

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| ROBERT BOSCH LLC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | C.A. No. 12-574-LPS |
| |) | (consolidated) |
| ALBEREE PRODUCTS, INC., |) | |
| API KOREA CO., LTD., |) | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| SAVER AUTOMOTIVE PRODUCTS, INC., |) | |
| and COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

ROBERT BOSCH LLC'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

OF COUNSEL:

Mark A. Hannemann
Jeffrey S. Ginsberg
Rose Cordero Prey
Ksenia Takhistova
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
Tel.: (212) 425-7200

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: (302) 984-6000
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Robert Bosch LLC

Dated: April 24, 2015
1187826 / 39026

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | III |
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. THE PARTIES AND TECHNOLOGY AT ISSUE..... | 1 |
| III. CLAIM TERMS AND CONSTRUCTIONS | 2 |
| A. U.S. Patent No. 6,553,607..... | 2 |
| 1. “securing means”/ “means for securing” (claims 1, 3, 14)..... | 3 |
| B. U.S. Patent No. 6,611,988..... | 5 |
| 1. “a coupling part (20) ... seated on another band face (18) of the support element” (claim 11)..... | 6 |
| C. U.S. Patent No. 6,675,419..... | 8 |
| 1. “means for maintaining the clearance” (claims 1, 2, 6)..... | 8 |
| D. U.S. Patent No. 6,836,926..... | 9 |
| 1. “ I_{zz} is a moment of inertia of a cross sectional profile around a z-axis perpendicular to an axis, which adapts along with the support element (12), and perpendicular to a y-axis” (claim 1)..... | 10 |
| 2. “support element (12)” (claims 1, 3) | 11 |
| E. U.S. Patent No. 6,973,698..... | 12 |
| 1. “spherically curved window” (claim 1)..... | 13 |
| F. U.S. Patent Nos. 7,228,588, 7,484,264, and 8,099,823 | 14 |
| 1. “at least one support means (58, 144)” (claims 1, 14 of the ’588 patent, claims 1, 2 of the ’264 patent, claim 1 of the ’823 patent) | 14 |
| G. U.S. Patent No. 8,272,096..... | 16 |
| 1. “support element (46)” (claims 1, 18, 21) | 16 |
| 2. “covering cap (16)” (claims 1, 6, 18, 21) | 17 |

3. “wherein . . . the connection element (22) fastened to the wiper arm
secures the wiper blade (10) via a clip” (claims 1, 18, 21)..... 18

IV. CONCLUSION 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Cases | page(s) |
|---|---------------|
| <i>Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 483 F.3d 800 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Arthrocare Corp. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 406 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... | 10 |
| <i>Astrazeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 13 |
| <i>Chi. Bd. Options Exch., Inc. v. Int’l Sec. Exch., LLC</i> , 677 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... | 3 |
| <i>Comark Commc’ns v. Harris Corp.</i> , 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... | 7, 12, 14, 18 |
| <i>EasyCare, Inc. v. Lander Indus.</i> , No. 4:08-cv-00665, 2011 WL 5419674 (D. Ariz. Nov. 9, 2011) | 5 |
| <i>Ex parte Fressola</i> , No. 93-0828, 27 U.S.P.Q.2d (BNA) 1608 (B.P.A.I. Mar. 11, 1993)..... | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Finjan, Inc. v. Secure Computing Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1197 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 6, 12, 17, 18 |
| <i>Hochstein v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 04-73071, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 128544 (E.D. Mich. May 16, 2009)..... | 5 |
| <i>In re Certain Wiper Blades</i> , Inv. 337-TA-816, Commission Op. (Apr. 24, 2013) | 4, 12 |
| <i>In re Certain Wiper Blades</i> , Inv. 337-TA-816, Order No. 45, 2012 WL 4174874 (Aug. 31, 2012) | 7 |
| <i>In re Certain Wiper Blades</i> , Inv. 337-TA-816, Order No. 69, 2013 WL 2708250 (May 22, 2013)..... | 13 |
| <i>KEG Kanalreinigungstechnik GmbH v. Laimer</i> , No. 1:11-cv-1948, 2013 WL 8719444 (N. D. Ga. Jan. 11, 2013) | 5 |
| <i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>MBO Labs., Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson & Co.</i> , 474 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... | 11 |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| <i>Millipore Corp. v. W.L. Gore Assocs.</i> , No. 11-1453, 2012 WL 5250386 (D.N.J. Oct. 24, 2012) | 5 |
| <i>Minks v. Polaris Indus., Inc.</i> , 546 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... | 9, 16 |
| <i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... | 3 |
| <i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... | 6, 12, 17, 18 |
| <i>Relume Corp. v. Dialight Corp.</i> , 63 F. Supp. 2d 788 (E.D. Mich. 1999)..... | 5 |
| <i>Rembrandt Data Techs., LP v. AOL, LLC</i> , 641 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... | 3, 8, 15 |
| <i>SanDisk Corp. v. Memorex Prods., Inc.</i> , 415 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Silicon Graphics, Inc. v. ATI Techs., Inc.</i> , 607 F.3d 784 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... | 6, 12, 17, 18 |
| <i>Superior Fireplace Co. v. Majestic Prods. Co.</i> , 270 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... | 10 |
| <i>Teva Pharm. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 135 S.Ct. 831 (2015)..... | 11 |
| <i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... | 9, 16 |
| <i>Transcend Med., Inc. v. Glaukos Corp.</i> , No. 13-830, slip op. (D. Del. Jan. 16, 2015)..... | 3 |
| <i>U.S. Surgical Corp. v. Ethicon, Inc.</i> , 103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997)..... | 6, 12, 17, 18 |
| <i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... | 6, 13 |
| Statutes | |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶6..... | <i>passim</i> |
| 35 U.S.C. § 112(f)..... | 3 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.