UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION, Petitioner,
v.
ROBERT BOSCH LLC, Patent Owner.
CASE NO. IPR2016-00036 U.S. Patent No. 6,944,905

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 313 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.107



Table of Contents

I.	INTR	ODUCTION	1	
II.	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS			
III.	STANDARD FOR INSTITUTION			
IV.	BRIEF OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT. NO. 6,944,905			
V.	PETITIONER IMPROPERLY INCORPORATES BY REFERENCE THE KRUGER, MASLEN, AND DAVIS DECLARATIONS			
VI.	REFE	TIONER PUTS FORTH NO EVIDENCE THAT THE CITED ERENCES DISCLOSE THE CLAIMED ELEMENTS FOUND IN CHALLENGED CLAIMS	.11	
	A.	The prior art fails to disclose the "free ends [of the two diverging legoriented toward the window are supported on the support element" recited in claims 13, 17, and 18 of the '905 patent	_	
	B.	The prior art fails to disclose "a section of the wind deflection strip disposed between each respective end cap and the device piece" recited in claims 13, 17, and 18 of the '905 patent		
VII.	IMPE	ALLEGED OBVIOUSNESS COMBINATION IS DRIVEN BY ERMISSIBLE HINDSIGHT AND RESTS UPON CONCLUSORY ERTIONS	.14	
VIII.		MID (DE 100 00 373 A1) IS NOT EVIDENCE OF SIMULTANEOU		
IX	CON	CLUSION	20	



Table of Authorities

Cases

ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc., 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed Cir. 2012)	3, 5, 17
Apple Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings, Inc., IPR2015-00441, Paper 11 (PTAB July 13, 2015)	3
August Technology Corp. v. Camtek Ltd., 655 F.3d 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	5
Blackberry Corp. v. Mobilemedia Ideas LLC, IPR2013-00016, Paper 32 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2014)	9
Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC, IPR2014-00454, Paper 12 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)	2, 8
Fidelity National Info. Serv's, Inc. v. Datatreasury Corp., IPR2014-00489, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2014)	9
In re Fritch, 972 F.2d 1260 (Fed. Cir. 1992)	14
Geo M. Martin Co. v. Alliance Machine Sys. Int'l, LLC, 618 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	19
K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC, 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	3, 17
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	5
In re NTP, Inc., 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	14
PCT Int'l, Inc. v. Amphenol Corp., IPR2013-00229, Paper 17 (PTAB Dec. 24, 2013)	9
SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC, IPR2013-00581, Paper 15 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013)	5



SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC, IPR2013-00581, Paper 17 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2014)3,	17
Unified Patents Inc. v. OliviStar, LLC, IPR2015-01216, Paper 15 (PTAB Nov. 20, 2015)	8
Vizio, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 605 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	5
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	, 5
35 U.S.C. § 316(e)	5
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3)	2, 8
37 C.F.R. § 42.24(a)(1)(i)	2, 8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	4
Other Authorities	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (Aug. 14,	1



I. INTRODUCTION

Patent Owner, Robert Bosch LLC ("Bosch"), submits this preliminary response to the Petition filed by Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Petitioner" or "Costco") as Paper No. 1 in this proceeding, requesting *inter partes* review of claims 13, 17, and 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,944,905 ("Petition"). This response is timely pursuant to the Board's Notice in Paper No. 3.

The following arguments are not intended to be an exhaustive list of arguments with respect to the sole ground asserted in the Petition. Rather, Bosch respectfully submits these streamlined arguments in response to the issue of institution and reserves the right to expand on these arguments with additional evidence, including testimonial evidence, or to provide new arguments, should the Board determine that institution is appropriate.

Bosch respectfully submits that Petitioner has not established a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail with respect to any of the challenged claims.

Accordingly, Bosch respectfully requests that the Board decline to institute *inter partes* review for the reasons set forth below.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

