		Page
1		
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
3	Cases: IPR2016-00034, IPR2016-00036,	
4	IPR2016-00038, IPR2016-00039,	
5	IPR2016-00040, IPR2016-00041d	
6		
	Х	
7	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,	
8	Petitioner,	
9	VS.	
10	ROBERT BOSCH LLC,	
11	Patent Owner.	
	X	
12		
13		
14	***TELEPHONIC HEARING***	
15	December 9, 2016	
16	11:00 a.m.	
17		
18	BEFORE:	
19	JUDGE WILLIAM SAINDON	
20	JUDGE BARRY GROSSMAN	
21	JUDGE PHIL KAUFFMAN	
22		
23		
24	Reported by:	
	AYLETTE GONZALEZ, RPR, CLR, CCR	
25	JOB NO. 116818	
20	JOB NO. II08I8	

1

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED
5	Counsel for Petitioner
6	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION
7	One Battery Park Plaza
8	New York, New York 10004
9	BY: JAMES DABNEY, ESQ.
10	BY: RICHARD KOEHL, ESQ.
11	BY: JAMES KLAIBER, ESQ.
12	BY: STEFANIE LOPATKIN, ESQ.
13	
14	
15	
16	SHEARMAN & STERLING
17	Counsel for Patent Owner
18	ROBERT BOSCH, LLC
19	599 Lexington Avenue
20	New York, New York 10022
21	BY: MARK HANNEMANN, ESQ.
22	BY: PATRICK COLSHER, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE SAINDON: This conference
3	call is at the request of Patent
4	Owner. We received an e-mail and they
5	have requested a Motion to Strike or
6	some other relief regarding
7	Petitioners reply. So what we
8	instructed the parties to do was
9	essentially to provide or for Patent
10	Owner to provide a list of examples
11	for us to go through today to decide
12	whether or not we need further
13	briefing on this issue.
14	Before we get into the particular
15	items that were provided, I just
16	wanted to do a quick background on our
17	view of what's proper in a reply and
18	what we're looking for and to try to
19	hope that the arguments that we see
20	can be a little more useful to us.
21	So we see this issue come up a lot
22	especially recently given the federal
23	circuit cases regarding new arguments
24	in the reply. What we're looking for
25	is it's often the case that in a

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Patent Owner response will have an
3	argument and then in the reply,
4	Petitioner responds to that and they
5	introduce new evidence to respond to
6	that and in our view, that is within
7	the rules, that's what the reply is
8	for, even if that is something that
9	could theoretically have been raised
10	in the petition.
11	The issue for us is whether the
12	response is a change in the theory of
13	unpatentability and so that's really
14	what we're looking for here, not
15	really is it something that could have
16	been raised in the petition but is a
17	change, because it's obviously
18	something not in the petition, it's in
19	the reply.
20	So to the extent we can focus less
21	on that this is new and more on this
22	either I mean it does happen that
23	something in the reply is not
24	responsive to something in the Patent
25	Owner response, that is rare though.

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	So if that's true the issue, then
3	let's talk about it, but if it's
4	really that the issue is that this is
5	a new thrust of theory for
б	unpatentability, then let's focus on
7	that issue and just go right to it,
8	because that's the one we're really
9	interested in and if that's the case,
10	then what we're looking for is either
11	to disregard that argument, that new
12	argument or that new factor, whatever
13	it may be or to have Patent Owner have
14	the opportunity to respond to it in
15	some fashion. And so again, those are
16	the two avenues that we're looking at
17	here, if we find ourselves with
18	something new.
19	So again, with the arguments that
20	we're going to discuss today, I'd just
21	like that to be in the back of the
22	mind of the parties because that's
23	what we're looking for.
24	So with that, Patent Owner, I see
25	the first item on your list, if you

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.