argument. I have to look at the presentation and compare it 2 3 to the supplemental report and my claim construction. 4 So it's up to you. You can make the objection 5 for purposes of the record, or you can risk your trial time 6 and let me do this carefully. 7

MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, I would not take up the Court's time needlessly, but the bottom line is, if they don't have these opinions, they don't have infringement under the '434.

11 THE COURT: So you are saying yes. 12 MR. HILLYER: I am saying yes. We'll take the

time against Pylon.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else? 14 MR. HILLYER: There are some additional --15 16 pardon me. The Court's indulgence.

(Pause while counsel conferred.)

18 MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, there's a second issue 19 concerning additional objections that Pylon has made against 20 several exhibits that we understand Bosch intends to enter

21 through its expert and they can be collectively treated as

22 internal Pylon e-mails.

As we understand it, as part of their --23 MR. GINSBERG: Mr. Hillyer, let me interrupt 24 you. This issue has been resolved. 25

3 of 89 sheets

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

13

17

termination part of Pylon's beam blade products have a base

body and he discusses that. He testified to these flatlike

sections that Mr. Hillyer just brought up right now in his

4 deposition, and I've identified those pages.

5 His opinions are consistent with the opinions as provided initially, with the opinions he provided in his 7 deposition and with the opinions that he intends to provide 8 today.

9 THE COURT: All right. So give me everything 10 you want me to take a look at and we'll let the jury know 11 that there will be a delay in starting.

(Pause.)

13 MR. HANNEMANN: Your Honor, I'm going to retreat 14 to the attorney room with Mr. Sobrado to work on these other 15 issues while this is sorted out.

THE COURT: All right. Be productive. 16

17 MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, may I hand up a copy 18 of the supplemental expert report?

19 I will represent to the Court there's just

merely highlighting on certain sections. Depending upon how 20 21 the ruling goes, if I could get this back, I would

(Mr. Hillyer handed documents to the Court.)

22 appreciate it.

23 May I approach? 24 THE COURT: Yes.

25

04/19/2010 08:03:15 PM

654



BLLC0010009

Page 651 to 654 of 868

```
655
                                                                                            THE COURT: All right. I reviewed the material,
 1
                 (Pause.)
                                                                            2
                                                                                and if I understand the -- well, my interpretation of my
2
                 MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, may I hand up a copy
 3
     of Dr. Dubowsky's original expert report, in which he
                                                                            3
                                                                                claim construction is that platelike means thin. It does
                                                                            4
                                                                                not mean rectangular or triangular or moon-shaped or
 4
     indicates the base body is this triangular portion in red?
 5
                 THE COURT: All right.
                                                                            5
                                                                                circular or anything else. It means thin.
 6
                 MR. HILLYER: May I approach?
                                                                            6
                                                                                            So if the objection is now there's rectangular
                                                                            7
                                                                                as opposed to triangular, that is an irrelevant difference
 7
                 I will just also highlight for the Court the
     fact that the portion that Bosch is going to be directing
                                                                            8
                                                                                because that's not what platelike means. So if that's the
 8
                                                                           9
 9
     your attention to in his deposition follows a definitive
                                                                                objection, the objection is overruled.
     statement in which he says that the base body is triangular
                                                                           10
10
                                                                                            With respect to the bracing itself, bracing does
                                                                                not mean touching. It means supporting. And you can
                                                                           11
11
     (handing document to the Court.)
12
                 THE COURT: And let me say before I invest time
                                                                           12
                                                                                support directly or you can support something that is
                                                                           13
                                                                                supporting something. So, again, if the objection -- I'm
13
     In this exercise that the important thing is that whatever
     the expert is going to offer at trial, that it was somehow
                                                                                not sure what the objection is, but I found support in the
14
                                                                           14
                                                                                original report for my claim construction, although they
                                                                           15
     vetted through discovery, and that there is some flexibility
15
                                                                           16
                                                                                weren't necessarily the claim constructions that were
16
     invested in this process because of the way I do claim
                                                                           17
     construction, which is after expert reports, not before.
                                                                                proposed by Bosch.
17
                                                                           18
                                                                                            If I'm missing something, this is the time to
18
                 So with that in mind, I will review whatever
                                                                           19
                                                                                tell me. Otherwise, the objections are overruled.
19
     else you have to give me.
                                                                           20
20
                 MR. GINSBERG: May I approach, your Honor?
                                                                                            MR. HANNEMANN: Thank you.
                                                                           21
                                                                                            THE COURT: All right. All right. Let's bring
21
                 THE COURT: Yes.
                                                                           22
22
                 (Mr. Ginsberg handed documents to the Court.)
                                                                                the jurors in.
                                                                           23
                                                                                            I fed them doughnuts, so they're not unhappy.
23
                 THE COURT: All right.
                                                                           24
                                                                                            (The jury entered the courtroom and took their
24
                 MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, with the Court's
                                                                           25
                                                                                seats in the box.)
25
    indulgence, I'm going to pull one additional page out of the
```

656

1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

658

```
deposition transcript to send back with you.
 2
                THE COURT: Well, I've got the whole deposition,
 3
     I think.
 4
                MR, HILLYER: All right.
 5
                THE COURT: Unless you want to highlight another
 6
     page.
 7
                MR, HILLYER: They may not have highlighted the
     sections I wanted. If you have it, I don't want to be
 8
 9
     redundant. I just wanted to direct your attention to the
     portion concerning bracing itself.
10
11
                THE COURT: What page is that?
12
                 MR. HILLYER: I can give that to you right now.
13
                 (Pause.)
14
                THE COURT: Well, maybe you can send in the
15
     page.
                 MR. HILLYER: That would be fine, your Honor. I
16
17
     appreciate it.
18
                 THE COURT: Rather than my sitting up here.
                 MR. GINSBERG: The slide presentation?
19
20
                 THE COURT: Oh, yes.
21
                 MR. GINSBERG: Thank you, your Honor (handing
22
     documents to the Court).
23
                 (Recess taken.)
24
```

(Proceedings resumed after the recess.)

Dubowsky - direct

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. And thank you for your patience while we ironed out some 2 issues. That should make the evidentiary presentations go more smoothly.

You all may certainly be seated.

And, Mr. Ginsberg? MR. GINSBERG: Thank you, your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentleman. The next 8 9 witnesses that plaintiff calls is doctor Steven Dubowsky.

Dr. Dubowsky is going to provide expert testimony regarding Pylon's infringement of the Bosch '434 and '905 patents.

Dr. Dubowsky, could you please take the stand? ... STEVEN DUBOWSKY, having been duly

sworn as a witness, was examined and testified as follows ...

DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. GINSBERG:

> Good morning, Dr. Dubowsky. Q.

20 A. Good morning.

Would you provide a brief overview of your educational 21 Q.

22 background, please?

Yes. Sure. I received a Bachelor's of mechanical 23 24

engineering at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New 25 York, in 1964. A Master's degree in mechanical engineering

04/19/2010 08:03:15 PM

Page 655 to 658 of 868

4 of 89 sheets



25

Dubowsky - direct

- 1 from Columbia University, 1964. Bachelor's was 1963. And
- 2 my Ph.D. in mechanical engineering, doctorate degree in
- 3 mechanical engineering, Columbia also, 1971.
- 4 Q. And, Dr. Dubowsky, did you write a thesis in order to
- 5 obtain your doctorate?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. And what was the subject matter of your thesis?
- 8 A. It was the design and analysis of mechanical systems,
- 9 particularly those with linkages and clearances and joints.
- 10 Q. Did it relate to the mechanical design of wiper
- 11 blades?
- 12 A. It does. And wiper blades is essentially a system
- 13 with elastic elements, linkages, joints and clearances in
- 14 those joints.
- 15 Clearance is the joints in wiper blades that are
- 16 particularly critical where the blade joins the wiper arm.
- 17 It can result in what's called fishtailing of the blade.
- 18 Q. What is your current profession, Dr. Dubowsky?
- 19 A. I'm a Professor at the Massachusetts Institute of
- 20 Technology in Cambridge, Massachusetts, MIT.
- 21 Q. And what do you do specifically at MIT?
- 22 A. Well, I do research in mechanical systems. I teach.
- 23 I supervise graduate students that are working on projects.
- 24 I have a fundamental mechanical design and systems analysis
- 25 and control systems for mechanical systems.

Dubowsky - direct

- 1 for various -- everything from medical devices to large
- 2 space telescopes, including the Hubble space telescope. But
- 3 I had nothing to do with the defocus problem, to make that
- 4 clear.
- 5 Q. Are you an inventor on any patent?
- 6 A. Yes, I have four patents.
- 7 Q. Do you have any pending applications?
- 8 A. There are two or three patent applications being
- 9 considered at this time, yes.
- 10 Q. Are you a member of any professional or scientific
- 11 societies?
- 12 A. Yes. I'm a lifetime fellow of the American Society of
- 13 Mechanical Engineering, principally in the design division.
- 14 I'm also a lifetime fellow of the Institute of Electrical
- 15 and Electronic Engineers.
- 16 Q. And have you received any awards or honors in the
- 17 mechanical engineering field?
- 18 A. Yes. The one I'm most proud of is the Lifetime
- 19 Achievement Award in Mechanical Design from the Design
- 20 Division of the ASME.
- 21 Q. Are you an author of any peer-reviewed publications in
- 22 the field of mechanical engineering?
- 23 A. Yes. I've written about 350 papers with my students.
- 24 Q. Now, Dr. Dubowsky, have you, yourself, ever designed a
- 25 wiper blade?

660

Dubowsky - direct

- 1 Q. What are some of the courses that you've taught at
- 2 MIT?
- 3 A. Principally, those classes have been in mechanical
- 4 engineering, both on the undergraduate and design,
- 5 principally on the undergraduate and graduate level, and
- 6 some degree in control systems.
- 7 I also hold a joint appointment in the
- 8 Aeronautics and Astronomics Department, where I supervise
- 9 students who are working in various problems, such as air
- 10 dynamic lift and things.
- 11 Q. How long have you been a Professor at MIT?
- 12 A. 28 years.
- 13 Q. And prior to becoming a professor at MIT, did you have
- 14 any prior teaching appointments?
- 15 A. Yes. I was -- I was a faculty professor at University
- 16 of California in Los Angeles, UCLA.
- 17 Q. Have you ever been employed as an engineer?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. And what engineering design projects did you work on
- 20 when you were employed as an engineer?
- 21 A. I worked on a number of projects at various companies.
- 22 For awhile, I was at Electric Boat Division on nuclear
- 23 submarines, and then I was principally at about eight years
- 24 at a company called Perkin Elmer where I worked on the
 design and manufacturing of very high performance systems

25 design 5 of 89 sheets ---

Dubowsky - direct

- 1 A. No, I have not.
- 2 Q. How does your educational and professional experience
- 3 relate to the design and manufacture of wiper blades?
- 4 A. Well, wiper blades are assemblies of mechanical
- 5 components, and to assemble those and fabricate them from
- 6 parts, those are all basic principles in mechanical
- 7 engineering, mechanical design, which I have rather
- 8 extensive experience in.
- 9 Q. And you're retained by Bosch in this case to provide
- 10 expert testimony; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And one of the things that you were asked to do was to
- 13 provide your opinions as to whether certain of Pylon's wiper
- 14 blades infringe Bosch's, what we'll call shorthand, '905
- 15 patent and '434 patent; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And which Pylon products were you asked to review?
- 18 A. What is known in these proceedings I think are
- 19 Generations 1, 2 and 3.
- 20 MR. GINSBERG: Your Honor, if I may, I have a
- 21 board that I would like to put up in front of the jury.
- 22 THE COURT: All right.
- 23 MR. HILLYER: Your Honor, may I stand on the
- 24 other side?

25 THE COURT: Yes. You certainly may.

04/19/2010 08:03:15 PM

662



BLLC0010011

Page 659 to 662 of 868

DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

