

Filed on behalf of: Agila Specialties Inc. and Mylan Laboratories Limited

By: Steven W. Parmelee
Michael T. Rosato
Nicole W. Stafford
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue
Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036
Tel.: 206-883-2542
Fax: 206-883-2699
Email: sparmelee@wsgr.com
Email: mrosato@wsgr.com
Email: nstafford@wsgr.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AGILA SPECIALTIES INC. and MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED,
Petitioner,

v.

CEPHALON, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2016-00026
Patent No. 8,791,270

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,791,270**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Brief Overview of the '270 Patent.....	1
B. Brief Overview of the Prosecution History	3
C. Brief Overview of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art	5
D. Brief Overview of the Level of Skill in the Art	7
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	8
III. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	9
IV. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CLAIM CHALLENGED	11
V. STATEMENT OF NON-REDUNDANCY	12
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	13
VII. BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE IN THE ART PRIOR TO JANUARY 14, 2005	16
VIII. OVERVIEW OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE ASSERTED PRIOR ART AND THE CLAIMS.....	20
IX. DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY.....	22
A. [Ground 1] Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 13-16, 19, and 20 are Anticipated Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) By Maas	22
i. Claim 1	23
ii. Claim 2	25
iii. Claims 7 and 8	26
iv. Claims 9, 14, and 15	27
v. Claims 10 and 16	27

vi.	Claims 13 and 19	28
vii.	Claim 20	29
B.	[Ground 2] Claims 1-20 are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Maas and Teagarden	33
i.	Claim 1	34
ii.	Claim 2	37
iii.	Claims 3, 4, 5, and 6	38
iv.	Claims 7 and 8	40
v.	Claims 9, 14, and 15	41
vi.	Claims 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, and 18	42
vii.	Claims 13 and 19	43
viii.	Claim 20	45
C.	[Ground 3] Claims 13 and 19 are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Maas, Teagarden, and Gust	52
D.	[Ground 4] Claims 20-23 are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Over Maas, Teagarden, and the Rote Liste 2003	55
X.	CONCLUSION	58
XI.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(A) AND 42.103	59
XII.	APPENDIX – LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	60

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 311 and § 6 of the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), and to 37 C.F.R. Part 42, Agila Specialties Inc. and Mylan Laboratories Limited, (collectively referred to herein as “Petitioner”) request review of United States Patent No. 8,791,270 to Brittain *et al.* (hereinafter “the ’270 patent,” Ex. 1001) that issued on July 29, 2014, and is currently assigned to Cephalon, Inc. (“Patent Owner”). This Petition demonstrates there is a reasonable likelihood that claims 1-23 of the ’270 patent are unpatentable based on a preponderance of the evidence for failing to distinguish over prior art. Thus, claims 1-23 of the ’270 patent should be found unpatentable by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and canceled.

A. Brief Overview of the ’270 Patent

The ’270 patent is entitled “Bendamustine Pharmaceutical Compositions.” The ’270 patent, with an earliest claimed priority date of January 14, 2005, is directed to pharmaceutical compositions of the drug bendamustine hydrochloride containing specified amounts of degradants, and methods of treatment using said pharmaceutical compositions. Claims 1, 3-6, 10-12, and 16-18 recite compositions containing specified amounts of HP1 (monohydroxy bendamustine). Ex. 1001, col. 36, ll. 2-18, 22-38, 51-62, col. 37, ll. 19-28. Claims 7 and 8 recite compositions containing specified amounts of bendamustine hydrochloride degradants. *Id.* at col. 36, ll. 39-46. Claims 13 and 19 recite compositions containing specified amounts of bendamustine ethyl ester. *Id.* at col. 36, l. 63-col. 37, l. 13, col. 38, ll. 1-17.

Claims 1 and 9 specify that the composition “has been reconstituted from a

lyophilized preparation.” *Id.* at col. 36, ll. 2-18, 47-49. Claims 2 and 10-13 further recite that the composition contains specified amounts “at time zero after reconstitution.” *Id.* at col. 36, ll. 19-21, 51-67, col. 37, ll. 1-13. Claims 14 and 15 recite that the pharmaceutical composition is a lyophilized composition. *Id.* at col. 37, ll. 14-18. Claim 20 recites a method of treating cancer, comprising administering the pharmaceutical composition of bendamustine hydrochloride recited in claim 7 to a patient. *Id.* at col. 38, ll. 18-20. Claims 21-23 depend from claim 20 and recite specific types of cancer. *Id.* at col. 38, ll. 21-28.

The Background of the Invention of the ’270 patent explains that bendamustine is a nitrogen mustard compound. Ex. 1001, col. 1, ll. 51-55. It was initially synthesized in 1963 in East Germany and marketed from 1971 to 1992 as a treatment for chronic lymphocytic leukemia and other cancers under the trade name Cytostasan[®]. *Id.* at col. 2, ll. 1-8; *see also*, Ex. 1002, ¶¶ 23-24. After 1992, it was marketed in unified Germany under the trade name Ribomustin[®]. Ex. 1001, col. 2, ll. 4-5; *see also*, Ex. 1002, ¶¶ 23-24. Ribomustin[®] was a lyophilized (freeze-dried) composition of bendamustine hydrochloride and mannitol. Ex. 1001, col. 2, ll. 12-15.

Tertiary butanol is also known as tertiary-butyl alcohol, *tert*-butyl alcohol, *t*-butyl alcohol, *tert*-butanol, and *t*-butanol; these interchangeable names are collectively referred to in the ’270 patent and here as “TBA.” Ex. 1001, col. 5, ll. 36-38. During cycles of freeze-drying, which are known as the process of lyophilization, co-solvents, such as TBA, are substantially removed by

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.