


08/275547

Class	Subclass

ISSUE CLASSIFICATION



UTILITY SERIAL NUMBER 08/275547	PATENT DATE	PATENT NUMBER
------------------------------------	-------------	---------------

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	CLASS	SUBCLASS	GROUP ART UNIT	EXAMINER
08/275,547	07/15/94	340	419	2617	Zam

APPLICANTS TOM S. FARMAKIS, SHARPSBURG, GA; RUSSELL D. ROUTSONG, PEACHTREE CITY, GA.

CONTINUING DATA***
 VERIFIED THIS APPLN IS A CIP OF 08/062,406 05/14/93 PAT 5,351,1
ye my 2

BEST COPY

FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATIONS***
 VERIFIED
None NY

***** SMALL ENTITY *****

Foreign priority claimed 35 USC 119 conditions met	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no	AS FILED	STATE OR COUNTRY GA	SHEETS DRWGS. 3	TOTAL CLAIMS 20	INDEP. CLAIMS 4	FILING FEE RECEIVED \$457.00	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 07933012
---	--	----------	------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Verified and Acknowledged *my 30/95*
 Examiner's Initials
 ADDRESS ~~R EDWARD BRAKE~~ *Docket Department*
 HOWREY AND SIMON *Thomas G. Woolston*
 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
 WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

TITLE SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM


U.S. DEPT. of COMM., Pat. & TM Office - PTO-436L (rev. 10-78)

PARTS OF APPLICATION FILED SEPARATELY		Applications Examiner	
NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED		CLAIMS ALLOWED	
Assistant Examiner		Total Claims	Print Claim
ISSUE FEE		DRAWING	
Amount Due	Date Paid	Sheets Drwg.	Figs. Drwg. Print Fig.
Label Area		ISSUE BATCH NUMBER	
Primary Examiner		PREPARED FOR ISSUE	
WARNING: The information disclosed herein may be restricted. Unauthorized disclosure may be prohibited by the United States Code Title 35, Sections 122, 181 and 368. Possession outside the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office is restricted to authorized employees and contractors only.			

Form PTO-436A (Rev. 8/92)

(FACE)

class
subclass
ISSUE CLASSIFICATION



Part 2 of 3

UTILITY SERIAL NUMBER PATENT DATE PATENT NUMBER

08/275,547

Am 2304

Zanelli


364/449

PARTS OF APPLICATION FILED SEPARATELY		Applications Examiner	
NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED		CLAIMS ALLOWED	
		Total Claims	Print Claim
Assistant Examiner			
ISSUE FEE		DRAWING	
Amount Due	Date Paid	Sheets Drwg.	Figs. Drwg. Print Fig.
Label Area		ISSUE BATCH NUMBER	
		PREPARED FOR ISSUE	
<p>WARNING: The information disclosed herein may be restricted. Unauthorized disclosure may be prohibited by the United States Code Title 35, Sections 122, 181 and 368. Possession outside the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office is restricted to authorized employees and contractors only.</p>			

Form PTO-436A (Rev. 8/92)

(FACF)

	Subclass	ISSUE CLASSIFICATION
	Class	



383

UTILITY SERIAL NUMBER	PATENT DATE	PATENT NUMBER
-----------------------	-------------	---------------

08/275,547

PARTS OF APPLICATION FILED SEPARATELY		Applications Examiner	
NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE MAILED		CLAIMS ALLOWED	
		Total Claims	Print Claim
Assistant Examiner			
ISSUE FEE		DRAWING	
Amount Due	Date Paid	Sheets Drwg.	Figs. Drwg.
		Print Fig.	
Label Area		ISSUE BATCH NUMBER	
PREPARED FOR ISSUE			
<p>WARNING: The information disclosed herein may be restricted. Unauthorized disclosure may be prohibited by the United States Code Title 35, Sections 122, 181 and 362. Possession outside the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office is restricted to authorized employees and contractors only.</p>			

Form PTO-436A
(Rev. 8/92)

(FACE)

08/275,547

SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM

Transaction History

Date	Transaction Description
08-12-1994	Notice Mailed--Application Incomplete--Filing Date Assigned
11-03-1994	Application Is Now Complete
12-12-1994	Application Captured on Microfilm
01-17-1995	Transfer Inquiry
02-01-1995	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU
03-06-1995	Non-Final Rejection
03-09-1995	Mail Non-Final Rejection
03-22-1995	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
03-22-1995	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed
09-08-1995	Response after Non-Final Action
09-08-1995	Request for Extension of Time - Granted
09-29-1995	Date Forwarded to Examiner
11-15-1995	Final Rejection
11-16-1995	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)
04-16-1996	Request for Extension of Time - Granted
05-24-1996	Aband. for Failure to Respond to O. A.
05-30-1996	Receipt of all Acknowledgement Letters
06-03-1996	Mail Abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action
10-01-1996	Change in Power of Attorney (May Include Associate POA)
01-03-1997	Communication - Re: Power of Attorney (PTOL-308)
02-15-2000	Case Reported Lost
05-19-2000	Case Found

7. 1083

088/275547

APPROVED FOR LICENSE

INITIALS _____



Date Entered or Counted

ABANDONED

CONTENTS

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE
MAILED or Mailed

DEC 29 1994

LICENSING & REVIEW

	1. Application papers.	
	2. <i>Work Receipt</i>	8-12-94
	3. <i>Rec'd Fee</i>	9-16-94
	4. <i>Extension</i>	9-16-94
3-6	5. <i>Rej 3 mos</i>	3-9-95
	6. <i>Prior Act</i>	3-22-95
	7. <i>Ext. Time 3-mos.</i>	9-8-95
9-29	8. <i>Amult - A</i>	9-8-95
11/15	9. <i>Final Rej 3 mos</i>	11-16-95
	10. <i>Ext. Time 2-mos.</i>	4-16-96
5-24 A	11. ACCESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	5/30/96
	12. <i>Notice of abandonment</i>	6-3-96
	13. <i>Rev. P/A</i>	10-1-96
	14. <i>Notice of Recp.</i>	01-03-97
	15. <i>Request for Access</i>	7-7-99
	16. <i>Request for Access</i>	3/31/04
	17. <i>Request for Access</i>	5-26-05
	18. <i>Request for Access</i>	07/17/07
	19. <i>Request for access</i>	1/26-09
	20. <i>Request for Access</i>	3-23-15
	21.	
	22.	
	23.	
	24.	
	25.	
	26.	
	27.	
	28.	
	29.	
	30.	
	31.	
	32.	

(FRONT)

08/275,547 213

APPROVED BY LICENSE

INITIALS

Date Entered or Counted

CONTENTS

Date Received or Mailed

Au 2304

1. Application _____ papers.

2.

3.

4.

5.

Zanelli

class

6.

-364, 449-

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

Part 2 of 3

(FRONT)

Part 3 of 3

APPROVED FOR LICENSE

INITIALS _____

Date Entered or Counted

CONTENTS

Date Received or Mailed

1. Application _____ papers.
08/275,547

2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____
6. _____
7. _____
8. An 2304

9. _____
10. _____
11. Zanelli
12. _____
13. _____
14. _____
15. _____
16. _____
17. _____
18. _____
19. _____
20. _____
21. _____
22. _____
23. _____
24. _____
25. _____
26. _____
27. _____
28. _____
29. _____
30. _____
31. _____
32. _____

(FRONT)

Staple Issue Slip Here

POSITION	ID NO.	DATE
CLASSIFIER	23	2/29/94
EXAMINER	299	8-8
TYPIST	339	11/28/94
VERIFIER		
CORPS CORR.		
SPEC. HAND	412	11-3-94
FILE MAINT.	452	8-10-94
DRAFTING		

83
12/1/94

INDEX OF CLAIMS

Claim	Date
Final	
Original	
1	2/29/94
2	4/14/94
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	N
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	N
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

Claim	Date
Final	
Original	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	

- SYMBOLS
- ✓ Rejected
 - = Allowed
 - (Through numeral) Canceled
 - + Restricted
 - N Non-elected
 - I Interference
 - A Appeal
 - O Objected

(LEFT INSIDE)

SEARCHED

Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.
364	449	3/2/95	mys
}	453	}	}
	439		
	424,06		
	460		
	36		
342	36	}	}
}	357		
	451		
	463		
340	991		
}	989		
	990		
updated	above	11/14/95	mys


SEARCH NOTES

	Date	Exmr.
WPS	3/2/95	mys
08/275547.....02 MAR 95 ACT A275547/L		
L1 (1060)SEA FILE=USPAT 364/449,453,439, 424.06,516/CCLS		
L2 (519)SEA FILE=USPAT 342/36,451,257,463/CCLS		
L3 (53)SEA FILE=USPAT 340/991/CCLS		
L4 (1504)SEA FILE=USPAT L1 OR L2 OR L3		
L5 (11621)SEA FILE=USPAT GPS OR GLOBAL POSITION### OR SATELLITE/		
L6 (43173)SEA FILE=USPAT AIRCRAFT		
L7 (725)SEA FILE=USPAT L5 (P) L6		
L8 (122)SEA FILE=USPAT TRACKING STATION		
L9 (0)SEA FILE=USPAT L7 (P) L8		
L10 (5)SEA FILE=USPAT L7 AND L8		
L11 (1)SEA FILE=USPAT SHEDAIR/ASN		
L12 (2)SEA FILE=USPAT "LANE, HAKAH"/IN		
L13 (1557)SEA FILE=USPAT HARRIS/ASN		
L14 (62)SEA FILE=USPAT L13 AND L5		
L15 (7)SEA FILE=USPAT L6 AND L14		
L16 (55)SEA FILE=USPAT L14 NOT L15		
L17 (1476)SEA FILE=USPAT ATC OR AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		
L18 (25)SEA FILE=USPAT L5 (P) L17		
L19 (873)SEA FILE=USPAT GPS OR GLOBAL POSITION###		
L20 (127)SEA FILE=USPAT L6 (P) L19		
L21 (1)SEA FILE=USPAT L8 AND L20		
L22 1504 S L4		
L23 1476 S L17		
L24 57 S L22 AND L23		
L25 11621 S L5		
L26 162003 S DIFFERENTIAL		
L27 401 S L25 (P) L26		
L28 123 S L25 (SA) L26		
L29 35 S AIRCRAFT AND L28		
L30 25 S L18		
L31 2 S (GPS RECEIVERS) (10A) AVERAG###		
L32 103 S (GPS RECEIVERS)		
L33 7 S AIRCRAFT (P) L32		
L34 15675 S REDUNDANT		
L35 3 S L32 AND L34		
L36 22 S FLEET MANAGEMENT		
W3 update	11/14/95	mys
08/275547.....14 NOV 95 SET RANGE 1995 E 4814711/UREF		
L1 5 S E3 RAN=(1995)		
L2 41 S AIRCRAFT (SA) TRACK### RAN=(1995)		
L3 120 S 364/449,453,439,424.06, 460/CCLS RAN=(1995)		
L4 75 S 342/36,357,451,463/CCLS RAN=(1995)		
L5 34 S 340/991,989,990/CCLS RAN=(1995)		
L6 167 S L3 OR L4 OR L5 RAN=(1995)		
L7 8 S L2 AND L6 RAN=(1995)		
L8 33 S L2 NOT L7 RAN=(1995)		
L9 176 S ATC OR AIR TRAFFIC RAN=(1995)		
L10 166 S COMMUNICAT (P) AIRCRAFT RAN=(1995)		
L11 48 S IDENTIF? (SA) AIRCRAFT RAN=(1995)		
L12 19 S L9 AND L10 RAN=(1995)		
L13 8 S L11 AND L12 RAN=(1995)		

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.

(RIGHT OUTSIDE)

BAR CODE LABEL		U.S. PATENT APPLICATION			
					
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	CLASS	GROUP ART UNIT		
08/275,547	07/15/94	340	2617		
APPLICANT	<p>TOM S. FARMAKIS, SHARPSBURG, GA; RUSSELL D. ROUTSONG, PEACHTREE CITY, GA.</p> <p>**CONTINUING DATA***** VERIFIED THIS APPLN IS A CIP OF 08/062,406 05/14/93 PAT 5,351,194</p> <hr/> <p>**FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATIONS***** VERIFIED</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: right;">***** SMALL ENTITY *****</p>				
STATE OR COUNTRY	SHEETS DRAWING	TOTAL CLAIMS	INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	FILING FEE RECEIVED	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
GA	3	20	4	\$457.00	07933012
ADDRESS	R EDWARD BRAKE HOWREY AND SIMON 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402				
TITLE	SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM				
This is to certify that annexed hereto is a true copy from the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the application which is identified above.					
By authority of the COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS					
Date	Certifying Officer				

PATENT APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 00/275547

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
FEE RECORD SHEET

PTO-1556
(5/87)

Transaction History Date 1994-07-15
 Date information retrieved from USPTO Patent
 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)
 system records at www.uspto.gov

08/275547
PATENT

Docket No. 07933/012



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: Unassigned

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Filing Date: Herewith

Examiner: Unassigned

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control
 System

Box PATENT APPLICATION
 Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Washington D.C. 20231

APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

SIR:


Enclosed are:

1. 1 sheet of cover page, 38 sheets of specification, 6 sheets of claims, and 1 sheet of abstract.
2. 3 sheet(s) of drawings.
3. Other enclosures:
 Transmittal of Application Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.41(c)

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate (\$)	Fee (\$)
Basic Fee				710.00
Total Claims [†]	20 - 20 =	0	22.00	0.00
Independent Claims	4 - 3 =	1	74.00	74.00
Multiple Dependent Claim?			230.00	
Total				784.00
Small Entity Total (if applicable)				392.00

Respectfully submitted,



R. Edward Brake
R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: July 15, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

OF

TOM FARMAKIS AND RUSSELL D. RUTSONG

FOR

SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM



SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM

Cross Reference to Related Applications

This application is a continuation-in-part of copending application serial no. 08/062,406, filed May 14, 1993 and incorporated herein by reference.

now U.S. Patent 5,351,194

3/2/95

Background of the Invention

The invention relates to a system for the tracking and control of aircraft and other vehicles and the communication between aircraft and traffic controllers, and specifically to a satellite based system for tracking, guiding, controlling and communicating with aircraft and vehicles in the air, in the water and on the ground.

Present air traffic control systems consist of a network of terminal area and enroute surveillance radar systems. These systems consist of both primary and secondary radar systems and computers that display usable data for the control of air traffic in the national and international airspace systems.

The basic radar system consists of Primary Radar which operates by transmitting a pulsed radio signal at a known azimuth (direction, in degrees from North) from the radar antenna and measures the time it takes to receive the reflected signal from an object (aircraft) in space back to the point of transmission. This time factor determines the range in nautical miles from the radar site and the direction is determined by the azimuth from which the signal is received. The limitations of using only this system result in the loss of targets because of the difficulty in detecting weak reflected radar return signals attenuated by atmospheric conditions.

Secondary radar, known as the Air Traffic Control Radar Beacon System (ATCRBS), utilizes cooperative equipment in the form of radio receiver/transmitter (Transponder). Radar pulses transmitted from the searching radar transmitter interrogate the airborne transponder. In response to receiving the interrogating signal from the radar, the Transponder transmits a distinctive signal back to the Radar Beacon System's antenna.

For example Delta flight 195 to Dallas (Dal195) is requested to squawk "4142," resulting in the aircraft transponder being dialed to code "4142." The computer at the air traffic control (ATC) facility is preprogrammed to understand that transponder code "4142" corresponds to Dal195. The signal transmitted by the Transponder is typically coded to provide both aircraft altitude and aircraft identification data (4142) for processing by the air traffic controller's computer for display on the air traffic controller's radar scope. The aircraft's transponder is connected to an altitude encoder which encodes altitude data based on the altitude of the aircraft as determined from the aircraft altimeter. In addition, the aircraft's speed is presently determined by the ATC computer by measuring the time and distance differences from subsequent transmissions of the Transponder. The aircraft transponder code, altitude, and speed may be displayed on the controller's radar screen.

However, present radar-based air traffic control systems suffer from a number of disadvantages and drawbacks. Radar systems, even when used in conjunction with secondary radar, provide limited range and accuracy in the determination of the location and altitude of an aircraft. The range of radar is inherently limited due to obstacles in the line of sight of the radar, curvature of the earth, atmospheric conditions, etc. Search radar has a range of approximately 300 to 350 nautical miles, while terminal radar is utilized only for about 30 nautical miles. Radar coverage is not available in many areas of the world, and is not available at all altitudes in the United States.

Presently, radar is also used to track and determine the location of aircraft on the ground. One current system is known as the Airport Surface Detection Equipment (ASDE), which is a high resolution radar system with a tower mounted radar antenna that "looks" down on the airport surface. This system tracks aircraft on the surface to a given altitude, for example from the surface to an altitude of 185 feet. This type of surface detection system has a number of disadvantages, including: a prohibitively high cost, aircraft targets are not tagged (location of aircraft is identified only by radio communications), the system produces split (ghost) targets, buildings and hangars restrict the view of some portions of the airport surface, high

sensitivity of the system resulting in long periods of downtime for maintenance, and the system is not interfaced with departure controllers requiring the landing aircraft to be off the parallel runway before the departing aircraft can be released. Keeping track of the exact location of aircraft is important in low visibility conditions and enables controllers to expedite the flow of traffic.

In addition, the present communication process between aircraft and air traffic controllers is standardized, however, it is inherently subject to errors or miscommunications. Presently, air traffic controllers and aircraft exchange information and communicate orally (verbally) via two-way radio. Therefore, with the exception of information obtained via primary and secondary radar, all information from the aircraft regarding the aircraft's status (i.e., aircraft is okay, emergency condition, equipment malfunction), the aircraft's speed, heading, and identification of the aircraft, and instructions from the air traffic controller are communicated verbally via two-way radio. Thus, the exchange of accurate information between the air traffic controller and the aircraft is dependent upon hearing, understanding and recording a clear verbal communication via two-way radio. This reliance upon human hearing and interpretation during the communications process provides an inherent opportunity for errors or miscommunication and complicates the air traffic controller's job, particularly in light of the background and engine noise present on aircraft, poor radio performance or unclear speech.

Such miscommunication between flight crews and air traffic controllers can lead to serious problems. A controller may be giving instructions to the pilot of one aircraft on his radar screen and obtain an acknowledgement of the instructions from a pilot of another aircraft with a similar call sign or flight number. The only true verification that the correct aircraft received the instructions is a verbal verification of the correct call sign, or by observance by the controller that the aircraft called responded correctly to the instructions. If the wrong aircraft (or multiple aircraft) comply with the instructions and several aircraft are on the controller's screen, it may be difficult for the controller to recognize the error and safety can easily be

compromised. Another common communication problem a controller may encounter is receiving an initial call from an aircraft and having difficulty identifying the corresponding aircraft on his radar screen. This is prevalent with the current system since all aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules ("VFR") emit the same transponder code (1200). While standard codes emitted by a transponder are understood to communicate specific information, such as transponder code "7600" indicates radio failure, and code "7700" indicates an emergency, such transponder (radar) communication provides very limited communication of information (limited types of messages and only one message/communication at a time) and only operates in a radar environment.

Alternative ATC systems have been proposed that would use the global positioning satellites (GPS). Such a proposed alternative is discussed in chapter 12 of Logsdon, The Navstar Global Positioning System, Von Neistrand Reinhold (1992). In The Navstar Global Positioning System, Logsdon discusses the proposed use of GPS receivers on board aircraft, wherein the aircraft transmits its GPS aircraft vector to air traffic controllers for display on the air traffic controllers' screen. However, Logsdon's discussion fails to provide any details of such a system or how it could be implemented. Furthermore, Logsdon's proposal does not address ground or surface detection of aircraft. Also, the Logsdon proposal fails to address the need for improved communication of information between aircraft and air traffic controllers, and the need for a technique to identify the aircraft that is communicating with the air traffic controller.

Furthermore, present aircraft navigation and precision landing systems have a number of disadvantages. In the 48 contiguous United States, most instrument navigating is done with the aid of a VHF Omnidirectional Range (VOR) receiver for using the VHF radio signals emitted by the ground based VOR transmitters. Virtually all enroute navigation and many instrument approaches use these signals, which are broadcast in the frequency range 108.0 to 119.0 Mhz. The VOR signal is a blinking omnidirectional pulse, and has two parts: a reference phase signal and the variable phase signal. It its

transmitted in such a way that the phase between these two signals is the same as the number of degrees the receiving aircraft is from the VOR station. The VOR receiver and equipment uses the signals to determine its magnetic direction, or course, from the VOR.

5 An additional navigation aide is known as Direction Measurement Equipment (DME). DME uses two-way (interrogation and reply) active spherical ranging to measure the slant range between the aircraft and the DME transmitting station. Many pilots and navigators vector airplanes from
10 waypoint to waypoint using the signals from VOR/DME, rather than traveling in a straight line. As a result, aircraft are not traveling the shortest distance, causing increased fuel usage and increased travel time. Also, routes along the VOR/DME stations become heavily traveled resulting in increased probability of mid-air collisions.

In addition, many aircraft employ so-called Instrument Landing
15 Systems (ILS) for performing precision landings. ILS includes several VHF localizer transmitters that emit focused VHF signals upwardly from the airport to provide horizontal guidance to the aircraft and its autopilot systems. ILS also includes a UHF glideslope transmitter that radiates a focused UHF signal that angles downwardly across the runway to provide
20 vertical guidance. While ILS provides an effective technique for precision landings, such ILS precision landings are not possible where the airport does not include such localizer and glideslope transmitters.

The foregoing demonstrates a need for an improved air and ground traffic control systems for aircraft. There is also a need for improved
25 communication and exchange of information between aircraft and air traffic controllers, and a need for a system that allows controllers to verify the communicating aircraft. There is also a need for an effective navigation system that does not rely on VOR/DME stations, and for an aircraft landing system that does not rely on localizer and glideslope transmitters.

30 Summary of the Invention

The traffic control system of the invention meets these needs and overcomes the disadvantages and drawbacks of the prior art by providing an

aircraft unit on board an aircraft and an air traffic control (ATC) facility that communicate via data link. The aircraft unit includes an ATC Aircraft Reporting and Tracking System (AARTS) processor for controlling operations of the aircraft unit, GPS receivers for determining the aircraft' position, track, altitude, and speed, a GPS data comparator for comparing the 5 GPS data, a two-way radio, and a transmitter and receiver for transmitting and receiving (communicating) data and other information over a data link. Data that are communicated may include GPS data (altitude, position, heading and speed) and aircraft identification data (registration number, 10 flight number, etc.), while other information communicated may include aircraft status information, requests, questions, responses, flight instructions, landing instructions, flight path information, information concerning conflicting aircraft, etc.

The ATC facility includes a transmitter and receiver for transmitting 15 and receiving an information transmission (comprising data and other information) over the data link, a data decoder/detector for detecting data and communications in a received information transmission, a two way radio, an ATC computer for controlling operations at the ATC facility and identifying received data and communications, and a display for displaying 20 the location and status of aircraft. Aircraft periodically transmit identification information, their GPS position, track, speed, and altitude, their status, and other information to the ATC facility. Based on this received information, the ATC facility continuously monitors and tracks aircraft. Because each aircraft transmits a different and predetermined identification, the ATC 25 facility knows the identity of each target on the ATC controller's display. This system provides the additional advantage of allowing the ATC to accurately track aircraft without using radar, thereby avoiding the problems and disadvantages of radar, such as ghosts, limited range due to curvature of the earth and line-of-sight problems, etc. Furthermore, the tracking system of 30 the invention may operate even in areas where no radar coverage is available. Also, the communication of requests, responses, information and data over a data link between aircraft and the ATC facility provides more

accurate and complete communications than two-way radio, and avoids any miscommunications or misinterpretation of speech that commonly occur with two-way radio.

In addition, the aircraft unit also includes a transmit detector for
5 detecting when the aircraft's two-way radio is transmitting. The ATC facility receives the transmit detect code along with the aircraft's identification via data link, thereby indicating when the aircraft's two-way radio is transmitting. This code may be displayed on the controller's display and allows the controller to identify or confirm exactly which aircraft on his screen/display
10 he is communicating verbally over the two-way radio.

The system of the invention may be used to track aircraft in the air or on the ground. The ATC facility may include a pseudo-satellite, or a GPS receiver that acts as a base station to allow aircraft GPS receivers to operate in differential mode. In differential mode, the ATC facility determines the
15 GPS pseudo-range correction by subtracting the geometric range (based on the facility's known location) from the pseudo-range (calculated using GPS signals). This correction may be used by the aircraft or the base station to obtain much more accurate aircraft positioning.

Each aircraft may include a flight control system for automating the
20 flight and navigation of the aircraft. The flight control system includes a flight control computer for controlling the operation of the flight control system, GPS receivers, and a control panel. The flight control computer is connected to various aircraft interfacing systems, aircraft instrumentation, aircraft sensors, external navigation aids, and autopilot servos and servo
25 drives. In an autopilot mode, the flight control computer automatically controls the aircraft to fly on a predetermined flight path. The flight control computer uses GPS data, and may use signals from external navigation aids and aircraft sensors to navigate the aircraft on the predetermined flight path. The aircraft may perform a precision (automatic) landing in the autoland
30 mode using only GPS data, and preferably differential GPS data, rather than relying on the localizer and glideslope at the airport. The systems and methods of the invention may also be used on other vehicles, such as ships,

boats, automobiles, and railroads.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of an aircraft unit constructed according to the principles of the invention.

5 Fig. 2 is a block diagram of an air traffic control facility constructed according to the principles of the invention.

Fig. 3 is a block diagram of a flight control system constructed according to the principles of the invention.

Detailed Description

10 Air Traffic Control System

Referring to the drawings in detail, wherein like numerals indicate like elements, Figs. 1-2 show the overall structure of a satellite based air traffic control (ATC) system according to the principles of the invention. Fig. 1 illustrates an aircraft unit 18 of the ATC system. Fig. 2 illustrates an ATC
15 facility 48 of the satellite based ATC system according to the principles of the invention.

Referring to Fig. 1, aircraft unit 18, which is fixed to a conventional aircraft platform, includes dual global positioning system ("GPS") receivers 20 and 22 for determining the aircraft's position (longitude, latitude), speed,
20 altitude, and tracking. Other types of satellite receivers, such as receivers for receiving signals from the Soviet Glonass satellites, may be used. As well understood by those skilled in the art, each GPS satellite transmits binary pulse trains, copies of which are created in the GPS receiver electronics. The GPS receiver antenna detects the signals (binary pulse trains) transmitted
25 from GPS satellites, amplifies the received signals, and inputs them into two tracking loops that lock onto the carrier waves. The GPS pulse train is adjusted in the tracking loop until it is brought into correspondence with the satellite pulse train. When correspondence is achieved, the GPS receiver resident processor can determine time signal travel time based on the pulse
30 adjustment. The GPS receiver resident processor then may determine the pseudo-range (distance from the GPS receiver to each satellite) based on the signal travel time (plus or minus clock bias error) multiplied times signal

travel time; (pseudo-range = $C \times \text{delta } T$). The GPS receiver may then determine its location using four pseudo-ranges, solving four simultaneous equations having four unknowns (clock bias error drops out), as well known to those skilled in the art. The GPS receiver resident microprocessor
5 automatically determines the user's current position (longitude, latitude), altitude, tracking and speed (navigation solution).

Each GPS receiver should be a multi-channel receiver for receiving positioning signals from a plurality of GPS satellites. A number of GPS receivers are commercially available from such companies as Sony
10 Corporation, Motorola, Rockwell International (the Navcore V GPS receiver), and others. One such commercially available GPS receiver is the Nav 1000 GPS receiver manufactured by Magellan Systems Corporation. The data output by GPS receivers 20 and 22 are output to GPS data comparator
24.

15 In a large commercial aircraft, GPS receivers 20 and 22 should be placed at opposite ends of the aircraft, for example, 100 feet apart. In large or small aircraft, the GPS receivers may alternatively be placed side-by-side. GPS data comparator 24 compares the data (location, altitude, speed, tracking) from both GPS receivers.

20 GPS receiver switch 26 is connected to comparator 24 and allows the selection of comparator 24 into one of three modes: 1) normal mode, 2) GPS1, and 3) GPS2. In the normal mode, comparator 24 compares the GPS data from the two GPS receivers 20, 22, to ensure that the data from these two receivers are reasonable compared to each other based on the distance
25 separating the two receivers 20 and 22. In the normal mode, for example, GPS data comparator 24 may compare the data between the first and second GPS receivers 20 and 22 to determine whether the data from the first GPS receiver 20 is within a predetermined range of the data of the second GPS receiver 22. This GPS data from both GPS receivers is then output to the
30 ATC Aircraft Reporting and Tracking System (AARTS) processor 28. The AARTS processor 28 controls the overall operation of the aircraft unit 18 of the ATC system and is discussed in greater detail hereinbelow. The GPS

integrity line 25 from comparator 24 indicates whether the GPS data output by comparator 24 is correct or reasonable based on the comparison between the GPS data of the two GPS receivers 20, 22, or comparison between the GPS data and additional aircraft navigation equipment, such as the aircraft
5 inertial reference system. In other words, GPS integrity line provides an indication as to the integrity of the operation of the GPS receivers 20 and 22 and whether such GPS may be relied upon. A logic output of "1" on line 25 may indicate that the data of GPS receivers 20 and 22 are within a predetermined range (i.e., 3%) of one another. A logic output of "0" on line
10 25 may indicate that the data from the two GPS receivers are not within the predetermined range, and therefore should not be relied upon. Alternatively, comparator 24 may average the data from the first GPS receiver with that of the second GPS receiver, and output this averaged data to AARTS processor 28, or AARTS processor 28 may average the data from the two GPS
15 receivers. While comparator 24 may be a comparator circuit, comparator 24 may include a controller, a microprocessor, or a computer programmed to perform such comparison and output steps. Alternatively, the functions of comparator 24 may be performed by AARTS processor 28.

Referring to the second and third modes of switch 26, by switching
20 switch 26 to "GPS1" or "GPS2", one of the two GPS receivers 20 and 22 may be selected. In these modes, uncomparing GPS data from the designated GPS receiver is output from comparator 24 to AARTS processor 28. Alternatively, the selection of switch 26 to "GPS1" or "GPS2" may designate the selected receiver as a primary GPS receiver, and the remaining receiver is
25 the secondary GPS receiver. In this mode of operation, the data from the primary GPS receiver is output by comparator 24, and GPS integrity line 25 indicates whether the data of the primary GPS receiver is within a predetermined range (i.e., 3%) of the data of the secondary GPS receiver.

Switch 26 may be operated manually, or automatically under control of
30 AARTS processor 28. In addition, switch 26 may be manually or automatically switched to select an appropriate GPS receiver based on results from the built-in-test (or built-in self-test) of the GPS receivers, which may be

monitored by the AARTS processor 28, or another processor. In the event of GPS failure or malfunction, the AARTS processor 28 selects and uses single uncomparated GPS data from the operational GPS receiver, or may use other navigational aids, such as inertial reference system. In the event of power
5 failure on the aircraft, power from the aircraft's emergency AC/DC electrical busses is used to power at least one of the GPS receivers 20, 22. It should be understood that the functions of switch 26 and comparator 24 may be performed in software by AARTS processor 28 or another processor. Instead of being separate GPS receivers, first and second GPS receivers 20
10 and 22 may comprise a single GPS receiver having, for example, six or more channels, allowing for the selection and/or comparison of multiple channels from the same GPS receiver.

It should be understood that more than two GPS receivers may be used. For example, it is advantageous to use three (or more) GPS receivers.
15 In the event that one of the GPS receivers fails or malfunctions, comparator 24 and/or AARTS processor 28 would be able to detect the failed GPS receiver by comparison of data between the three receivers. For example, if data from two of the three receivers are within a predetermined range of one another (say, 3%), but the data from the third is not within this range, such a
20 result indicates the likely failure or malfunction of the third GPS receiver. The AARTS receiver 28 or even comparator 24 would then be able to appropriately select GPS data only from the two correctly operating GPS receivers, and disregard the data from the third (malfunctioning) GPS receiver.

25 Aircraft unit 18 may also include or use a number of external navigation aids, such as altimeters, VOR receiver, DME receiver, Instrument Landing System (ILS) equipment (localizer and glideslope receivers), Inertial Reference System (IRS) 27, or the like. IRS 27 may include standard ring laser gyroscopes and accelerometers for determining the position of the
30 aircraft. The IRS 27 is presently used by aircraft in conjunction with other navigation aids, such as VOR and DME, to determine the location of the aircraft. The IRS 27 may be used primarily for two purposes. First, aircraft

location data from the IRS 27 may be input to comparator 24 or AARTS processor 28 to confirm the integrity of the GPS receivers. For example, the position, heading, etc., of the aircraft as determined by the IRS 27 may be compared to data from one or all GPS receivers to confirm that the GPS receivers are operating within a prescribed range or tolerance. Second, IRS 27 may be used by the aircraft as an auxiliary positioning system. In the event that it is determined that the GPS receivers have malfunctioned or failed, AARTS processor 28 may then select IRS 27 as the primary positioning system of the aircraft, rather than the GPS receivers.

10 AARTS processor 28 receives data (status, position, speed, tracking, altitude) from comparator 24. AARTS processor 28 controls the function and operation of aircraft unit 18. In a preferred embodiment, GPS receivers 20 and 22 and AARTS processor 28 are contained within a single box, with the GPS receivers 20 and 22 receiving and demodulating the received GPS positioning signals, and the AARTS processor calculating location, track, altitude and speed based on the received positioning signals, and with the AARTS processor 28 also controlling or managing the operation of the many additional functions of aircraft unit 18. In this manner, smaller size, lighter weight, decreased power consumption and cost savings result from combining the processor resident in the GPS receiver (not shown) and the AARTS processor 28 into a single processor (AARTS processor 28). Also, AARTS processor 28 preferably performs the functions of comparator 24 and switch 26.

AARTS processor 28 is connected to a computer memory 30, which stores data and information. A data input device 38 may be used to input data, information, commands, communications into AARTS processor 28 for storage, processing or communication. Data input device 38 may include a code generator for generating specific codes that are input to AARTS processor 28 and which may be communicated via data link to the ATC facility 48. Alternatively, AARTS processor 28 receives information from input device 38 and generates codes to be communicated to the ATC facility 48. Data input device 38 is used by a pilot prior to or during each flight to

enter information or special codes (i.e., emergency code, hi-jacker on-board code) identifying the aircraft into AARTS processor 28. For example, the identification code will be the aircraft's identification, tail or "N" number, airline flight number, or other code or number for identifying the aircraft.

- 5 The type of aircraft will be indicated by the appropriate aircraft designator, such as B727 (Boeing 727), or C310 (Cessna 310). In addition, a special flight plan code for the type of flight plan and/or operation (VFR or IFR) and the type of aircraft is input. An "I" or a "V" is input to identify that the aircraft is operating under Instrument Flight Rules or Visual Flight Rules,
10 respectively. The aircraft designator or identification code or other permanent information may be permanently stored in memory 40 to simplify or minimize the information that is input at the beginning of each flight into AARTS processor 28 using the data input device 38. In such case, a copy of the stored information is automatically copied from memory 40 into AARTS
15 processor 28 (or RAM) at the beginning of each flight. In addition, speech recognition equipment may be connected to AARTS processor 28 to convert the pilot's verbal instructions into coded data that is input into AARTS processor 28, rather than having the pilot enter or type in the data using data input device 38. Display 39 is connected to AARTS processor 28 to provide
20 visual information to the pilot and to allow the pilot to confirm the data or information that has been input using data input device 38.

- Aircraft status sensors 42 are connected to AARTS processor 28 and may comprise switches, sensors or other devices for detecting a number of different aircraft status. For example, sensors 42 may comprise an emergency
25 switch for detecting the presence or occurrence of an emergency condition or request for assistance, a sensor for detecting low fuel, a sensor or switch for detecting the lowering of the aircraft landing gear, a sensor for detecting a fault or failure in the navigation sensors, radio, equipment or electronics, etc., or a sensor or switch for detecting a request to close the aircraft's flight plan.
30 Sensors 42 may include a sensor or switch for detecting when an aircraft has crashed or has been unintentionally downed. Sensors 42 may include a sensor or switch for detecting the lowering of the aircraft's landing gear.

Sensors 42 may comprise sensors or switches that may be actuated automatically or manually. AARTS processor 28 may monitor sensors 42 using a number of different well known techniques. For example, AARTS processor 28 may monitor sensors 42 through the detection of interrupts
5 generated by the sensors 42 to processor 28, or by periodically polling the sensors 42.

AARTS processor 28 may record the actuation of the detected sensor or switch in memory 30. As discussed in greater detail hereinbelow, AARTS processor 28 communicates via data link the aircraft GPS data (position,
10 speed, altitude, track), information of the various aircraft status sensors 42 identifying the different status of the aircraft, and information identifying the aircraft (aircraft identification data), flight number, flight plan code, etc., and other information to the ATC facility 48. AARTS processor 28 may also receive information from the ATC facility 48 via data link prior to take-off,
15 or during flight. Prior to take-off, the ATC facility 48 may transmit the aircraft's flight plan (which was provided to the ATC facility 48) and other information to the AARTS processor 28, where it is stored in memory 30. ATC facility 48 may also communicate with processor 28 via data link to communicate data, information, or verify the flight plan or other information
20 with the aircraft.

A standard VHF two-way radio 44 is used by the pilot or co-pilot to communicate with ATC facility 48. As understood by those skilled in the art, other types of radios may be used. A transmit detector 46 detects when radio 44 is transmitting. The detection of transmission from radio 44 may be
25 performed in a number of different ways. For example, transmit detector 46 may be connected to the microphone of the radio and detects whenever the microphone is keyed or actuated. Voice detection circuitry may be connected to an intercom box, or electronic circuitry may be connected directly to transmission circuitry of radio 44 to detect when radio 44 is transmitting. In
30 response to detecting the transmission of information by radio 44, transmit detector 46 notifies AARTS processor 28 of the transmission from radio 44. Detector 46 may notify AARTS processor 28 of the detected transmission in

a number of different ways. For example, detector 46 may generate an interrupt that is detected by AARTS processor 28, or detector 46 may change the logic value on its output line from a "0" to a "1" to indicate a transmission from radio 44. This output line may be monitored by AARTS processor 28, 5 or the detector 46 may be frequently polled by AARTS processor 28 to determine when the radio is transmitting. As discussed below, the AARTS processor 28 communicates via data link to inform the ATC facility 48 of a detection of a transmission from radio 44 during the period that radio 44 is transmitting. In response to detecting a transmission from radio 44, either a 10 code generator or AARTS processor 28 generates a predetermined code or symbol to be transmitted to the ATC facility 48 via data link during the period which radio 44 is transmitting. Preferably, this communication from AARTS processor 28 informs the ATC facility 48 of the transmission from radio 44 and causes equipment (i.e., a display) at the ATC facility 48 to 15 generate the predetermined symbol.

Although a number of different symbols, codes, or other indications of transmission may be used, a T or (T) is preferably used to indicate that radio 44 is transmitting. The transmission of a radio transmit detect code or symbol from the aircraft to the ATC facility 48 during the transmission of 20 radio 44 allows the air traffic controller at the ATC facility 48 to identify (and confirm) the aircraft associated with the voice he is hearing over his/her radio. The use of the radio transmit detect code and the transmission of an aircraft identification code or symbol identifies or tags each aircraft to allow the ATC facility 48 to keep track of each aircraft.

25 If the aircraft is equipped with a Satellite Tracking Alert Resolution System (STARS) (see STARS processor 29) or another an anti-collision system that provides anti-collision evasive commands, a signal will be output from the STARS processor 29 or other evasive command control unit to immediately notify AARTS processor 28 of the aircraft unit 18 of the 30 directed evasive command. An evasive command code generator may generate an evasive command code to notify AARTS processor 28, or the AARTS processor may be interrupted or otherwise informed of the evasive

command. The aircraft may then automatically control its flight or course to implement the evasive command. The AARTS processor 28 communicates via data link to the air traffic controller to transmit the evasive command code to the ATC facility 48, or otherwise inform the air traffic controller of the evasive command that has been issued to the pilot, and whether the evasive command is being followed. The evasive command code may be received at ATC facility 48 and visually observed as a flashing annunciator, light or other indicator to inform the air traffic controller of the aircraft's intent to climb, descend, turn right, turn left, etc.

Aircraft unit 18 also includes a transmitter 32 and a receiver 33 for transmitting and receiving information and data over data link. Receiver 33 is a standard type receiver and its structure is well known to those skilled in the art. Receiver 33 may include a demodulator for demodulating an information transmission, and a data decoder/detector 37 for detecting information and data on the received information transmission. Data decoder/detector may be a digital detector for detecting digital data and information. AARTS processor 28 may control decoder/detector 37 to detect specific data and information.

Transmitter 32 is a standard transmitter and includes a data encoder 34 for encoding data and information received from AARTS processor 28. Transmitter 32 also includes modulator 36 for modulating the encoded data prior to transmission. Transmitter 32 may comprise a number of different types of transmitters, and the various components and operation of transmitter 32 are well known to those skilled in the art. Transmitter 32 receives information, data, codes and instructions from AARTS processor 28, and, under control of AARTS processor 28, transmits this information, data codes, etc. to ATC facility 48, or others, including other aircraft, via data link. Transmitter 32 preferably transmits information and data to a communications satellite (uplink). The information is then relayed from the communications satellite to the ATC facility 48 (downlink), or another receiver. The use of satellites to transmit the information from the aircraft to the ATC facility 48 has the advantage of having total coverage at all

altitudes, and all locations, and avoiding the problems associated with VHF/UHF radio, such as line of sight problems, very limited range, and congestion on each frequency due to a high number of transmitting RF signals. However, a number of other communication or transmission techniques may be used, such as very high frequency radio (VHF), ultra-high frequency radio (UHF), optical communications, cellular telephone, etc., or a combination of these techniques. The term "data link" herein indicates one or more of the many available communications techniques (satellite, HF, UHF, or VHF radio, optical, cellular, etc.) Data encoder 34 uses well known techniques to encode data and information received from AARTS processor 28 into a digital format (i.e., data is converted to a series of bits). One such encoding technique is pulse code modulation (PCM), however, others may be used. Alternatively, analog encoding techniques may be used (amplitude, frequency or phase modulation), or a combination of digital and analog techniques. Modulator 36 then modulates the encoded data onto a carrier wave prior to transmission. In addition, transmitter 32 may include a dedicated processor (not shown) for interpreting instructions and information and controlling operation of transmitter 32. Preferably, these transmitter control functions are performed by AARTS processor 28.

Referring to Fig. 2, the ATC facility 48 is illustrated and may be located on the grounds of an airport, or at a remote location, and may keep track of aircraft including their location, heading, speed, status, etc. The ATC facility 48 may also be located in the air or space, such as a satellite based ATC facility or an aircraft based ATC facility. Alternatively, a portion or all of the apparatus of ATC facility 48 may be located in the air or in space, such as on a satellite or aircraft, with information gathered by one or more such airborne ATC facilities transmitted down to a ground based master ATC facility for nationwide coordination.

ATC facility 48 includes a conventional two way radio 52 for communicating with aircraft. A pseudo-satellite 54 is provided to enhance accuracy of the GPS data, and is discussed in greater detail hereinbelow. An ATC facility receiver 60 receives signals transmitted via satellite

communications on a satellite dish 56, and/or may receive signals transmitted via VHF or UHF radio on antenna 58, or may receive signals transmitted using other well known communication techniques, such as cellular telephone, optical communications link, etc. ATC facility receiver 60 includes a
5 demodulator for demodulating the received signal. Receiver 60 also includes a data decoder/detector 64 for decoding or detecting the data or information received in the information transmission. Data decoder/detector 64 may be a digital detector for detecting digital data in information transmissions. ATC computer 66 may control decoder/detector 64 to detect specific data or
10 information. The received and detected data or information is input to ATC computer 66, where the data and information are identified. ATC facility transmitter 61 similarly includes a data encoder 63 and modulator 65, and transmits data and information via satellite or using other communications technique.

15 An ATC computer 66 receives information and data from ATC receiver 60, and outputs data and information to ATC transmitter 61. ATC computer 66 includes one or more processors (not shown) and a computer memory (not shown) for storing information and data received and other information. ATC computer 66 controls the overall function and operation of
20 the ATC facility 48. GPS receiver 67 (or multiple GPS receivers) provide GPS data of the ATC facility 48 to ATC computer 66. ATC video processor 68 generates the symbols or graphics to allow the display of aircraft, aircraft location, altitude, speed, status, etc. based on information received from the ATC computer 66 and information received via data link. ATC display 70
25 selectively displays certain aircraft and selected information about each aircraft under control of video processor 68 and/or ATC computer 66.

In operation, ATC computer 66 stores data and information about the different aircraft, such as aircraft identification codes (i.e., B727), registration or "N" numbers, flight plan identification codes (I or V), airline flight
30 numbers (i.e. AA 235), aircraft flight plans for the different aircraft, characteristics about each aircraft (max. airspeed, altitude, turning characteristics, etc.). The aircraft flight plan may additionally include the

route of the aircraft's flight, aircraft type, equipment code, true airspeed, departure airport, proposed departure time (Zulu), required flight level/altitude, destination airport, estimated time enroute, fuel on board (hours, minutes), pilot in command, number of passengers on board, color of
5 aircraft, and remarks.

In addition, ATC computer 66 preferably stores and performs traffic separation alert functions. ATC computer 66 is programmed with the minimum horizontal and vertical separation requirements between aircraft ("traffic separation standards"). For example, current basic standards are
10 typically a horizontal separation of 3 miles if the aircraft is within 40 miles of the radar antenna, and 5 miles if beyond. Typical vertical separation standards include 1,000 feet for altitudes up to 18,000 feet, 2,000 feet for altitudes of 18,000 - 29,000 feet, and 4000 feet for altitudes above 29,000 feet. The present invention may allow a decrease in such required separation due
15 to improved accuracy of aircraft tracking over radar.

In accordance with the traffic separation alert functions, ATC computer 66 tracks each aircraft, monitors the location, tracking, speed altitude, status, and the relative location, altitude and tracking of each aircraft with respect to other aircraft. Based on GPS data for each aircraft, the
20 received flight plan for each aircraft, and information on each type of aircraft regarding the aircraft's maximum and average speed, altitude, and flight characteristics, ATC computer 66 models the projected path and predicts possible (and even probable) separation standards violations, in addition to identifying existing separation standards violations. ATC computer 66
25 monitors the distance separating aircraft, identifies any possible conflicts or separation standards violations, and alerts or notifies the air traffic controller of these possible violations or conflicts so flights may be redirected.

ATC computer 66 may alternatively automatically redirect an aircraft's course, heading, altitude, etc., by the computer 66 determining the
30 appropriate new course and transmitting via data link (i.e., transmitter 61) information to the aircraft informing it of the mandatory (or, alternatively, suggested) new route, altitude, heading, etc. Computer 66 may calculate an

aircraft's new course, altitude speed, etc. based upon predicted routes of aircraft, aircraft location, altitude, tracking and speed, destinations of the aircraft, preferred routes of the aircraft, the shortest distance between each aircraft and its destination, each aircraft's flight plan, flight characteristics of each aircraft (maximum speed, altitude,...), aircraft status, separation standards, etc.

ATC computer 66 has preprogrammed flight plans for all aircraft. If ATC computer instructs an aircraft to change routes, directions, altitude, speed, etc. (whether temporarily to avoid another plane or permanently), the ATC computer 66 must update its stored flight plan in real-time to maintain accurate information on each aircraft. ATC computer 66 may instruct an aircraft to temporarily change direction or altitude to avoid another aircraft because, for example, computer 66 has estimated, based on the present flight paths of two aircraft and based on other information that there is likely to be a separation standards violation. Accordingly, ATC computer 66 may provide additional instructions to the aircraft to alter its course and altitude, etc. to place the aircraft back on its original flight path, altitude, speed, etc. ATC computer 66 may constantly update the stored flight paths and other information on aircraft to allow ATC computer 66 to accurately track, guide and control all aircraft.

Computer 66 may calculate the aircraft's new course, heading, speed, altitude, etc. based upon various information (such as listed hereinabove) and using well known mathematical approximations, calculations or techniques. For example, the calculation of the new aircraft heading, altitude, speed etc. may be performed by redirecting the aircraft to a heading that removes the aircraft from the predicted path of the conflicting aircraft by a predetermined distance or time. Or, the new heading may require the aircraft to make a 20 degree (for example) adjustment in heading so as to avoid the predicted path of the conflicting aircraft. Or, the aircraft heading and altitude may both be adjusted so as not to come within, say, 2 miles of the predicted path of the conflicting aircraft, or not to come within 2 miles of the conflicting aircraft itself based on the predicted path of the aircraft and of the conflicting

aircraft.

The air traffic controller may be notified of the computer calculated new route or heading, altitude, speed, etc., for an aircraft and asked to acknowledge that such new course is acceptable prior to transmission from
5 the ATC facility 48 to the aircraft. Alternatively, the air traffic controller may simply be notified of the aircraft's new course after it has been communicated to the aircraft. ATC computer 66 may communicate via transmitter 61 information such as the aircraft's location, altitude, heading or tracking, speed, aircraft status, closest aircraft, to the aircraft to allow the
10 aircraft to verify that the ATC facility 48 has correct information. Also, ATC computer 66 may also transmit such information to other aircraft in the area to allow such aircraft to be aware of other aircraft that are nearby. To direct the information transmission from the ATC facility 48 to the correct aircraft, each information transmission may include a header segment that identifies
15 the aircraft (by registration number, flight number, etc.), and an information segment that includes information, messages, or instructions. The transmissions from the aircraft should also follow this same format. The information transmitted between the ATC facility 48 and aircraft, and between aircraft, may include a wide variety of types of data and information,
20 such as aircraft location, speed, altitude, tracking, aircraft status, inquiries to the aircraft pilot, responses to inquiries, instructions or commands to the aircraft from the ATC facility 48, information regarding the aircraft that are nearby, and other types of information discussed herein. This information is received by an aircraft and may be displayed on the aircraft's display 39 (Fig.
25 1) as text, graphic symbols, or other indicia. Alternatively the information may be in the form of synthesized voice or digitized speech generated at ATC facility 48, communicated to the aircraft via data link, and output to the pilot headset or a speaker. The information may be transmitted from the ATC facility in coded form and output as synthesized voice or speech on the
30 aircraft. The pilot may respond to such inquiries or input new information to be transmitted to the ATC facility 48 or another aircraft via data input device 38 or by speaking into a microphone. Well known speech recognition

equipment and software may interpret voice signals at both the ATC facility 48 and the aircraft and convert such voice signals into text or information prior to transmission.

ATC video processor 68 generates the graphics and text to illustrate
5 the location of each aircraft on ATC display 70. ATC display 70 displays to
the air traffic controller a pictorial or graphic representation of specified
aircraft, their locations, status, headings, and other information in text or
graphics based on information on the aircraft stored at and received by the
ATC computer 66. Alternatively, the function of ATC video processor 68
10 may be performed by computer 66. Each air traffic controller should have
his/her own display 70. Each ATC display 70 may have a separate video
processor 68. ATC computer 66 may include a single computer, or may
comprise a plurality of computers, where incoming information transmission
and data therein are identified and forwarded to the appropriate computer.
15 Aircraft display 39 (Fig. 1) may also include a video processor and a display
for generating and displaying similar types of information to the pilot.

ATC computer 66 processes the incoming data for display. Computer
66 preferably identifies each received data. Each data may include, for
example, an aircraft registration number, GPS position, GPS altitude, GPS
20 speed, aircraft status information, messages of communications to and from
aircraft, etc. There are a plurality of data in an information transmission,
each information transmission including a header segment and an information
segment. After detecting and/or identifying the data in the information
transmission, ATC computer 66 processes the data. Such processing may
25 include a number of different tasks, such as notifying the air traffic controller
of the received data (by audible or visual display or annunciator) and
identifying the associated aircraft, and making information about each aircraft
available to the air traffic controller. ATC computer 66 selects and displays
targets (aircraft) on a controller's display 70 based on the controller's
30 assigned sector and altitude (i.e., north sector, 10,000 - 23,000 ft. alt.). Inhibit
functions may be programmed into computer 66 such that the aircraft are
displayed on the (each) display 70 for the appropriate controller. Some

overlap may occur to ensure proper tracking of aircraft passing from one sector to another. The ATC computer 66 models the path of all detected incoming aircraft and displays (via display 70) these aircraft along with selected information on each aircraft. ATC computer 66 also displays any
 5 untagged aircraft (aircraft that transmit only "1200" (VFR) on a transponder code, and/or transmit no identification code via other communications or data link).

Display 70 may be a conventional radar scope, a dedicated CRT display, or other display. Selected information on each aircraft on the radar
 10 screen are displayed in the flight data box 71. Information displayed in the flight data box 71 may include:

- 1) Aircraft Identification (i.e., UAL195 or 5043J(V));
- 2) Aircraft Type (i.e., B757, C150);
- 3) Aircraft Operation (VFR [V] or IFR [I]);
- 15 4) Aircraft Heading (Tracking): (i.e., arrow pointing in the direction of travel);
- 5) Aircraft Speed in Knots (i.e., number above the arrow [300 knots]);
- 6) Aircraft Altitude (reference to sea level; reference to pressure; altitude above 18,000 ft.) (i.e., 50 = 5000 ft., 180 = 18,000 ft.);
- 20 7) Aircraft Location
- 8) Destination of Aircraft;
- 9) Notification of when an aircraft is transmitting - T, (T) or (circle
 T);
- 10) Whether the aircraft is planning to land at this airport, and if so, a
 25 designation of the runway to be used, and an indication when the runway is clear for landing;
- 11) Aircraft Status and other: Low fuel, emergency condition, aircraft equipment malfunction, aircraft electronics failure or malfunction, power failure, landing gear down, notification that aircraft is off flight
 30 plan, notification that two aircraft are too close (possible violation of separation standards), indication of evasive maneuvers being conducted by an aircraft in response to anti-collision equipment (i.e., a

blinking arrow up or down), receipt of aircraft's request to close flight plan, receipt of information typed in by pilot into data input device, notification that two aircraft are likely to come too close (separation standards violations) based on projected paths or flight plans.

5 While all this information may be displayed in each flight box 71 on display 70, it is advantageous to display only selected information (such as the information shown displayed in Fig. 2) in each flight box 71, while allowing the air traffic controller to "click" or select on an aircraft using a mouse, trackball or other pointing device to cause the remaining information on the
10 selected aircraft to be displayed in text or graphical form on another screen, window, or another display. For example, information such as the aircraft's heading, identification or flight number, aircraft type, altitude and/or location, and an indication that the aircraft's radio is transmitting (T) may be displayed in flight box 71, with the remaining information on the selected
15 aircraft available on the second screen or display. By displaying only selected information on display 70, the air traffic controller is allowed to quickly view many different aircraft on display 70 while still having access to more detailed or additional information by selecting an aircraft using a pointing device.

Referring to Figs. 1-2, the satellite based ATC system illustrated in
20 Figs. 1-2 provides an air traffic control system that may effectively replace radar or at least supplement radar. The ATC system of Figs. 1-2 allows air traffic controllers to track and control aircraft even in areas outside the range of conventional radar. The system of Figs. 1-2 also provides improved accuracy over radar, and provides improved communication between aircraft
25 and air traffic controllers as compared to conventional radio communications.

Prior to a flight, the pilot registers the aircraft and its flight plan with the ATC facility 48. Computer 66 stores information on the identification of the aircraft, the aircraft flight plan, and other information. Prior to take-off, the flight plan and other information for each aircraft may be transmitted via
30 data link (satellite, VHF/UHF, cellular telephone, etc.) from the ATC facility 48 to the aircraft unit 18. AARTS processor 28 receives the information and

downloads (stores) this received information in memory 30. Also, various communications may occur between aircraft and the ATC facility 48 prior to aircraft take-off, such as to confirm the flight plan, etc. The flight data box 71 of display 70 displays a variety of information to the air traffic controller
5 regarding each aircraft in his/her assigned sector and altitude range. As discussed above, the information displayed to the controller on the display 70 may include aircraft identification, speed, heading, altitude, and notification as to the status of the aircraft. The GPS data is input into AARTS processor 28 from comparator 24 and is continuously updated.

10 While in flight, the aircraft may communicate with ATC facility 48 via two-way radio 44. In addition to using two-way radios, the aircraft and the ATC facility 48 may communicate with each other over an additional communications link (i.e., a data link) using transmitter 61 and receiver 60 of the ATC facility and transmitter 32 and receiver 33 of the aircraft. In
15 particular, AARTS processor 28 periodically communicates via transmitter 32 and receiver 60 over a data link (preferably satellite communications) to transmit the GPS data of the aircraft, aircraft identification, status of the aircraft, and other information to the ATC facility 48. Upon receipt of the transmitted data at ATC facility 48, computer 66 processes the data and then
20 displays the data on the display 70. The data displayed on display 70 allows the air traffic controller to keep track of and control aircraft in his/her assigned sector and altitude range.

When a pilot on an aircraft transmits information using his two-way radio 44, transmit detector 46 detects the transmission of information from
25 radio 44. AARTS processor 28 is informed of the transmission from radio 44 and controls transmitter 32 to transmit additional data notifying the ATC facility 48 that the aircraft is transmitting over its two-way radio. AARTS processor 28 preferably generates a code (a radio transmit detect code) that indicates a radio transmission from aircraft radio 44. This transmit detect
30 code is preferably a T or (T), although a wide variety of symbols could be used. The transmit detect code, or information representing this transmit code, is then encoded as a series of bits, modulated and transmitted to ATC

facility 48. The receiver 60 of ATC facility 48 receives, demodulates, and decodes this transmit detect code. ATC computer 66 recognizes this transmit detect code and instructs symbol generator to generate the (T) symbol and output this (T) symbol to display 70 adjacent the other information in the flight box 71 for this aircraft. The aircraft identification information (transmitted with the transmit detect code) identifies the specific aircraft to which the (T) symbol corresponds. The (T) symbol indicates that the identified aircraft is presently transmitting via two-way radio. This (T) symbol makes it very easy for the controller to determine what aircraft with which he is communicating via 2-way radio, and allows the controller to more easily confirm that the correct aircraft is responding to his/her instructions.

When the transmission from radio 44 is complete, transmit detector 46 detects that radio 44 is no longer transmitting, and notifies AARTS processor 28 that the transmission has terminated. AARTS processor 28 then instructs transmitter 32 to cease transmitting the transmit detect code (T). ATC computer 66 then fails to receive the (T) code from receiver 60 and in response, instructs symbol generator 68 to cease generating the (T) code for display. The result is that only when the pilot is speaking on his two-way radio 44, a (T) symbol is displayed on the controller's display 70.

20 Surface Movement and Detection System For Aircraft

During low visibility conditions or under any conditions, it is advantageous for controllers at the ATC facility 48 to know the exact location and status of all aircraft on the ground at an airport. In addition to an air traffic control system, the system of Figs. 1-2 may also be used to provide a non-radar surface movement and detection system for aircraft located on the ground.

For aircraft located on the ground at the airport, including aircraft in storage or in hangars, aircraft loading passengers, aircraft preparing to take-off, aircraft that have just landed, etc., each aircraft should preferably include on board thereon an aircraft unit 18. The ATC facility 48 and aircraft units

18 on board each aircraft located on the ground of the airport allows the
ATC facility 48 to track the location and status of each aircraft on the
ground, and allows for the communication of data and other information (in
addition to verbal communications over two-way radio) between the aircraft
5 and the ATC facility 48 via data link. While use of a communications
satellite as a data link is advantageous during flight, the communications
satellite may also be used as the data link while aircraft are on the ground.
Alternatively, other communication techniques may be used for aircraft on
the ground, such as cellular telephone, HF/VHF/UHF radio, etc., to
10 communicate data and information between aircraft and ATC facility 48.

ATC facility 48 tracks aircraft on the ground in the same manner that
aircraft are tracked while in flight. As discussed above, each aircraft, its
registration number or flight number, and other information is registered with
the ATC facility 48. Aircraft, while on the ground (or in the air) may
15 periodically transmit an information transmission comprising coded signals or
data. As with other information transmissions from aircraft during flight to
the ATC facility 48, the information transmission transmitted while on the
ground may comprise a header segment and an information segment. The
header segment includes aircraft identification information (i.e., registration
20 number, flight number), while the information segment may include other
information such as GPS data (position, altitude, track, speed), aircraft status
information, and other information.

The ATC facility 48 receives the information transmission from each
aircraft, and ATC computer 66 identifies each aircraft, and displays the
25 aircraft or a symbol representing the aircraft, its location on a display at the
ATC facility 48. ATC computer 66 identifies the aircraft using the received
identification information. Because each aircraft transmits its location and a
different predetermined identification code (known by ATC computer 66),
each aircraft is effectively "tagged," allowing the identity and location of each
30 aircraft to be ascertained by computer 66. The surface movement and
detection system also includes a mapping system (i.e., software) resident in
ATC computer 66 that maps the different airport structures, including airport

ramps, taxi ways, runways, hangars, buildings, etc., and is programmed with the GPS coordinates of the location of each of these airport structures, so the relative location of each aircraft on the ground may be determined by ATC computer 66. ATC computer 66 may display all structures on the airport
5 (runways, taxi ways, buildings, etc.), or just selected ones at the request of the air traffic controller. The surface movement and detection system may use the same display (70) as used for the tracking of aircraft while in the air, or may use a separate display. ATC computer 66 keeps track of all aircraft on the ground, including their locations and status.

10 Tracking and coordination of aircraft on the ground is enhanced by each aircraft transmitting a transmit detect code to the ATC facility 48 when the pilot on the aircraft is communicating (transmitting) using his two-way radio 44, as discussed hereinabove. The transmit detect code is accompanied by the aircraft's identification information. This information is received by
15 the ATC facility, and a predetermined symbol, such as a T or a (T) is displayed at display 70 (or other display) to indicate reception of the transmit detect code. The display of the predetermined symbol (T) indicates to the air traffic controller that the pilot is transmitting on his two-way radio 44. This transmit detect code and aircraft identification information allows the
20 controller to determine which aircraft on his display he is communicating with over his two-way radio. This information also allows the controller to verify that when he communicates an instruction to a designated aircraft (i.e., you are clear to take off), only that designated aircraft responds to that instruction.

25 Through the automatic communication of information and data via data link between aircraft and the ATC facility 48 (and even other airports), and real-time processing of the received information and data by ATC computer 66, ATC computer 66 keeps track of (and may control) the aircraft flying in the area, aircraft on the ground and their locations, the anticipated
30 landings and take-offs for aircraft, the scheduled runways to be used, and the timing/scheduling of all events. The air traffic controller located at the ATC facility 48 would typically use ATC computer 66 to coordinate the movement

of aircraft.

Alternatively, ATC computer 66 may automatically coordinate and control the occurrence of all events at the airport, and communicate with aircraft on the ground and in the air to ensure that all aircraft movement on the ground and in the air, including landings, take-offs, etc. occurs in an orderly and efficient manner while maintaining a safe predetermined distance or time separating each aircraft/event, so as to avoid separation standards violations and collisions. ATC computer 66 is programmed to receive aircraft location, status and other information from aircraft, aircraft flight plans, requests from aircraft (to land, take-off, emergency condition, etc.), and to provide the appropriate responses, information and instructions to each aircraft in order to coordinate and control the movement of aircraft in the air and on the ground. In this manner, the systems of the invention provide an intelligent and automated airport.

The accuracy of aircraft positioning used by the ATC facility 48 for the surface movement and detection system (determination of the locations of aircraft located on the ground) may be improved through the use of: 1) differential navigation and/or 2) pseudo-satellites. GPS receivers 20, 22 and 67 may operate in either absolute navigation mode, or differential navigation mode. In the absolute navigation mode, the GPS receivers determine their position absolutely with respect to a specific set of map coordinates, such as longitude and latitude. Although GPS receivers are one of the most accurate ways to determine location, heading, etc., a number of errors are inherently introduced that affect GPS accuracy, such as, satellite ephemeris and clock bias error, ionospheric and tropospheric delays, and line of sight ranging errors. As a result, a GPS receiver operating in absolute navigation mode may include average errors of over 100 feet.

In differential navigation, two GPS receivers continuously exchange navigation information with one another in real time. One of the GPS receivers (67) acts as a base station; The other GPS receiver (20 or 22) navigates relative to the base station's location. The base station (ATC facility 48) determines its real-time pseudo-range solution based upon the

received binary pulse trains (pseudo-range = $C \times \Delta T$). Because the base station also knows its exact location, it also determines a real-time geometrical solution (geometrical range = satellite position - base station position). The base station then calculates a pseudo-range correction by subtracting the geometrical range from the pseudo-range. This pseudo-range correction is periodically calculated and transmitted to all GPS receivers (20 and 22). GPS receivers located on aircraft (on ground and in air) may subtract this correction from their calculated pseudo-ranges to obtain GPS position, altitude, speed, and track that are much more accurate. The GPS resident processor of GPS receivers 20 and 22, and/or AARTS processor 28, and ATC computer 66 are programmed with software, using well known techniques, for performing the appropriate calculations and communications of pseudo-range corrections and other data. While the pseudo-range errors may be transmitted from the base station (ATC facility 48) to all aircraft, it is preferable that ATC computer 66 receives the uncorrected GPS data from each aircraft, and then performs this subtraction or adjustment of the received aircraft GPS data using the pseudo-range correction prior to displaying aircraft and their position on the ATC display at the ATC facility 48. Thus, using differential navigation, the GPS position calculated by each aircraft is transmitted to the ATC facility 48, and the ATC computer 66 subtracts the pseudo-range correction to obtain the corrected position of each aircraft.

An improvement in the accuracy of aircraft positioning at ATC facility 48 using differential navigation is possible due to substantially common errors between the ATC GPS receiver 67 and aircraft GPS receivers 20 and 22. Satellite and ephemeris and clock bias error tend to be common to GPS receivers, and commonality also exists between the ionospheric and tropospheric delays, which are introduced as the L-band signals (binary pulse trains) travel toward the ground. Any remaining line of sight ranging errors tend to be minimal. Therefore, the use of differential navigation may be advantageously used to remove many errors and improve the accuracy of GPS receivers. The use of differential navigation is particularly effective on a

surface movement and detection system where the aircraft are positioned on the ground relatively near to the base station (ATC facility 48). However, differential navigation may also be used for an ATC system for the tracking of aircraft in flight. In an ATC system, the ATC facility 48 may be used as
5 the base station, or other base stations may be located at various positions on the ground throughout the U.S. and the world. These base stations may be interconnected via data link (optical fiber cable, VHF/UHF, satellite, etc.) to provide a network of base stations. In addition, satellites, whose positions are known, may be used as base stations.

10 The second way aircraft positioning (accuracy of position) may be improved is through the use of so-called pseudo-satellites. Pseudo-satellites are "false" satellites that are usually located on the ground at fixed locations and transmit navigation signals similar to the ones transmitted by the GPS satellites. The GPS receivers must include software to receive and process
15 and lock onto these signals transmitted by pseudo-satellites. While the use of a ground based pseudo-satellite may be limited by line of sight problems, the pseudo-satellite provides an additional source of GPS type positioning signals. To avoid jamming the reception of signals of real GPS satellites, it is desirable for pseudo-satellites to transmit their positioning signals only part of
20 the time, such as by using a time-division multiple access technique. Pseudo-satellites may also transmit differential corrections. The use of pseudo-satellites may improve the accuracy of the positioning of aircraft in a surface movement and detection system.

Aircraft, while on the ground, may communicate with ATC facility 48
25 via data link to transmit and receive a variety of different types of information. For example, aircraft instrumentation, switches and electronics may be connected to AARTS processor 28. During pre-flight testing, information may be transmitted from the aircraft unit 18 to ATC facility 48 to inform ATC facility 48 that the aircraft has passed its pre-flight test, or to
30 inform ATC facility 48 of those systems that malfunctioned or failed pre-flight test, to allow repairs to be performed immediately.

Also, information regarding other status of the aircraft may be

communicated to ATC facility 48 prior to take-off. The flight plan or other information may be communicated from the ATC facility 48 to the aircraft unit 18 and stored in memory 30 of aircraft unit 18. Aircraft unit 18 may communicate via data link to ATC facility 48 to verify or confirm specific
5 information, such as the flight plan, flight instructions, departure runway, etc. Display 39 may display, in graphical, text or other form, the planned flight plan, or the planned and approved/proposed flight route and other information for review and confirmation by the pilot. The pilot or crew may input information into AARTS processor 28 using data input device 38, which
10 may include a keyboard, keypad, a series of buttons or switches, a microphone, etc. This information input by the pilot may be communicated to ATC facility 48 via data link (satellite, cellular, VHF/UHF, etc.), either automatically after being input, in response to actuation of a switch, or in response to another event. The ATC facility 48 may transmit questions or
15 responses which are displayed to the pilot on display 39 in the form of text or graphic symbols. The aircraft unit 18 may also transmit questions and responses to ATC facility for display.

Prior to take-off, aircraft unit 18 may transmit information via data link to the ATC facility that it is ready for take-off, and the ATC facility may
20 respond with appropriate instructions (i.e., that the aircraft is clear to take off and designation of the runway to be used). While such communication normally occurs verbally between aircraft and the air traffic controller using two-way radios 44 and 52, the invention allows such verbal communications process to be replaced or at least supplemented by the transmission of coded
25 signals and/or data that are transmitted via data link (satellite, HF, VHF, UHF, cellular, etc.) and displayed to improve the communications process and the accuracy of information communicated. Aircraft that have just landed may receive via data link instructions of where to taxi, and whether the terminal is accessible, or open, etc. After landing, the aircraft unit 18
30 may communicate a request via data link to close the aircraft's flight plan to ATC facility 48. The request may be made manually by the pilot actuating a switch or by inputting a predetermined instruction or code using input device

38, or the request may be generated automatically in response to the landing of the aircraft, the lowering of the landing gear, in response to interrogation from the ATC facility 48, or in response to some other event.

The Flight Control System

5 Fig. 2 illustrates a flight control system 72 located on an aircraft for automatically controlling the flight of the aircraft. A flight control computer 74 controls all operations and functions of the flight control system 72. Flight control computer 74 receives GPS data from GPS receivers 20 and 22 through GPS data comparator 24. The flight control computer interfaces to a
10 number of aircraft systems 78, such as electrical power, flight management system, inertial reference system (IRS), air data system, radio altimeter, instrument landing system (ILS), central warning system, etc. An input device 76 may be used to input data and information into computer 74, and a screen or display may display information and data. The display may provide
15 annunciators for indicating to the pilots the activation/selection of the various flight modes and systems (autopilot, heading select, VOR, etc.). A flight control panel 80 provides the primary interface between the flight crew and the other components of the flight control system. The control panel 80 includes a number of pushbuttons that provide momentary discrete inputs to
20 the flight control system. The pushbuttons provide four categories of control: 1) combined control (autoland, ILS, Turb, VNAV), 2) pitch control (vert. speed, altitude hold), 3) Roll control (VOR Location, Heading hold, heading select, Nav), and 4) Autothrottle control (speed select, mach select). The panel also includes an autopilot engage switch for engaging the autopilot,
25 and a switch for selecting autoland. Next to the autoland switch is a switch for selecting the autoland to be performed based on ILS or based upon GPS data. A number of control knobs and displays are also on the control panel 80, including altimeter knob (for dialing in a desired altitude), heading knob (for dialing in a desired heading, etc. A number of digital display readouts
30 are also provided on the control panel 80, including displays for: airspeed

(knots), heading (magnetic heading displayed in degrees), altitude, pitch (vertical airspeed, mach value, pitch attitude).

Flight control computer 74 also connects to the various aircraft sensors 84 (i.e., aileron position sensor, rudder position sensor, flap position sensors, 5 spoiler position sensors) and navigational aids (IRS, VOR/DME, Tacan, etc.) Computer 74 also connects to standard or conventional aircraft instrumentation 82 (gyroscopes (IRS), pressure instruments, altimeter, vertical speed indicator, airspeed indicator, magnetic compass, engine and power instruments, ammeter, etc.), as well as to aircraft and autopilot servos 88 for 10 actuating different aircraft systems or units, such as the servos for actuating and controlling the aircraft aileron, rudder, engine, flaps, spoiler, etc. Warning indicators 90 provide warnings of various conditions to the crew: Flight control computer 74 also connects and communicates with AARTS processor 28 and STARS processor 29 for coordinating the operation of all 15 systems. AARTS processor 28, STARS processor 29 and flight control computer 74 may be a single computer or microprocessor.

Flight control system 72 may be operated in manual mode or autopilot mode. In manual mode, the pilot is in control of the flight of the aircraft, including its heading, speed, altitude, etc. The pilot may dial in a desired 20 speed, altitude, or air speed, etc. to partially automate flying the aircraft. In the manual mode, computer 74 may use information from GPS receivers 20, 22 as well as from a number of external navigation aids and other equipment, such as sensors and instruments.

In autopilot mode, the aircraft is controlled by computer 74 to fly a 25 predetermined flight path. When flight control system is operated in the autopilot mode (i.e., by switching the autopilot switch on panel 80), the pilot causes the autopilot to control the aircraft based on information input to computer 74. In autopilot mode, flight control computer automatically provides control of the roll, pitch, yaw, and operationally controls the aircraft 30 attitude, heading, altitude, airspeed, etc. In autopilot mode, computer 74 also provides automatic throttle control (control of speed, mach and thrust), detects engine failures, monitors engine parameters, monitors fuel level,

monitors the operation of all systems (in autopilot or manual mode).

Computer 74 may operate in autopilot mode during take off, flight, and landing. In autopilot mode, computer 74 provides command signals to the autopilot servo drives for servos 88 (which may include aileron, elevator and
5 rudder, etc.). These command signals provide proper movement of the aileron, elevator and rudder. Computer 74 also controls the thrust and speed by controlling the engine throttle, and controls operation of a number of other aircraft systems.

In autopilot mode, the computer 74 controls the servo drives, throttle
10 and other systems to control the flight of the aircraft on a predetermined flight path that is input in computer 74 or previously stored in computer 74. The predetermined flight path may include headings, altitudes, speed, destinations, locations and other information. This predetermined path may include instructions to navigate the aircraft based on signals received by
15 computer 74 from GPS receiver(s), or based on a number of different external navigation aids, such as ILS, VOR/DME, IRS, or the like. The aircraft may periodically receive instructions or information to update or change its flight path from the pilot or crew, or from ATC facility 48. Computer 74 may even update or alter its flight path based on
20 communications from other aircraft. For example, the aircraft may alter its flight path to avoid a collision with another aircraft based on information it receives from its anti-collision system, such as the STARS processor 29. Or, ATC facility 48 may communicate via data link to instruct the aircraft to alter its flight path to avoid a separation standards violation, or to accommodate
25 hazardous weather conditions.

In autopilot mode, computer 74 may rely primarily on GPS receivers
20, 22 for the aircraft's position, altitude, speed, and tracking (heading). The input of a flight path may also include a selection as between reliance on GPS or other navigation aids. Use of one or more pseudo-satellites, and
30 particularly the use of differential navigation may improve navigation of the aircraft in the manual or autopilot mode. While in autopilot, the aircraft may be placed in the autoland mode, which instructs the aircraft to automatically

land itself.

During autoland mode, the aircraft's localizer and glideslope receivers may receive the localizer and glideslope signals emitted near the runway. The computer 74 may control the aircraft to land based upon the localizer
5 and glideslope radio signals, which provide horizontal and vertical control to the computer 74 during the ILS precision landing.

Computer 74, however, preferably uses the differential GPS data, including pseudo-range corrections from facility 48, to land the aircraft. The computer 74 stores in memory, or receives via data link from ATC facility 48,
10 information on the location of the airport, locations and dimensions of all structures at the airport, including runways, taxiways, buildings, terminals, and the direction from which the aircraft should approach each runway for landing. Based on this information describing the layout and structure of the airport, the computer 74 may display on a screen or display a picture or
15 graphical image of the layout of the airport with designation as to the route or path to use for landing, the runway to use, the location of aircraft on the ground.

Computer 74 controls the aircraft to automatically land the aircraft based on the known positions of the airport and runways, the recommended
20 path for landing, the recommended altitude, location and speed for all points along the aircraft's flight path during and before the landing. The computer 74 may store, or receive via data link from the ATC facility 48, such information on the recommended locations, speed, altitude for points along the flight path.

25 ATC facility 48 may also communicate to the aircraft via data link instructions on which runway to use, the aircraft that are on the ground and their locations, other approaching aircraft and their location, and instructions on what to do after landing (i.e., taxi to terminal 4d). Computer 66 automatically receives information (including aircraft GPS data, aircraft status
30 information, etc.) in real-time describing the landing(s) in progress of the (and all) aircraft via communications from each aircraft. The ATC computer 66 also stores information on the layout, locations and structures of all

runways, landing points, buildings, etc. Based on this airport map and the information received from each aircraft regarding its GPS data and status, the ATC facility monitors the aircraft's speed, positioning, altitude, etc., compares this received information to the ideal or suggested position, speed, heading, etc. of the ideal or suggested landing (stored in computer 66), and communicates via data link instructions or information to the aircraft flight control computer 74 to adjust its speed, altitude, positioning, etc. to improve the landing, or to avoid an aircraft on the ground, etc. Therefore, ATC facility 48 may communicate with aircraft during landings to monitor the landings and provide instructions to aircraft to assist the aircraft in the landings.

While the above ATC, surface movement and detection, and flight control systems of the invention have been described hereinabove to track, guide, control and communicate with aircraft in the air and on the ground, the same systems may be employed to track, guide, control and communicate with other vehicles such as ships, automobiles, railroads, submarines, etc.

When the systems of the invention are used on ships, each ship includes unit 18, illustrated in Fig. 1. A central facility 48, located at a harbor, dock, or a port, on a ship at sea, or other location, includes the system shown in Fig. 2. The ship systems (Figs. 1-3) generally may operate like those described for aircraft, but are adapted for use with ships or sea vessels. Each ship obtains its position, track, speed from GPS receivers and 22, and its status, and periodically communicates this information via data link (HF, VHF, UHF, satellite, etc.) to the central facility 48, along with ship identification data. The central facility (48) keeps track of the location of all ships at sea, for example, within a predetermined and limited region. The central facility 48 may also keep track of many other objects (including their locations), such as bridges, rocks, icebergs, docks, etc. Computer 66 of central facility 48 anticipates possible ship conflicts or collisions based on the paths of the ships and information received from the ships regarding their path and destination. Central facility 48 may provide instructions via data link to ships to alter their path, or to warn of dangerous objects or other

ships in their path. Central facility 48 may communicate with ships entering a channel or port and provide instructions to guide the ship down the channel, river, etc. The ship's transmit detector 46 may detect the transmission from ship radio 44 and transmit this transmit detect signal (T) along with ship identification information to the central facility 48. Ships may provide the status of their ship (i.e., emergency, low fuel, send help) to the central facility, along with their GPS data. Each ship may also include a system similar to that illustrate in Fig. 3, but for the automatic control and guidance of ships.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1 1. A satellite based aircraft tracking system, comprising:
2 an aircraft unit located on an aircraft, comprising:
3 a) a satellite receiver, responsive to navigation signals
4 transmitted by a satellite navigation system, and providing data describing the
5 aircraft's position based on the navigation signals;
6 b) an aircraft transmitter for transmitting an information
7 transmission over a data link;
8 c) an aircraft receiver for receiving an information transmission
9 over the data link;
10 d) an aircraft data detector electrically connected to said
11 receiver for detecting data and information in a received information
12 transmission; and
13 e) an aircraft processor electrically connected to said satellite
14 receiver, said aircraft data detector and said aircraft transmitter, said aircraft
15 processor communicating via data link an information transmission
16 comprising aircraft identification data and aircraft position data to an aircraft
17 tracking station, said processor identifying information and data detected by
18 said aircraft data detector; and *a*
19 an aircraft tracking station for tracking aircraft, said tracking station
20 comprising:
21 a) a station transmitter for transmitting an information
22 transmission to said aircraft over the data link;
23 b) a station receiver for receiving an information transmission
24 from said aircraft over the data link;
25 c) a station data detector electrically connected to said station
26 receiver for detecting said data and said information in said information
27 transmission;
28 d) a display for displaying said data and other information;
29 e) a tracking station computer electrically connected to said
30 station transmitter, said station data detector and said display; said computer

31 identifying data and information received from said station data detector and
32 controlling said display to display information or one or more indicia
33 identifying the aircraft and the aircraft's position, said station computer
34 communicating selected information to the aircraft via the data link using
35 said station transmitter.

1 2. The system of claim 1 wherein said aircraft unit further comprises:
2 f) aircraft status sensors electrically connected to said processor
3 for detecting one or more status of the aircraft, said information transmission
4 transmitted by said aircraft transmitter further comprising aircraft status data
5 describing one or more said status of the aircraft.

1 3. The system of claim 2, wherein said station display further displays
2 said aircraft status data.

1 4. The system of claim 1, wherein said aircraft unit further comprises:
2 f) a data input device for inputting data into said aircraft
3 processor for transmission over the data link.

1 5. The system of claim 1 wherein said satellite receiver of said aircraft
2 unit comprises a GPS receiver for receiving navigation signals from a
3 plurality of global positioning satellites (GPS).

1 6. The system of claim 1 wherein said GPS receiver comprises a
2 plurality of GPS receivers, said aircraft unit further comprising a comparator
3 for comparing GPS data output from said GPS receivers and outputting a
4 signal to the aircraft processor indicating the reliability of the GPS receiver
5 data based on the comparison.

1 7. The system of claim 6 wherein said comparator compares data from
2 a first of said GPS receivers to data from a second of said GPS receivers, and
3 outputs to said processor a signal indicating whether the data of the first and

4 second GPS receivers differ by more than a predetermined amount.

1 8. The system of claim 6 wherein said aircraft unit further comprises
2 an external navigation aid connected to said aircraft processor, said
3 comparator comparing data from said navigation aid to data from said GPS
4 receivers and outputting a signal to said processor indicating whether data
5 from one or more said GPS receivers differ from data from said external
6 navigation aid by more than a predetermined amount.

1 9. The system of claim 8 wherein said external navigation aid
2 comprises an aircraft inertial reference system (IRS).

1 10. The system of claim 6, said aircraft unit further comprising a
2 switch for selecting one of the plurality of GPS receivers, data from said
3 selected GPS receiver being output from said comparator to said processor
4 without being compared. *a*

1 11. The system of claim 10 wherein said processor monitors a built-in-
2 test of each said GPS receiver and automatically actuates said switch to select
3 one or more said GPS receivers that passed their built-in-test.

1 12. The system of claim 6, said comparator outputting to said
2 processor GPS data comprising an average of the data output by two or more
3 said GPS receivers.

1 13. The system of claim 1 wherein each said receivers comprise a
2 demodulator.

1 14. The system of claim 1 wherein said detector comprises a digital
2 detector for detecting digital information in the information transmission.

1 15. The system of claim 1, said aircraft unit further comprises:

2 f) a memory electrically connected to said aircraft processor for
3 storing data and information, said processor communicating via data link
4 selected data and information stored in said memory to said aircraft tracking
5 station.

1 16. The system of claim 1 wherein said aircraft and said aircraft
2 tracking station communicate via data link, the data link comprising one of
3 High Frequency (HF) radio data link, Very High Frequency (VHF) radio
4 data link, Ultra High Frequency (UHF) radio data link, and satellite data
5 link.

1 17. The system of claim 1, wherein said computer further
2 communicates flight instructions to said aircraft via data link.

1 18. An apparatus located on an aircraft for communicating with an
2 aircraft tracking station, said apparatus comprising:

- 3 a) a satellite receiver, responsive to navigation signals transmitted by a
4 satellite navigation system, and providing data describing the aircraft's
5 position based on the navigation signals;
- 6 b) an aircraft status sensor for detecting an aircraft status;
- 7 c) a transmitter for transmitting an information transmission over a
8 data link;
- 9 e) a receiver for receiving an information transmission over the data
10 link;
- 11 f) a data detector electrically connected to said receiver for detecting
12 information in a received information transmission; and
- 13 g) an aircraft processor electrically connected to said satellite receiver,
14 said status sensor, said transmitter and said data detector, said processor
15 identifying information and data detected by said data detector, said
16 processor communicating to the aircraft tracking station via data link the
17 aircraft's identity, the aircraft's position, and the aircraft's status.

Sub
A1

19. An apparatus located on an aircraft for communicating with an aircraft tracking station, said apparatus comprising:

- a) a satellite receiver, responsive to navigation signals transmitted by a satellite navigation system, and providing data describing the aircraft's position based on the navigation signals;
- b) a two-way radio for communicating with the aircraft tracking station;
- c) a radio transmit detector electrically connected to said two-way radio for detecting a transmission from said two-way radio;
- d) a transmitter for transmitting an information transmission over a data link;
- e) a receiver for receiving an information transmission over the data link, said information transmission comprising data and communications;
- f) a data detector electrically connected to said receiver for detecting information in a received information transmission; and
- g) an aircraft processor electrically connected to said satellite receiver, said aircraft detector, said aircraft transmitter and said detector, said processor communicating via data link to said aircraft tracking station an information transmission comprising the aircraft's identity, the aircraft's position, and information indicating whether the aircraft radio is transmitting, and said processor identifying data and information detected by said detector.

20. An apparatus for detecting aircraft on the ground, comprising:

an aircraft unit located on an aircraft, comprising:

- a) an aircraft satellite receiver, responsive to navigation signals transmitted by a satellite navigation system, and providing data describing the aircraft's position based on the navigation signals;
- b) an aircraft transmitter for transmitting an information transmission over a data link;
- c) an aircraft receiver for receiving an information transmission over the data link;
- d) an aircraft data detector electrically connected to said

11 receiver for detecting data and information in a received information
12 transmission; and
13 e) an aircraft processor electrically connected to said satellite
14 receiver, said aircraft data detector and said aircraft transmitter, said aircraft
15 processor communicating, via data link using said aircraft transmitter, an
16 information transmission comprising aircraft identification data and aircraft
17 position data to an aircraft tracking station; and
18 an aircraft tracking station for tracking aircraft on the ground, said
19 tracking station comprising:
20 a) a station transmitter for transmitting an information
21 transmission to said aircraft over the data link;
22 b) a station receiver for receiving an information transmission
23 from said aircraft over the data link;
24 c) a station data detector electrically connected to said station
25 receiver for detecting said data and said information in said information
26 transmission;
27 d) a display for displaying said data and other information;
28 e) a station satellite receiver located at said tracking station,
29 responsive to navigation signals transmitted by a satellite navigation system,
30 and calculating the station's position based on the navigation signals, said
31 station satellite receiver providing navigation correction information based on
32 a comparison of the station's calculated position to the station's actual
33 position;
34 f) a tracking station computer electrically connected to said
35 station satellite receiver, said station transmitter, said station data detector
36 and said display, said computer identifying data and information received
37 from said station data detector, said computer controlling said display to
38 display information of one or more indicia identifying the aircraft and the
39 aircraft's corrected position, said computer determining the aircraft's
40 corrected position based on the received aircraft position and the navigation
41 correction information.

add a2

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A satellite based air traffic control (ATC) system includes an aircraft unit on an aircraft and an ATC facility. The aircraft unit includes an AARTS processor, GPS receivers or other satellite receivers, a comparator for comparing the GPS data, a two-way radio, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over a data link with the ATC facility. The ATC facility includes an ATC computer, a two-way radio, a display for displaying aircraft, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over the data link. The aircraft transmits aircraft identification information, GPS data, aircraft status information, and a transmit detect code to the ATC facility to allow the ATC to track the aircraft and identify the aircraft communicating on two-way radio. The traffic control system and a flight control system utilizing GPS may be used for aircraft in the air and on the ground, and may be used for ships, boats, automobiles, trains or railroads, and aircraft.

18




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

18




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

72




Fig. 3

#3

A/Bo
Lee



Docket No. 07933/012

08/275547

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s) T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: Unassigned

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Filing Date: Herewith

Examiner: Unassigned

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Box **PATENT APPLICATION**
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

TRANSMITTAL OF APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R § 1.41(c)

Sir:

The undersigned attorney for Applicants hereby makes application for U.S. Letters Patent on behalf of the Applicant(s) identified below:

Loofal

Full Name of Inventor	Family Name Farmakis	First Given Name Tom	Second Given Name S.
Residence & Citizenship	City Sharpsburg	State/Country Georgia	Citizenship U.S.A.
Post Office Address	Post Office Address 100 Indian Creek Trail	City Sharpsburg	State/Zip/Country Georgia 30277

Full Name of Inventor	Family Name Routsong	First Given Name Russell	Second Given Name D.
Residence & Citizenship	City Peachtree City	State/Country Georgia	Citizenship U.S.A.
Post Office Address	Post Office Address 706 Bookman Point	City Peachtree City	State/Zip/Country Georgia 30269


The benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of the United States application(s) listed below is claimed:

Application Number	Filing Date	Status
08/062,406	14 May 93	Allowed

Please address all correspondence and telephone calls in connection with this application to:

R. Edward Brake
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

Respectfully submitted,



R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: July 15, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TC

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
08/275,547	07/15/94	FARMAKIS	T 07933012

03B1/0812

R. EDWARD BRAKE
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2402

0000

DATE MAILED: 08/12/94

#12

**NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION
FILING DATE GRANTED**

An Application Number and Filing Date have been assigned to this application. However, the items indicated below are missing. The required items and fees identified below must be timely submitted **ALONG WITH THE PAYMENT OF A SURCHARGE** for items 1 and 3-6 only of \$ 130 for large entities or \$ 65 for small entities who have filed a verified statement claiming such status. The surcharge is set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(e).

If all required items on this form are filed within the period set below, the total amount owed by applicant as a large entity, small entity (verified statement filed), is \$ 714.

Applicant is given **ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, OR TWO MONTHS FROM THE FILING DATE** of this application, **WHICHEVER IS LATER**, within which to file all required items and pay any fees required above to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- The statutory basic filing fee is, missing insufficient. Applicant as a large entity small entity, must submit \$ 110 to complete the basic filing fee.
- Additional claim fees of \$ 74 as a large entity, small entity, including any required multiple dependent claim fee, are required. Applicant must submit the additional claim fees or cancel the additional claims for which fees are due.
- The oath or declaration:
 - is missing.
 - does not cover items omitted at time of execution.

An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date is required.

- The oath or declaration does not identify the application to which it applies. An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The signature(s) to the oath or declaration is/are: missing; by a person other than the inventor or a person qualified under 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43, or 1.47. A properly signed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The signature of the following joint inventor(s) is missing from the oath or declaration:

An oath or declaration listing the names of all inventors and signed by the omitted inventor(s), identifying this application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The application was filed in a language other than English. Applicant must file a verified English translation of the application and a fee of \$ _____ under 37 CFR 1.17(k), unless this fee has already been paid.
- A \$ _____ processing fee is required since your check was returned without payment. (37 CFR 1.21(m)).
- Your filing receipt was mailed in error because your check was returned without payment.
- The application does not comply with the Sequence Rules. See attached Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- Other. D Bates / hm

Direct the response and any questions about this notice to, Attention: Application Processing Division, Special Processing and Correspondence Branch (703) 308-1202.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the response.

FORM PTO-1533 (REV. 11-93)

OFFICE COPY

PATENT

Docket No. 07933/012



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#3

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned
Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231
Attention: Application Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

**RESPONSE TO
NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION
FILING DATE GRANTED**

To complete the filing requirements for the above-referenced application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.51, enclosed please find:

1. Declaration and Power of Attorney;
2. Copy of Notice to File Missing Parts of Application mailed August 12, 1994;
3. Verified Statement (Declaration) Claiming Small Entity Status; and
4. Request for Extension of Time.

A check in the amount of \$457.00 is enclosed to cover the \$65.00 small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e) surcharge and the \$392.00 small entity filing fee. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 16, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231
Attention: Application Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

**RESPONSE TO
NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION
FILING DATE GRANTED**

To complete the filing requirements for the above-referenced application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.51, enclosed please find:

1. Declaration and Power of Attorney;
2. Copy of Notice to File Missing Parts of Application mailed August 12, 1994;
3. Verified Statement (Declaration) Claiming Small Entity Status; and
4. Request for Extension of Time.

A check in the amount of \$457.00 is enclosed to cover the \$65.00 small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e) surcharge and the \$392.00 small entity filing fee. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 16, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



PATENT
Docket No., 07933/012

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control
System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

Declaration and Power of Attorney

S I R:

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am an original, first, and joint inventor of the subject matter that is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System**, the specification of which was filed on **15 Jul 94** as Application Serial No. **08/275,547**.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

Prior United States Application(s)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as this application discloses and claims subject matter in addition to that disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56, which became

available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number	Filing Date	Status
08/062,406	14 May 93	Allowed

Power of Attorney

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

15 James F. Davis (Reg. No. 21,072); Joel M. Freed (Reg. No. 21,501); Alan M. Grimaldi (Reg. No. 26,599); Thomas J. Scott, Jr. (Reg. No. 27,836); Richard H. Kjeldgaard (Reg. No. 30,186); Joseph P. Lavelle (Reg. No. 31,036); William J. Griffin (Reg. No. 31,260); Richard S. Meyer (Reg. No. 32,541); Jeffrey I. Auerbach (Reg. No. 32,680); Leo J. Jennings (Reg. No. 32,902); C. Scott Talbot (Reg. No. 34,262); Celine T. Callahan (Reg. No. 34,301); Cole M. Fauver (Reg. No. 36,797); Hershel Kleinberg (Reg. No. 37,658); and R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Send correspondence, and direct telephone calls to:

R. Edward Brake
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under § 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

1-10

Full Name of Inventor	Family Name <u>Farmakis</u>	First Given Name <u>Tom</u>	Second Given Name <u>S.</u>
Residence & Citizenship	City <u>Sharpsburg</u>	State/Country <u>Georgia GA</u>	Citizenship <u>U.S.</u>
Post Office Address	Post Office Address <u>100 Indian Creek Trail</u>	City <u>Sharpsburg</u>	State/Zip/Country <u>Georgia 30277</u>
Signature <i>Tom I. Farmakis</i>		Date <u>8-31-94</u>	


2-10

Full Name of Inventor	Family Name <u>Routsong</u>	First Given Name <u>Russell</u>	Second Given Name <u>D.</u>
Residence & Citizenship	City <u>Peachtree City</u>	State/Country <u>Georgia GA</u>	Citizenship <u>U.S.</u>
Post Office Address	Post Office Address <u>706 Bookman Point</u>	City <u>Peachtree City</u>	State/Zip/Country <u>Georgia 30269</u>
Signature <i>Russell O. Routsong</i>		Date <u>8-31-94</u>	

37, 203, 355, 201, 205, 1/1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231



CB

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
08/275,547	07/15/94	FARMAKIS	T 07933012

09B1/0812

R. EDWARD BRAKE
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2402

0000

DATE MAILED: 08/12/94

NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION
FILING DATE GRANTED

An Application Number and Filing Date have been assigned to this application. However, the items indicated below are missing. The required items and fees identified below must be timely submitted **ALONG WITH THE PAYMENT OF A SURCHARGE** for items 1 and 3-6 only of \$ 120 for large entities or \$ 65 for small entities who have filed a verified statement claiming such status. The surcharge is set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(e).

If all required items on this form are filed within the period set below, the total amount owed by applicant as a large entity, small entity (verified statement filed), is \$ 714.

Applicant is given **ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER, OR TWO MONTHS FROM THE FILING DATE** of this application, **WHICHEVER IS LATER**, within which to file all required items and pay any fees required above to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- The statutory basic filing fee is: missing insufficient. Applicant as a large entity small entity, must submit \$ 110 to complete the basic filing fee.
- Additional claim fees of \$ 74 as a large entity, small entity, including any required multiple dependent claim fee, are required. Applicant must submit the additional claim fees or cancel the additional claims for which fees are due.
- The oath or declaration:
 - is missing.
 - does not cover items omitted at time of execution.

An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date is required.

- The oath or declaration does not identify the application to which it applies. An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The signature(s) to the oath or declaration is/are: missing; by a person other than the inventor or a person qualified under 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43, or 1.47. A properly signed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The signature of the following joint inventor(s) is missing from the oath or declaration:
 _____ An oath or declaration listing the names of all inventors and signed by the omitted inventor(s), identifying this application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required.
- The application was filed in a language other than English. Applicant must file a verified English translation of the application and a fee of \$ _____ under 37 CFR 1.17(k), unless this fee has already been paid.
- A \$ _____ processing fee is required since your check was returned without payment. (37 CFR 1.21(m)).
- Your filing receipt was mailed in error because your check was returned without payment.

10. The application does not comply with the Sequence Rules. See attached Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

- Other:

080 WP 10/04/94 08275547	1 203	37.00 CK
080 MC 10/04/94 08275547	1 201	355.00 CK
080 DC 10/04/94 08275547	1 205	65.00 CK

Direct the response and any questions about this notice to, Attention: Application Processing Division, Special Processing and Correspondence Branch (703) 308-1202.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the response.



PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

#3

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

**VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION)
CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9(f) & 1.27(c))
SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN**

I hereby declare that I am an official of the small business concern identified below who is empowered to act on behalf of the concern:

Name of Small Business Concern: **Worldwide Notification Systems, Inc.**
Address: 4508 Dartmoor Drive
Suite 500
Atlanta, Georgia 30067

I hereby declare that the above-identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 C.F.R. § 121.12, and reproduced in 37 C.F.R. § 1.9(d), for purposes of paying reduced fees to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time, or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled **Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System** by inventor(s) **Tom S. Farmakis and Russell D. Routsong**, described in the application serial no. **08/275,547** filed **15 Jul 94**.


The rights held by the above-identified small business concern are exclusive.

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the

time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 C.F.R. § 1.28(b))

I declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under § 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Name of Person Signing: **Tom S. Farmakis**
Title: President
Address: 4508 Dartmoor Drive
Suite 500
Atlanta, Georgia 30067

SIGNATURE: 

DATE: 8-31-94

72, 201
PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

KA
#4



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned
Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

Attention: Application Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)**

SIR:

Applicant respectfully requests a one month extension of time in which to respond to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application mailed August 12, 1994 for which a response period expiring on September 15, 1994 was set. The extended period expires on October 15, 1994.

A check in the amount of \$55.00 is enclosed to cover the fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 16, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

~~080 WE 10/04/94 08275547~~
~~100 MH 11/22/94 08275547~~
100 MH 11/22/94 08275547

~~1 201 55.00 CK~~
~~1 201 55.00 CK~~
1 215 55.00 CK

PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: T.S. Farmakis, R.D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

Attention: Application Division
Special Processing and Correspondence Branch

**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)**

SIR:

Applicant respectfully requests a one month extension of time in which to respond to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application mailed August 12, 1994 for which a response period expiring on September 15, 1994 was set. The extended period expires on October 15, 1994.

A check in the amount of \$55.00 is enclosed to cover the fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 16, 1994

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/275,547 07/15/94 FARMAKIS

T 07933012
EXAMINER

ZANELLI, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

R EDWARD BRAKE
HOWREY AND SIMON
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

23M1/0309

2304

DATE MAILED:

03/09/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), 0 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
- 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
- 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
- 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474..
- 6. _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.
- 2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.
- 3. Claims _____ are allowed.
- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected.
- 5. Claims _____ are objected to.
- 6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
- 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
- 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
- 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
- 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
- 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
- 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
- 13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
- 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial Number: 08/275547

-2-

Art Unit: 2304

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-20 are pending.
2. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
3. Claims 6-13, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. As per claim 6, at line 1 "said GPS receiver" does not find antecedence.
 - B. As per claims 7, 10, 11, 12 and 15, before "processor" insert --aircraft-- to provide proper antecedence.
 - C. As per claim 11, at line 2 "receiver" should be --receivers--.
 - D. As per claim 13, insert --of-- after "each" to improve clarity.
 - E. As per claim 18, at lines 14 and 16, before "processor" insert --aircraft-- to provide proper antecedence.
 - F. As per claim 19, the claim is unclear as to how the two-way radio integrates with the rest of the claimed elements. At lines 17 and 21 before "processor" insert --aircraft-- to provide proper antecedence.

Serial Number: 08/275547

-3-

Art Unit: 2304

G. As per claim 20, at lines 38-39 "the aircraft's corrected position" does not find antecedence.

H. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-5 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Olsen et al. (4,814,711).

A. As per claims 1, 18 and 19, Olsen et al. (hereafter Olsen) disclose a satellite based tracking system comprising an aircraft tracking station (Fig. 2) and an aircraft unit (Fig. 3). The tracking station comprises: a transmitter (32); a receiver (30); slave microprocessor (44) connected to a modem (36) for handling communication protocol; a CRT display terminal (52); and a station master computer (50) which is electrically connected to the above elements via bus (31) and required interfaces. The aircraft unit comprises: a satellite receiver (77); an aircraft transmitter (66); an aircraft receiver (68); and an aircraft processor (76) electrically connected to the above elements as shown in Fig. 3. With regards to the data detectors, the data in the transmission signals would have had to have been

Serial Number: 08/275547

-4-

Art Unit: 2304

detected in some manner for the computers to extract the position data and other various parameters contained within the telemetry transmissions. Thus, it would have been reasonable to assume that the computers themselves contained circuitry for detecting the encoded data within the telemetry signals. As noted in column 12, line 64 to column 13, line 7, the base station receives the aircraft position data and correlates it with desired flight patterns for the specified aircraft.

B. As per claim 20, as above wherein the base station may include a satellite receiver (39) for generating differential correction factors (see col. 8, lines 9-31). With regards to the preamble which states that the system is used for tracking ground movement, it is readily apparent that the subject matter disclosed by Olsen equally applies to movement on the ground since column 4, lines 23-40 discloses "vehicles" in general and aircraft specifically. Other than the satellite receiver included at the base station, which has been addressed above, there is no patentable distinction between the structure recited in the system of claim 1 and the system of claim 20.

C. As per claims 2-5, the aircraft unit may include an airborne instrumentation unit (74) which may comprise an altimeter for providing altitude data for transmission to

Serial Number: 08/275547

-5-

Art Unit: 2304

the base station (col. 9, lines 30-47). With regards to the input device, this limitation can be interpreted as to represent the instrumentation unit inputs which are coupled to the aircraft processor. With regards to the satellite receiver, Olsen discloses at column 9, lines 61-64 that the receiver receives navigation signals from satellites making up the global positioning satellite network.

D. As per claims 13-17, Olsen discloses using conventional communication receivers which would have inherently included a demodulator (see col. 7, lines 16-35). As noted in column 7, lines 10-15, the data transmitted over the communication link is digitized. Thus, it would have been inherent that the receiving units included digital detectors to extract the information. Furthermore, since the aircraft computer has internal memory circuits and the computer must correlate all the information prior to transmission, the information would have been stored in memory at least temporarily.

Also, as noted in Figs. 2 and 3, one could transmit both telemetry data and voice communications. The particular frequencies over which these transmissions occur would have been dependent on FCC regulations. Further, Olsen discloses transmitting flight instructions to the aircraft (see col. 12, line 64 to col. 13, line 16).

Serial Number: 08/275547

-6-

Art Unit: 2304

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

8. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Olsen et al. (4,814,711) in view of Martin et al. (4,105,900).

A. With regards to 6, 7 and 10-12, Olsen is applied as above. The claimed invention differs from Olsen in that the aircraft unit comprises a plurality of GPS receivers and that their outputs could be compared, averaged or selected based on self-tests.

B. As was well-known in the aircraft control/navigation arts, redundant systems were routinely provided to ensure reliability and increase safety by reducing the chance of

Serial Number: 08/275547

-7-

Art Unit: 2304

total system failure. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, would have found it obvious to use multiple GPS receivers in the system of Olsen if reliability of the GPS position data was critical. For example, Martin et al. (hereafter Martin) disclose a typical example of an aircraft redundant system. As shown in Fig. 1, comparators are used to determine the most reliable sensor output or determine whether a particular sensor has failed. In column 2, lines 6-13, Martin discloses that it was also known to take the average of the redundant systems to determine an optimum result. Thus, it was known in the aircraft arts to use redundant systems and various processing techniques to determine the best or most reliable output. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, would have found it obvious to use more than one GPS receiver if reliability of the position data was critical and weight and cost was not a limiting factor. With regards to the switch, if redundant systems were utilized, one would have had to have provided a means for selecting which receiver to use, whether this was accomplished manually or automatically.

C. With regards to claims 8 and 9, Martin further discloses that it was also known to compare outputs of different types of sensors which measured the same parameter. Since it was known in the navigation arts that

Serial Number: 08/275547

-8-

Art Unit: 2304

one could obtain position data from either a GPS receiver or an inertial measurement unit (IMU), one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide both a GPS receiver and an IMU and to compare their outputs as an alternative means of validating the reliability of the position data. This would have been desirable in situations where the GPS receiver was prone to experiencing loss of satellite signals or clock errors.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note that the copy of the Fraughton et al. (5,153,836) patent does not include the computer code listings spanning columns 23-226.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael Zanelli** whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Fax communications can be received at (703) 305-9564,9565. It is suggested that examiner be informed prior to transmission.

/mjz
3/4/95


MICHAEL ZANELLI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2300

FORM PTO-892
(REV. 03-78)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.
08/275547

GROUP ART UNIT
2304

ATTACHMENT
TO PAPER NO.
5

NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED

APPLICANT(S)
FARMAKIS ET AL.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
A	5,153,836	10/1992	FRAUGHTON ET AL.	364	461	
B	4,814,711	3/1989	OLSEN ET AL.	324	331	
C	4,105,900	8/1978	MARTIN ET AL.	307	219	
D	5,216,611	6/1993	McELREATH	364	454	
E	5,381,140	1/1995	KURODA ET AL.	340	961	2/1993
F	5,379,224	1/1995	BROWN ET AL.	364	449	11/1991
G	5,361,212	11/1994	CLASS ET AL.	364	428	11/1992
H	5,025,382	6/1991	ARTZ	364	439	
I	4,117,267	9/1978	HABERLE ET AL.	179	15 BS	
J	5,364,093	11/1994	HUSTON ET AL.	273	32 R	12/1991

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS
L						
M						
N						
O						
P						
Q						

OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

*	R	"FLIGHT TESTS HIGHLIGHT NEW GPS USES, EMPHASIZE NEED FOR GPS/GLONASS SYSTEM"				
		BRUCE D. NORDWALL; AVIATION WEEK AND SPACE TECHNOLOGY; VOL. 135, NO. 22, PG. 71; DEC. 2, 1991				
*	S	"GPS DEMONSTRATION RESULTS PUSH SYSTEM INTO FOREFRONT FOR AIRPORT TRAFFIC PLAN"				
		PHILIP J. KLASS; AVIATION WEEK AND SPACE TECHNOLOGY; VOL. 135, NO. 24/25, PG. 42; DEC. 23, 1991				
	T					

EXAMINER
MICHAEL J. ZANELLI

DATE
MARCH 4, 1995

* A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this office action.
(See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, section 707.05(a).)

Other Prior Art

According to the information contained in form PTO-1449 or PTO-892, there are one or more other prior art/non-patent literature documents missing from the original file history record obtained from the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Upon your request we will attempt to obtain these documents from alternative resources. Please note that additional charges will apply for this service.

275547

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

PTO Draftpersons review all originally filed drawings regardless of whether they are designated as formal or informal. Additionally, patent Examiners will review the drawings for compliance with the regulations. Direct telephone inquiries concerning this review to the Drawing Review Branch, 703-305-8404.

The drawings filed (insert date) 7/15/94, are not objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152. Objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 as indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawings must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this Notice.

1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings: Black ink. Color.

- Not black solid lines. Fig(s)
Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.

2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)

- Photographs are not acceptable until petition is granted.

3. GRAPHIC FORMS. 37 CFR 1.84 (d)

- Chemical or mathematical formula not labeled as separate figure. Fig(s)
Group of waveforms not presented as a single figure, using common vertical axis with time extending along horizontal axis. Fig(s)
Individuals waveform not identified with a separate letter designation adjacent to the vertical axis. Fig(s)

4. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)

- Paper not flexible, strong, white, smooth, nonshiny, and durable. Sheet(s)
Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, cracks, creases, and folds not allowed. Sheet(s)

5. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable paper sizes:

- 21.6 cm. by 35.6 cm. (8 1/2 by 14 inches)
21.6 cm. by 33.1 cm. (8 1/2 by 13 inches)
21.6 cm. by 27.9 cm. (8 1/2 by 11 inches)
21.0 cm. by 29.7 cm. (DIN size A4)

- All drawing sheets not the same size. Sheet(s)
Drawing sheet not an acceptable size. Sheet(s)

6. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:

Paper size

Table with 4 columns of paper sizes and their corresponding margins (T, L, R, B) in cm and inches.

Margins do not conform to chart above.

- Sheet(s)
Top (T) Left (L) Right (R) Bottom (B)

7. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)

REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to drawing changes.

- All views not grouped together. Fig(s)
Views connected by projection lines. Fig(s)
Views contain center lines. Fig(s)

Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)

- Separate sheets not linked edge to edge. Fig(s)
View and enlarged view not labeled separately. Fig(s)
Long view relationship between different parts not clear and unambiguous. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)(ii) Fig(s)

Sectional views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3)

- Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object. Fig(s)
Hatching of regularly spaced oblique parallel lines not spaced sufficiently. Fig(s)
Hatching not at substantial angle to surrounding axes or principal lines. Fig(s)
Cross section not drawn same as view with parts in cross section with regularly spaced parallel oblique strokes. Fig(s)
Hatching of juxtaposed different elements not angled in a different way. Fig(s)

Alternate position. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(4)

- A separate view required for a moved position. Fig(s)

Modified forms. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5)

- Modified forms of construction must be shown in separate views. Fig(s)

8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)

- View placed upon another view or within outline of another. Fig(s)
Words do not appear in a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s)

9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)

- Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction. Fig(s)
Indication such as "actual size" or "scale 1/2" not permitted. Fig(s)
Elements of same view not in proportion to each other. Fig(s)

10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(l)

- Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable, and black (except for color drawings). Fig(s)

11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)

- Shading used for other than shape of spherical, cylindrical, and conical elements of an object, or for flat parts. Fig(s)
Solid black shading areas not permitted. Fig(s)

12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(p)

- Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1) Fig(s)
Numbers and reference characters used in conjunction with brackets, inverted commas, or enclosed within outlines. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1) Fig(s)
Numbers and reference characters not oriented in same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1) Fig(s)
English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2) Fig(s)
Numbers, letters, and reference characters do not measure at least .32 cm. (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR(p)(3) Fig(s)

13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)

- Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s)
Lead lines missing. Fig(s)
Lead lines not as short as possible. Fig(s)

14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)

- Number appears in top margin. Fig(s)
Number not larger than reference characters. Fig(s)
Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)

15. NUMBER OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)

- Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s)
View numbers not preceded by the abbreviation Fig. Fig(s)
Single view contains a view number and the abbreviation Fig. Fig(s)
Numbers not larger than reference characters. Fig(s)

16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)

- Corrections not durable and permanent. Fig(s)

17. DESIGN DRAWING. 37 CFR 1.152

- Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)
Solid black shading not used for color contrast. Fig(s)

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO.

REVIEWER

DATE

PTO Copy

Transaction History Date 1995-03-22
Date information retrieved from USPTO Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)
system records at www.uspto.gov

210-126

GP 2304

#6



PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :
Farmakis, T.S. and : Group Art Unit:
Routsong, R.D. :
Serial No. 08/275,547 : Examiner:
Filed July 15, 1994 : Atty Dkt 7933.012

RECEIVED

APR 0 1995

GROUP 2304

For: **SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM**

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §§ 1.97 AND 1.56**

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97 and § 1.56, Applicants herewith submit the following Information Disclosure Statement accompanied by PTO Form 1449 and copies of cited references.

U.S. Patents:

- RE 32,856, Millsap, *et al.*, February, 1989
- 5,381,140, Kuroda, *et al.*, January, 1995
- 5,379,224, Brown, *et al.*, January, 1995
- 5,364,093, Huston, *et al.*, November, 1994
- 5,361,212, Class, *et al.*, November, 1994
- 5,334,974, Simms, *et al.*, August, 1994
- 5,311,197, Sorden, *et al.*, May, 1994
- 5,301,368, Hirata, T., April, 1994
- 5,247,564, Zicker, R., September, 1993
- 5,243,529, Kashiwazaki, T., September, 1993
- 5,223,844, Mansell *et al.*, June, 1993
- 5,221,925, Cross, June, 1993
- 5,218,629, Dumond Jr., D., June, 1993
- 5,218,367, Sheffer *et al.*, June, 1993

120 TE 04/04/95 08275547

1 126 210.00 CK

5,216,611, McElreath, June, 1993
5,216,429, Nakagawa *et al.*, June, 1993
5,208,756, Song, H., May, 1993
5,208,590, Pitts, A., May, 1993
5,197,009, Hoffman Jr., *et al.*, March, 1993
5,168,451, Bolger, J.G., December, 1992
5,153,836, Fraughton, *et al.*, October, 1992
5,153,836, Fraughton, *et al.*, October, 1992
5,119,102, Barnard, M., June, 1992
5,109,341, Blackburn *et al.*, April, 1992
5,103,459, Gilhousen *et al.*, April, 1992
5,099,245, Sagey, March, 1992
5,081,667, Drori, *et al.*, January, 1992
5,055,851, Sheffer, October, 1991
5,043,903, Constant, C., August, 1991
5,043,736, Darnell *et al.*, August, 1991
5,032,845, Velasco, July, 1991
5,025,382, Artz, June, 1991
5,025,247, Banks, June, 1991
5,021,794, Lawrence, June, 1991
5,014,206, Scribner *et al.*, May, 1991
4,928,778, Tin, J., May, 1990
4,910,493, Chambers, *et al.*, March, 1990
4,908,629, Apsell *et al.*, March, 1990
4,908,627, Santos, March, 1990
4,905,271, Namekawa, M., February, 1990
4,904,983, Mitchell, S., February, 1990
4,897,642, DiLullo, *et al.*, January, 1990
4,893,240, Karkouti, I., January, 1990
4,891,650, Sheffer, E., January, 1990
4,888,595, Friedman, December, 1989
4,887,064, Drori, *et al.*, December, 1989
4,884,208, Marinelli, *et al.*, November, 1989
4,860,336, D'avello, *et al.*, August, 1989
4,835,537, Manion, J., May, 1989
4,821,309, Namekawa, M., April, 1989
4,818,998, Apsell *et al.*, April, 1989
4,814,711, Olsen, *et al.*, March, 1989
4,814,711, Olsen, *et al.*, March, 1989
4,809,316, Namekawa, M., February, 1989
4,794,649, Fujiwara, R., December, 1988
4,754,255, Sanders, *et al.*, June, 1988
4,750,197, Denekamp, *et al.*, June, 1988
4,736,461, Kawasaki, *et al.*, April, 1988
4,718,080, Serrano, *et al.*, January, 1988
4,704,735, Swapp, *et al.*, November, 1987

4,688,244, Hannon, *et al.*, August, 1987
4,688,026, Scribner *et al.*, August, 1987
4,674,454, Phairr, D., June, 1987
4,673,936, Kotoh, June, 1987
4,642,775, Cline *et al.*, February, 1987
4,630,289, Wren, December, 1986
4,622,557, Westerfield, E., November, 1986
4,606,307, Cook, N., August, 1986
4,503,525, Malik, *et al.*, March, 1985
4,392,059, Nespor, T., July, 1983
4,345,554, Hildreth, *et al.*, August, 1982
4,325,057, Bishop, M., April, 1982
4,236,594, Ramsperger, D., December, 1980
4,200,080, Cook, *et al.*, April, 1980
4,197,538, Stocker, G., April, 1980
4,177,466, Reagan, Wm., December, 1979
4,117,267, Haberle, *et al.*, September, 1978
4,107,675, Sellers *et al.*, August, 1978
4,105,900, Martin, *et al.*, August, 1978
4,039,957, Jennings, M., August, 1977
3,886,515, Cottin, *et al.*, May, 1975
3,870,994, McCormick *et al.*, March, 1975
3,859,540, Weiner, I., January, 1975
3,824,595, Hall, S., July, 1974
3,824,469, Pistonbatt, July, 1974
3,750,166, Dearth, J., July, 1973
3,718,899, Rollins, J., February, 1973
3,696,333, Mott, W., October, 1972
3,657,720, Avdenko, *et al.*, April, 1972
3,633,040, Baxter, G., January, 1972
3,538,898, Edgemir, E., November, 1970
3,530,846, Bean, *et al.*, September, 1970
3,455,403, Hawthorne, V., July, 1969
3,357,417, Baumann, R., December, 1967
3,078,834, Wright, R., February, 1963
2,975,296, Dominguez-Rego, March, 1961
2,836,732, Newlin, M., May, 1958
2,748,759, Schiffer, H., June, 1956
2,698,391, Braden, *et al.*, December, 1954

Foreign Patent:

European Patent No. 123, 562

Others:

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button," *The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution*, March 18, 1993.

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses - has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.

AVIONICS, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.

Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head," *Flying*, February, 1994

CAS-81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System, *Maintenance Manual 34-45-00 I.B. 1181*, Allied Signal Aerospace Company, January 1990

"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System"
Nordwall, B.D., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:22, p. 17, Dec. 2, 1991

"GPS Demonstration Results Push System into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan"
Chapman Security Systems, Inc. (Bensenville, Illinois), "Chapman 911 Capital Litigation Support," Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pgs.

Connes, K., "GPS," *Plane & Pilot*, pp 22-24, August, 1994

Delta Air Lines "Cat II/III Operations -- Technical Operations Training Course #401," April 6, 1990

Delta Air Lines Flight Operations Policy Manual, Jan 29, 1993

Gilbert, C., "Obtaining Real-time Differential Data from Government Sources," *Earth Observation Magazine*, November/December 1993

International Teletrac Systems, "Have an Unfair Advantage Over Car Thieves", Advertising Flyer, 1990, 3 pages

International Teletrac Systems, "How to Put Your Fleet on the Map", Advertising Flyer, undated, 5 pages

Klass, P.J., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:24/25, p. 42, Dec. 23, 1991

Klass, Philip J., "Airline Officials Foresee Quick Growth in Use of GPS, Glonass on Commercial Transports", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, June 29, 1992, page 54.

Klass, Philip J., "FAA Steps Up Program to Introduce GPS as Instrument Approach Aid", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, August 17, 1992, pp. 35-36.

Klass, Philip J., "GPS Demonstration Results Push System Into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 16, 1991, page 42.

Logsdon, T., *The Navstar Global Positioning System*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992.

Magnavox Advanced Products and Systems Company (Advertising Flyer), "Presenting the Most Advanced AVLS Available," 1988, 6 pages.

Meluso, D., "Accuracy Guaranteed," *Boating*, September, 1993

Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Public Safety Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Services", 1989, 4 pages.

Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Trucking National & Regional Fleet Control", 1989, 4 pages.

Morgen-Walke Associates, Inc. News Release "Trimble Announces New Generation of GPS Marine Products," January 5, 1994, London, U.K.

Nordwall, B.D., "Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 2, 1991, page 71.

Nordwall, B.D., "Imagination Only Limit to Military, Commercial Applications for GPS", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, October 14, 1991, page 60.

Nordwall, B.D., "Low Price, New Ideas Fuel GPS Growth", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, November 30, 1992, page 48.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Fleetvision Integrated Fleet Management System", undated, 9 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starfinder GPS Intelligent Mobile Sensor", 1991, 2 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starview Tracking and Display Station", undated, 1 page.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Trimble's GPS/AVL Continues to Dominate Public Safety Market," Press Release, February 7, 1994

Trimble Navigation, "FleetVision -- Integrated Fleet Management System," (undated)

Trimble, "The 9th Utility" Advertisement

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6560.14A Order, "Project Implementation Plan for the Digital Altimeter Setting Indicator (DASI) Program," November 10, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6690.4 Order, "Project Implementation Plan Voice Switching and Control System," October 25, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.10K CHG 2, re Flight Services, May 28, 1994

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 1, re Air Traffic Control, November 4, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 2, re Air Traffic Control, Feb. 4, 1994


U.S. DOT, FAA; 7210.3K CHG 1, re Facility Operation and Administration, October 4, 1993

Applicants request that the above-cited references be made of record in the instant application.

A check in the amount of \$210.00 to cover the filing fee is submitted herewith. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-3038. *A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.*

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 22, 1995
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: (202) 383-7342


R. Edward Brake
Registration No. 37,784
Attorney for Applicants



PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :
Farmakis, T.S. and : Group Art Unit:
Routsong, R.D. :
Serial No. 08/275,547 : Examiner:
Filed July 15, 1994 : Atty Dkt 7933.012
For: **SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM**

copy
paper # 6

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
PURSUANT TO 37 CFR §§ 1.97 AND 1.56**

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97 and § 1.56, Applicants herewith submit the following Information Disclosure Statement accompanied by PTO Form 1449 and copies of cited references.

U.S. Patents:

- RE 32,856, Millsap, *et al.*, February, 1989
- 5,381,140, Kuroda, *et al.*, January, 1995
- 5,379,224, Brown, *et al.*, January, 1995
- 5,364,093, Huston, *et al.*, November, 1994
- 5,361,212, Class, *et al.*, November, 1994
- 5,334,974, Simms, *et al.*, August, 1994
- 5,311,197, Sorden, *et al.*, May, 1994
- 5,301,368, Hirata, T., April, 1994
- 5,247,564, Zicker, R., September, 1993
- 5,243,529, Kashiwazaki, T., September, 1993
- 5,223,844, Mansell *et al.*, June, 1993
- 5,221,925, Cross, June, 1993
- 5,218,629, Dumond Jr., D., June, 1993
- 5,218,367, Sheffer *et al.*, June, 1993

5,216,611, McElreath, June, 1993
5,216,429, Nakagawa *et al.*, June, 1993
5,208,756, Song, H., May, 1993
5,208,590, Pitts, A., May, 1993
5,197,009, Hoffman Jr., *et al.*, March, 1993
5,168,451, Bolger, J.G., December, 1992
5,153,836, Fraughton, *et al.*, October, 1992
5,153,836, Fraughton, *et al.*, October, 1992
5,119,102, Barnard, M., June, 1992
5,109,341, Blackburn *et al.*, April, 1992
5,103,459, Gilhousen *et al.*, April, 1992
5,099,245, Sagey, March, 1992
5,081,667, Drori, *et al.*, January, 1992
5,055,851, Sheffer, October, 1991
5,043,903, Constant, C., August, 1991
5,043,736, Darnell *et al.*, August, 1991
5,032,845, Velasco, July, 1991
5,025,382, Artz, June, 1991
5,025,247, Banks, June, 1991
5,021,794, Lawrence, June, 1991
5,014,206, Scribner *et al.*, May, 1991
4,928,778, Tin, J., May, 1990
4,910,493, Chambers, *et al.*, March, 1990
4,908,629, Apsell *et al.*, March, 1990
4,908,627, Santos, March, 1990
4,905,271, Namekawa, M., February, 1990
4,904,983, Mitchell, S., February, 1990
4,897,642, DiLullo, *et al.*, January, 1990
4,893,240, Karkouti, I., January, 1990
4,891,650, Sheffer, E., January, 1990
4,888,595, Friedman, December, 1989
4,887,064, Drori, *et al.*, December, 1989
4,884,208, Marinelli, *et al.*, November, 1989
4,860,336, D'avello, *et al.*, August, 1989
4,835,537, Manion, J., May, 1989
4,821,309, Namekawa, M., April, 1989
4,818,998, Apsell *et al.*, April, 1989
4,814,711, Olsen, *et al.*, March, 1989
4,814,711, Olsen, *et al.*, March, 1989
4,809,316, Namekawa, M., February, 1989
4,794,649, Fujiwara, R., December, 1988
4,754,255, Sanders, *et al.*, June, 1988
4,750,197, Denekamp, *et al.*, June, 1988
4,736,461, Kawasaki, *et al.*, April, 1988
4,718,080, Serrano, *et al.*, January, 1988
4,704,735, Swapp, *et al.*, November, 1987

4,688,244, Hannon, *et al.*, August, 1987
4,688,026, Scribner *et al.*, August, 1987
4,674,454, Phairr, D., June, 1987
4,673,936, Kotoh, June, 1987
4,642,775, Cline *et al.*, February, 1987
4,630,289, Wren, December, 1986
4,622,557, Westerfield, E., November, 1986
4,606,307, Cook, N., August, 1986
4,503,525, Malik, *et al.*, March, 1985
4,392,059, Nespor, T., July, 1983
4,345,554, Hildreth, *et al.*, August, 1982
4,325,057, Bishop, M., April, 1982
4,236,594, Ramsperger, D., December, 1980
4,200,080, Cook, *et al.*, April, 1980
4,197,538, Stocker, G., April, 1980
4,177,466, Reagan, Wm., December, 1979
4,117,267, Haberle, *et al.*, September, 1978
4,107,675, Sellers *et al.*, August, 1978
4,105,900, Martin, *et al.*, August, 1978
4,039,957, Jennings, M., August, 1977
3,886,515, Cottin, *et al.*, May, 1975
3,870,994, McCormick *et al.*, March, 1975
3,859,540, Weiner, I., January, 1975
3,824,595, Hall, S., July, 1974
3,824,469, Pistonbatt, July, 1974
3,750,166, Dearth, J., July, 1973
3,718,899, Rollins, J., February, 1973
3,696,333, Mott, W., October, 1972
3,657,720, Avdenko, *et al.*, April, 1972
3,633,040, Baxter, G., January, 1972
3,538,898, Edgemir, E., November, 1970
3,530,846, Bean, *et al.*, September, 1970
3,455,403, Hawthorne, V., July, 1969
3,357,417, Baumann, R., December, 1967
3,078,834, Wright, R., February, 1963
2,975,296, Dominguez-Rego, March, 1961
2,836,732, Newlin, M., May, 1958
2,748,759, Schiffer, H., June, 1956
2,698,391, Braden, *et al.*, December, 1954

Foreign Patent:

European Patent No. 123, 562

Others:

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button," *The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution*, March 18, 1993.

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses - has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.

AVIONICS, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.

Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head," *Flying*, February, 1994

CAS-81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System, *Maintenance Manual 34-45-00 I.B. 1181*, Allied Signal Aerospace Company, January 1990

"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System"
Nordwall, B.D., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:22, p. 17, Dec. 2, 1991

"GPS Demonstration Results Push System into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan"
Chapman Security Systems, Inc. (Bensenville, Illinois), "Chapman 911 Capital Litigation Support," Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pgs.

Connes, K., "GPS," *Plane & Pilot*, pp 22-24, August, 1994

Delta Air Lines "Cat II/III Operations -- Technical Operations Training Course #401," April 6, 1990

Delta Air Lines Flight Operations Policy Manual, Jan 29, 1993

Gilbert, C., "Obtaining Real-time Differential Data from Government Sources," *Earth Observation Magazine*, November/December 1993

International Teletrac Systems, "Have an Unfair Advantage Over Car Thieves", Advertising Flyer, 1990, 3 pages

International Teletrac Systems, "How to Put Your Fleet on the Map", Advertising Flyer, undated, 5 pages

Klass, P.J., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:24/25, p. 42, Dec. 23, 1991

Klass, Philip J., "Airline Officials Foresee Quick Growth in Use of GPS, Glonass on Commercial Transports", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, June 29, 1992, page 54.

Klass, Philip J., "FAA Steps Up Program to Introduce GPS as Instrument Approach Aid", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, August 17, 1992, pp. 35-36.

Klass, Philip J., "GPS Demonstration Results Push System Into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 16, 1991, page 42.

Logsdon, T., *The Navstar Global Positioning System*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992.

Magnavox Advanced Products and Systems Company (Advertising Flyer), "Presenting the Most Advanced AVLS Available," 1988, 6 pages.

Meluso, D., "Accuracy Guaranteed," *Boating*, September, 1993

Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Public Safety Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Services", 1989, 4 pages.

Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Trucking National & Regional Fleet Control", 1989, 4 pages.

Morgen-Walke Associates, Inc. News Release "Trimble Announces New Generation of GPS Marine Products," January 5, 1994, London, U.K.

Nordwall, B.D., "Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 2, 1991, page 71.

Nordwall, B.D., "Imagination Only Limit to Military, Commercial Applications for GPS", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, October 14, 1991, page 60.

Nordwall, B.D., "Low Price, New Ideas Fuel GPS Growth", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, November 30, 1992, page 48.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Fleetvision Integrated Fleet Management System", undated, 9 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starfinder GPS Intelligent Mobile Sensor", 1991, 2 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starview Tracking and Display Station", undated, 1 page.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Trimble's GPS/AVL Continues to Dominate Public Safety Market," Press Release, February 7, 1994

Trimble Navigation, "FleetVision -- Integrated Fleet Management System," (undated)

Trimble, "The 9th Utility" Advertisement

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6560.14A Order, "Project Implementation Plan for the Digital Altimeter Setting Indicator (DASI) Program," November 10, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6690.4 Order, "Project Implementation Plan Voice Switching and Control System," October 25, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.10K CHG 2, re Flight Services, May 28, 1994

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 1, re Air Traffic Control, November 4, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 2, re Air Traffic Control, Feb. 4, 1994


U.S. DOT, FAA; 7210.3K CHG 1, re Facility Operation and Administration, October 4, 1993

Applicants request that the above-cited references be made of record in the instant application.

A check in the amount of \$210.00 to cover the filing fee is submitted herewith. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-3038. *A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.*

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 22, 1995
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: (202) 383-7342


R. Edward Brake
Registration No. 37,784
Attorney for Applicants

PTO 1449

Page 1 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	5,381,140	1/1995	Kuroda, <i>et al.</i>	340	961	2/1993
	5,379,224	1/1995	Brown, <i>et al.</i>	364	449	11/1991
	5,364,093	11/1994	Huston, <i>et al.</i>	273	32R	
	5,361,212	11/1994	Class, <i>et al.</i>	364	428	11/1992
	5,334,974	8/2/94	Simms, <i>et al.</i>	G08G	1/123	2/6/92
	5,311,197	5/10/94	Sorden, <i>et al.</i>	G01S	3/02	2/1/93
	5,301,368	4/5/94	Hirata, T.	H04B	17/02	10/26/90
	5,247,564	9/21/93	Zicker, R.	H04M	11/04	10/24/90
	5,243,529	9/7/93	Kashiwazaki, T.	G06F	15/50	6/29/92
	5,223,844	6/29/93	Mansell <i>et al.</i>		342/357	4/17/92

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Sub-Class	Translation Yes/No
0123 562		Europe	G01S	5/02	Yes

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button," *The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution*, March 18, 1993.

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses - has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.

AVIONICS, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.

Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head," *Flying*, February, 1994

CAS-81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System, *Maintenance Manual 34-45-00 I.B. 1181*, Allied Signal Aerospace Company, January 1990

"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System"

Nordwall, B.D., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:22, p. 17, Dec. 2, 1991

"GPS Demonstration Results Push System into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan"

Examiner: _____ Date Considered _____

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

PTO 1449

Page 2 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEM

Filing Date:

July 15, 1994

Group

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	5,221,925	6/22/93	Cross		340/988	7/25/91
	5,218,629	6/8/93	Dumond Jr., D.	H01Q	7/04	5/12/89
	5,218,367	6/8/93	Sheffer <i>et al.</i>		342/457	6/1/92
	5,216,611	6/1/93	McElreath	364	454	
	5,216,429	6/1/93	Nakagawa <i>et al.</i>		342/450	4/17/92
	5,208,756	5/4/93	Song, H.	G01S	3/02	1/28/91
	5,208,590	5/4/93	Pitts, A.	G10C	23/00	9/20/91
	5,197,009	3/23/93	Hoffman Jr., <i>et al</i>	G06F	15/20	6/12/92
	5,168,451	12/1/92	Bolger, J.G.	G06F	15/50	2/28/91
	5,153,836	10/6/92	Fraughton, <i>et al</i>	G06F	15/50	8/22/90
	5,153,836	10/1992	Fraughton, <i>et al</i>	364	461	
	5,119,102	6/2/92	Barnard, M.	H04B	7/185	1/23/91
	5,109,341	4/1992	Blackburn <i>et al.</i>		364/424.05	
	5,103,459	4/7/92	Gilhousen <i>et al.</i>		375/1	
	5,099,245	3/24/92	Sagey		342/357	

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

Chapman Security Systems, Inc. (Bensenville, Illinois), "Chapman 911 Capital Litigation Support," Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pgs.

Connes, K., "GPS," *Plane & Pilot*, pp 22-24, August, 1994

Delta Air Lines "Cat II/III Operations -- Technical Operations Training Course #401," April 6, 1990

Delta Air Lines Flight Operations Policy Manual, Jan 29, 1993

Gilbert, C., "Obtaining Real-time Differential Data from Government Sources," *Earth Observation Magazine*, November/December 1993

International Teletrac Systems, "Have an Unfair Advantage Over Car Thieves", Advertising Flyer, 1990, 3 pages

International Teletrac Systems, "How to Put Your Fleet on the Map", Advertising Flyer, undated, 5 pages.

Klass, P.J., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:24/25, p. 42, Dec. 23, 1991

Examiner:

Date Considered

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

PTO 1449 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT				Page 3 of 6			
				Atty Dkt: 7933-012		Serial No. 08/275,547	
Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM				Applicants: Farmakis, T.S. Routsong, R.D.			
				Filing Date: July 15, 1994		Group	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date	
	5,081,667	1/14/92	Drori, <i>et al</i>	H04M	11/00	3/20/90	
	5,055,851	10/8/91	Sheffer		342/457		
	5,043,903	8/27/91	Constant, C.	G06F	15/50	12/1/89	
	5,043,736	8/27/91	Darnell <i>et al.</i>		342/357		
	5,032,845	7/16/91	Velasco		342/457		
	5,025,382	6/1991	Artz	364	439		
	5,025,247	6/1991	Banks		340/574		
	5,021,794	6/1991	Lawrence		342/457		
	5,014,206	5/7/91	Scribner <i>et al.</i>		364/449		
	4,928,778	5/29/90	Tin, J.	F02N	11/08	12/11/87	
	4,910,493	3/20/90	Chambers, <i>et al</i>	G08B	1/08	12/5/88	
	4,908,629	3/1990	Apsell <i>et al.</i>		342/457		
	4,908,627	3/1990	Santos		342/125		
	4,905,271	2/27/90	Namekawa, M.	H01Q	7/04	11/21/88	
	4,904,983	2/27/90	Mitchell, S.	G08B	25/02	5/13/87	
OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.							
Klass, Philip J., "Airline Officials Foresee Quick Growth in Use of GPS, Glonass on Commercial Transports", <i>Aviation Week and Space Technology</i> , June 29, 1992, page 54.							
Klass, Philip J., "FAA Steps Up Program to Introduce GPS as Instrument Approach Aid", <i>Aviation Week and Space Technology</i> , August 17, 1992, pp. 35-36.							
Klass, Philip J., "GPS Demonstration Results Push System Into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan", <i>Aviation Week and Space Technology</i> , December 16, 1991, page 42.							
Logsdon, T., <i>The Navstar Global Positioning System</i> , Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992.							
Magnavox Advanced Products and Systems Company (Advertising Flyer), "Presenting the Most Advanced AVLS Available," 1988, 6 pages.							
Meluso, D., "Accuracy Guaranteed," <i>Boating</i> , September, 1993							
Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Public Safety Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Services", 1989, 4 pages.							
Examiner:				Date Considered			
Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.							

PTO 1449

Page 4 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	4,897,642	1/30/90	DiLullo, <i>et al</i>	H04B	1/00	10/14/88
	4,893,240	1/9/90	Karkouti, I.	F02N	11/08	3/9/89
	4,891,650	1/2/90	Sheffer, E.	G01S	3/02	5/16/88
	4,888,595	12/1989	Friedman		342/457	
	4,887,064	12/12/89	Drori, <i>et al</i>	B60R	25/00	12/28/87
	4,884,208	11/28/89	Marinelli, <i>et al</i>	G01S	3/02	5/16/88
	4,860,336	8/22/89	D'Avello, <i>et al</i>	H01Q	7/04	1/15/88
	4,835,537	5/30/89	Manion, J.	G01S	3/02	8/8/88
	4,821,309	4/11/89	Namekawa, M.	H04Q	7/04	7/2/86
	4,818,998	4/1989	Apsell <i>et al.</i>		342/44	
	4,814,711	3/1989	Olsen, <i>et al</i>	324	331	
	4,809,316	2/28/89	Namekawa, M.	H01Q	7/04	9/11/87
	4,794,649	12/27/88	Fujiwara, R.	H04B	1/60	4/29/87
	4,754,255	6/28/88	Sanders, <i>et al</i>	B60R	25/04	5/14/87
	4,750,197	6/7/88	Denekamp, <i>et al</i>	H01Q	7/01	7/2/87

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Trucking National & Regional Fleet Control", 1989, 4 pages.

Morgen-Walke Associates, Inc. News Release "Trimble Announces New Generation of GPS Marine Products," January 5, 1994, London, U.K.

Nordwall, B.D., "Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 2, 1991, page 71.Nordwall, B.D., "Imagination Only Limit to Military, Commercial Applications for GPS", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, October 14, 1991, page 60.Nordwall, B.D., "Low Price, New Ideas Fuel GPS Growth", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, November 30, 1992, page 48.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Fleetvision Integrated Fleet Management System", undated, 9 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starfinder GPS Intelligent Mobile Sensor", 1991, 2 pages.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starview Tracking and Display Station", undated, 1 page.

Examiner:

Date Considered

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

PTO 1449

Page 5 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	4,736,461	4/5/88	Kawasaki, <i>et al</i>	H04B	7/00	2/12/87
	4,718,080	1/5/88	Serrano, <i>et al</i>	H04Q	7/04	12/1685
	4,704,735	11/3/87	Swapp, <i>et al</i>	H04B	1/04	9/12/85
	4,688,244	8/18/87	Hannon, <i>et al</i>	H01Q	7/04	11/10/86
	4,688,026	8/18/87	Scribner <i>et al.</i>		340/572	
	4,674,454	6/23/87	Phairr, D.	F02N	11/08	8/22/85
	4,673,936	6/1987	Kotoh		342/51	
	4,642,775	2/10/87	Cline <i>et al.</i>		364/443	
	4,630,289	12/1986	Wren		375/71	
	4,622,557	11/11/86	Westerfield, E.	H04B	7/185	10/31/84
	4,606,307	8/19/86	Cook, N.	F02N	11/08	12/1/83
	4,503,525	3/5/85	Malik, <i>et al</i>	G11C	7/00	4/7/82
	4,392,059	7/5/83	Nespor, T.	F02N	11/08	10/8/80
	4,345,554	8/24/82	Hildreth, <i>et al</i>	F02N	17/00	11/19/79
	4,325,057	4/13/82	Bishop, M.	G08G	1/12	6/30/80

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Trimble's GPS/AVL Continues to Dominate Public Safety Market," Press Release, February 7, 1994

Trimble Navigation, "FleetVision -- Integrated Fleet Management System," (undated)

Trimble, "The 9th Utility" Advertisement

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6560.14A Order, "Project Implementation Plan for the Digital Altimeter Setting Indicator (DASI) Program," November 10, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 6690.4 Order, "Project Implementation Plan Voice Switching and Control System," October 25, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.10K CHG 2, re Flight Services, May 28, 1994

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 1, re Air Traffic Control, November 4, 1993

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 2, re Air Traffic Control, Feb. 4, 1994

U.S. DOT, FAA; 7210.3K CHG 1, re Facility Operation and Administration, October 4, 1993

Westinghouse (Baltimore, Maryland), "Vehicle Management Systems", Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pages.


Examiner:

Date Considered

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

PTO 1449	Page 6 of 6	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	Atty Dkt: 7933-012	Serial No. 08/275,547

Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM	Applicants: Farmakis, T.S. Routsong, R.D.	
	Filing Date: July 15, 1994	Group



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	4,236,594	12/2/80	Ramsperger, D.	F02N	11/08	8/21/78
	4,200,080	4/29/80	Cook, <i>et al</i>	F02N	15/00	7/14/78
	4,197,538	4/8/80	Stocker, G.	G01S	7/22	6/2/78
	4,177,466	12/4/79	Reagan, Wm.	G01S	11/00	11/16/77
	4,117,267	9/1978	Haberle, <i>et al.</i>	179	15 BS	
	4,107,675	8/1978	Sellers <i>et al.</i>		343/6.5 LC	
	4,105,900	8/1978	Martin, <i>et al</i>	307	219	
	4,039,957	8/2/77	Jennings, M.	H04B	1/16	3/8/76
	3,886,515	5/27/75	Cottin, <i>et al</i>	G08g	1/12	5/24/73
	3,870,994	3/1975	McCormick <i>et al.</i>		343/6.5 R	
	3,859,540	1/7/75	Weiner, I.	H02p	9/04	12/13/73
	3,824,595	7/16/74	Hall, S.	G01s	3/48	6/4/71
	3,824,469	7/1974	Pistonbatt		325/39	
	3,750,166	7/31/73	Dearth, J.	G01s	9/00	6/11/71
	3,718,899	2/27/73	Rollins, J.	G08g	1/12	4/5/71
	3,696,333	10/3/72	Mott, W.	B60k	33/00	6/10/70
	3,657,720	4/18/72	Avdenko, <i>et al</i>	G08c	19/32	6/1/70
	3,633,040	1/4/72	Baxter, G.	F02n	11/00	2/18/70
	3,538,898	11/10/70	Edgemir, E.	F02n	15/00	10/18/68
	3,530,846	9/29/70	Bean, <i>et al</i>	F02n	17/00	9/10/68
	3,455,403	7/15/69	Hawthorne, V.	B60k	27/02	4/25/67
	3,357,417	12/12/67	Baumann, R.			4/20/65
	3,078,834	2/26/63	Wright, R.			7/5/60
	2,975,296	3/14/61	Dominguez-Rego			5/11/59
	2,836,732	5/27/58	Newlin, M.			3/22/57
	2,748,759	6/5/56	Schiffer, H.			11/23/53
	2,698,391	12/28/54	Bradén, <i>et al</i>			5/2/52
	RE 32,856	2/7/89	Millsap, <i>et al</i>	G08B	1/08	11/1987

Examiner:	Date Considered
-----------	-----------------

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

Transaction History Date 1995-09-08
 Date information retrieved from USPTO Patent
 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)
 system records at www.uspto.gov



PATENT #8
 Docket No. 07933/0012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis,
 Russell D. Routsong
 Serial No.: 08/275,547
 Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
 For: Satellite-Based Aircraft Traffic Control
 System

Group Art Unit: 2304
 Examiner: M. Zanelli

RECEIVED
 SEP 29 1995

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Washington D.C. 20231

GROUP 2300

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.
 A request for a three-month extension of time is also enclosed.
 The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining		Highest No. Paid For	No. Extra	Rate (\$)	Fee (\$)
Total Claims	18	minus	20	0	22.00	0.00
Independent Claims	4	minus	4	0	76.00	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claim?					240.00	0.00
TOTAL						0.00
Small Entity Total (if applicable)						0.00


No additional claims fee is required.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to the deposit account

of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

- a. Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16.
- b. Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,



R. Edward Brake
R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 8, 1995

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



PATENT
Docket No. 07933/0012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis,
Russell D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite-Based Aircraft Traffic Control
System

Group Art Unit: 2304

Examiner: M. Zanelli

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

A request for a three-month extension of time is also enclosed.

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	Claims Remaining		Highest No. Paid For	No. Extra	Rate (\$)	Fee (\$)
Total Claims	18	minus	20	0	22.00	0.00
Independent Claims	4	minus	4	0	76.00	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claim?					240.00	0.00
TOTAL						0.00
Small Entity Total (if applicable)						0.00


No additional claims fee is required.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to the deposit account

of Howrey & Simon, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

- a. Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16.
- b. Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,



R. Edward Brake
R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 8, 1995

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



PATENT 8/A
Docket No. 07933/012
ll
9-29

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis, Russell D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite-Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: 2304
Examiner: M. Zanelli

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT

SIR:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 9., 1995 (Paper No. 5), please amend the above-identified patent application as follows.

In the Claims

Please cancel claims 1-18 and 20 without prejudice.

Please amend claim 19 as follows:

19 (Amended). An apparatus located on an aircraft for communicating with an aircraft tracking station, said apparatus comprising:

- G
- a) a satellite receiver, responsive to navigation signals transmitted by a satellite navigation system, and providing data describing the aircraft's position based on the navigation signals;

- b) a [two-way] radio for communicating with the aircraft tracking station;
- c) a radio transmit detector electrically connected to said [two-way] radio for detecting a transmission from said [two-way] radio;
- d) a transmitter for transmitting an information transmission over a data link;
- e) a receiver for receiving an information transmission over the data link, said information transmission comprising data and communications;
- f) a data detector electrically connected to said receiver for detecting information in a received information transmission; and
- [e)] g) an aircraft processor [electrically] operatively connected to said satellite receiver, said aircraft detector, said aircraft transmitter and said radio transmit detector, said [processor] aircraft transmitter communicating under control of said processor and via data link to said aircraft tracking station an information transmission comprising the aircraft's identity, the aircraft's position, and information indicating whether the aircraft radio is transmitting[, and said processor identifying data and information detected by said detector].

Please add new claims 21 - 37 as follows:

21. (New) A method of identifying the source of an information transmission, said method comprising the steps of:

- storing on a vehicle a vehicle identification code identifying the vehicle;
- transmitting information from a communications device on the vehicle;
- detecting, on the vehicle, said step of transmitting the information;

generating on the vehicle a transmit detect code in response to said step of detecting;

transmitting from the vehicle the vehicle identification code and the generated transmit detect code;

receiving the information, the vehicle identification code and the transmit detect code transmitted from the vehicle; and

identifying that said vehicle is the source of the transmitted information based on said received identification code and the received transmit detect code.

22. (New) The method of claim 21 and further comprising the step of displaying one or more visual symbols identifying the vehicle and indicating when the vehicle is transmitting the information based on said step of identifying.

23. (New) The method claim 21 wherein said step of transmitting information comprises the step of transmitting audio signals from a two-way radio located on an aircraft.

24. (New) The method of claim 21 wherein said step of detecting comprises one or more of the following steps:

- (a) detecting the actuation of a microphone or other audio input device;
- (b) detecting voice or audio signals; and
- (c) detecting when a radio is transmitting information.

25. (New) The method of claim 21 wherein said step of detecting comprises receiving an interrupt indicating the vehicle is transmitting the information.

26. (New) The method of claim 21 wherein said step of generating a transmit detect code comprises the step of a code generator generating the transmit detect code in response to said step of detecting.

27. (New) The method of claim 21 wherein said step of generating a transmit detect code comprises the step of a processor generating the transmit detect code in response to said step of detecting.

28. (New) The method of claim 21 and further comprising the step of identifying when the vehicle is transmitting the information based on the presence or absence of the received transmit detect code.

29. (New) The method of claim 21 and further comprising the step of detecting when the vehicle ceases transmitting the information.

30. (New) The method of claim 29 wherein said step of transmitting comprises the step of transmitting from the vehicle the vehicle identification code and the generated transmit detect code, said transmit detect code being transmitted only during a period of time in which the vehicle is transmitting the information based on said steps of detecting.

31. (New) The method of claim 30 further comprising the step of identifying the period of time which the vehicle is transmitting the information based on said step of transmitting.

32. (New) The method of claim 31 and further comprising the step of displaying one or more visual symbols identifying the vehicle and indicating when the vehicle is transmitting the information based on said step of identifying the period of time.

33. (New) A method of identifying an aircraft transmitting information, said method comprising the steps of:

storing on the aircraft an aircraft identification code identifying the aircraft;

transmitting information from a radio on the aircraft;

detecting, on the aircraft, said step of transmitting the information;

detecting, on the aircraft, when the aircraft radio ceases transmitting the information;

generating on the aircraft a transmit detect code in response to said step of detecting the transmission of the information;

transmitting from the aircraft the aircraft identification code and the generated transmit detect code, the transmit detect code being transmitted, based on said steps of detecting, only while the aircraft radio is transmitting the information;

receiving the information, the aircraft identification code and the transmit detect code transmitted from the aircraft;

identifying that said aircraft is the source of the transmitted information based on said received aircraft identification code and the received transmit detect code;

identifying when said aircraft is transmitting the information based on the presence or absence of said received transmit detect code; and

displaying visual indicia or symbols on a display based on said steps of identifying, said visual indicia or symbols identifying the aircraft, identifying the aircraft as the source of the information transmission, and identifying when the aircraft is transmitting the information.

34. (New) The method of claim 33 and further comprising the step of determining on the aircraft the location of the aircraft.

35. (New) The method of claim 34 and further comprising the step of transmitting the location of the aircraft based on said step of determining the location of the aircraft, said step of receiving further comprising the step of receiving the location of the aircraft.

36. (New) The method of claim 35 wherein said step of displaying further comprises displaying an aircraft symbol or indicia on the display at a location or having a location based on the received aircraft location.

37. (New) A method of providing a visual display identifying the source of an information transmission, said method comprising the steps of:

storing on a vehicle a vehicle identification code identifying the vehicle;
transmitting information from a communications device on the vehicle;
detecting, on the vehicle, said step of transmitting the information;
generating on the vehicle a transmit detect code in response to said step of
detecting;

32 transmitting from the vehicle the vehicle identification code and the generated
transmit detect code;

receiving the information, the vehicle identification code and the transmit detect
code transmitted from the vehicle; and

providing a visual display identifying the vehicle as the source of the
information transmission and indicating when the information is being transmitted
based on the received vehicle identification code and the received transmit detect code.

REMARKS

The Office Action dated March 9, 1995 has been carefully reviewed and the foregoing amendments made in response thereto. Claims 6-13, 15 and 18-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Claims 1-5 and 13-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 in view of Olsen. Claims 6-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Olsen in view of Martin. Claims 1-18 and 20 have been cancelled. Claim 19 has been amended. New claims 21 - 37 have been added. Claims 19 and 21 - 37 remain active in this application.

The Present Invention

The present invention as recited in the pending claims provides a method and apparatus for determining the source of transmitted information. As discussed in the Background of The Invention at p. 3, l. 7 - p. 4, l. 11, present communication processes between aircraft and aircraft traffic controllers (ATC) are subject to errors. Presently, pilots and ATC primarily exchange information verbally over two-way radio. While the ATC may have several aircraft on its radar display, the ATC has no way of confirming which aircraft is communicating over the two-way radio. The present invention provides an improved communication process that allows the ATC (or others) to identify or confirm the source (aircraft) of the radio communication.

According to the present invention, a vehicle, such as an aircraft, may include a satellite receiver for determining vehicle location, a radio for communicating voice or audio signals (for example, a two-way radio), a radio transmit detector for detecting when the radio is transmitting, a vehicle data transmitter, a data receiver, a data detector for detecting received data, and a processor for controlling many of the vehicle components. The radio transmit detector detects the transmission of information (i.e., a verbal response from the aircraft pilot) from the aircraft radio. A transmit detect code is generated at the aircraft (by, for example, a code generator or by the processor). The aircraft data transmitter then transmits an aircraft identification code and the transmit detect code to the ATC. The transmit detect code may only be transmitted while the radio is transmitting. An ATC computer then determines and provides a visual display indicating the identity of the aircraft transmitting over the radio and indicating when the radio transmission occurs, based on the ATC's receipt of the aircraft identification

code and the transmit detect code. For example, a (T) may be displayed adjacent the aircraft symbol on the ATC display during the aircraft's radio transmission.

The Present Invention In View of The Applied Art

It is respectfully submitted that the claimed invention patentably distinguishes over the cited art.

Claim 19

Claim 19 recites: "c) a radio transmit detector . . . for detecting a transmission from said two-way radio; . . .

d) a transmitter . . . ;

g) . . . said aircraft transmitter . . . communicating . . . the aircraft's identity, . . . and information indicating whether the aircraft two-way radio is transmitting."

None of the cited references teach or suggest the detection of a radio transmission on an aircraft, and then communicating the aircraft's identity and "information indicating whether the aircraft two-way radio is transmitting." Therefore, claim 19 patentably distinguishes over the applied art.

New Claims 21 and 34

Similarly, new claim 21 is directed to a method of identifying the source of an information transmission, and includes the following steps:

"generating on the vehicle a transmit detect code in response to said step of detecting;

transmitting from the vehicle the vehicle identification code and the generated transmit detect code;

receiving the information, the vehicle identification code and the transmit detect code transmitted from the vehicle; and

identifying that said vehicle is the source of the transmitted information based on said received identification code and the received transmit detect code.”

New claim 34 includes similar limitations. None of the cited references teach or suggest the generation of a transmit detect code, transmitting from the vehicle the transmit detect code and a vehicle identification code, and then determining the source (i.e., identity of the transmitting vehicle) of the information transmission based on the received codes. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 21 and 33 and claims depending therefrom patentably distinguish over the cited art.


New Claim 37

New claim 37 recites a method that includes the step of “providing a visual display identifying the vehicle as the source of the information transmission and indicating when the information is being transmitted based on the received vehicle identification code and the received transmit detect code.” As discussed above, the cited references do not disclose or suggest such a method.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the foregoing remarks demonstrate that the application is in condition for allowance and prompt notification thereof is requested. If there are any issues that remain unresolved after consideration of this Amendment, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the number below to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,


R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 8, 1995

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

20X
9-25-95
041
Z. Zanelli

#4 15 217 82304
#



PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

25 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Tom S. Farnakis
Russell D. Routsong

Serial No.: 08/275,547

Filing Date: 15 Jul 94

For: Satellite-Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

Group Art Unit: 2304

Examiner: M. Zanelli

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
SEP 29 1995
GROUP 2300

**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)**

Applicant respectfully requests a three month extension of time in which to respond to the office action dated March 9, 1995, for which a response period expiring on June 9, 1995 was set. The extended period expires on September 9, 1995.

A check in the amount of \$435.00 is enclosed to cover the small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 8, 1995

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

250 LC 09/15/95 08275547
1 217 435.00 CK



PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis
Russell D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite-Based Aircraft Traffic Control
System

Group Art Unit: 2304

Examiner: M. Zanelli

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)**

Applicant respectfully requests a three month extension of time in which to respond to the office action dated March 9, 1995, for which a response period expiring on June 9, 1995 was set. The extended period expires on September 9, 1995.

A check in the amount of \$435.00 is enclosed to cover the small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension. Please charge any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: September 8, 1995

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/275,547	07/15/94	FARMAKIS	T 07933012
------------	----------	----------	------------

ZANELLI, R	EXAMINER
------------	----------

B3M1/1116

R EDWARD BRAKE
HOWREY AND SIMON
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2304

9

DATE MAILED: 11/16/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 9/8/95 This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), 0 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. (6 sheets) | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- Claims 19, 21-37 are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims 21-37 are withdrawn from consideration.
- Claims _____ have been cancelled.
- Claims _____ are allowed.
- Claims 19 are rejected. LS
- Claims _____ are objected to.
- Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
- This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
- Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
- The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
- The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
- The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
- Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.
- Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
- Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial Number: 08/275547

-2-

Art Unit: 2304

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This is responsive to applicant's communication received on 9/8/95. Claims 1-18 and 20 have been cancelled. Claims 21-37 have been newly added.
2. The prior art filed 3/22/95 has been considered insofar as indicated on the return copies of PTO 1449. The Logsdon reference has not been considered because applicant has not provided a copy thereof. The remaining references which have been lined through have been previously cited by the examiner and/or applicant has cited the same reference more than once.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Newly submitted claims 21-37 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The originally filed claims were directed to an apparatus for tracking aircraft comprising an aircraft unit and/or a ground unit. Newly added claims 21-37 are directed toward methods of identifying source of an information transmission of vehicles in general (i.e. claim 21) and aircraft in particular (i.e. claim 33) and a method of providing a visual display identifying source of an information transmission from a vehicle.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 21-37 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) and M.P.E.P. § 821.03.

Art Unit: 2304

5. Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Olsen et al. (4,814,711).

As per claim 19, Olsen et al. (hereafter Olsen) disclose a satellite based tracking system comprising an aircraft tracking station (Fig. 2) and an aircraft unit (Fig. 3). The tracking station comprises: a transmitter (32); a receiver (30); slave microprocessor (44) connected to a modem (36) for handling communication protocol; a CRT display terminal (52); and a station master computer (50) which is electrically connected to the above elements via bus (31) and required interfaces. The aircraft unit comprises: a satellite receiver (77); an aircraft transmitter (66); an aircraft receiver (68); and an aircraft processor (76) electrically connected to the above elements as shown in Fig. 3. *With regards to the radio transmit and data detectors, the data in the transmission signals would have had to have been detected in some manner for the computers to extract the position data and other various parameters contained within the telemetry transmissions in order to correlate the received information with a particular aircraft (emphasis added).* The communication means (60) allows for both transmission and reception of voice or digital signals. Since a single antenna is used for both, the system would have inherently required some means of distinguishing when the system was in a transmitting mode, such as by keying a microphone. Thus, it would have been reasonable to assume that the computers themselves contained circuitry for detecting the encoded data within the telemetry signals and that some means was provided to determine whether the system was

Serial Number: 08/275547

-4-

Art Unit: 2304

in a transmitting mode or a receiving mode. As noted in column 12, line 64 to column 13, line 7, the base station receives the aircraft position data and correlates it with desired flight patterns for the specified aircraft and therefore some manner of identification would have been included in the transmitted signal.

6. **REMARKS**

A. With regards to claim 19, as noted above it is believed that some type of radio transmit detector would have been inherent in the system of Olsen et al. due to the simple fact that Olsen et al. use a single antenna for both reception and transmission and thus some means of distinguishing which mode one was in would have been required. The ground station would have inherently known that the aircraft radio [note "two-way" language was deleted by amendment] was transmitting whenever a transmission therefrom was received.

B. Thus, based upon the above reasoning, applicant's argument, insofar as directed to claim 19, is not deemed to be persuasive.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY

Serial Number: 08/275547

-5-

Art Unit: 2304


ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael Zanelli** whose telephone number is (703) 305-9756. The examiner can normally be reached on **Monday-Thursday** from **6:30 to 5:00**.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin Teska**, can be reached at (703) 305-2304. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-9564, 9565.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9627.

/mjz
11/14/95


MICHAEL ZANELLI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2300

PTO 1449		Page 1 of 6	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		Atty Dkt: 7933-012	Serial No. 08/275,547
Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM		Applicants: Farmakis, T.S. Routsong, R.D.	
		Filing Date: July 15, 1994	Group 2304



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
	5,381,140	1/1995	Kuroda, et al	340	961	2/1993
	5,379,224	1/1995	Brown, et al.	364	449	11/1991
	5,364,093	11/1994	Huston, et al.	273	32R	
	5,361,212	11/1994	Class, et al.	364	428	11/1992
mjt	5,334,974	8/2/94	Simms, et al	340	990	2/6/92
	5,311,197	5/10/94	Sorden, et al	342	457	2/1/93
	5,301,368	4/5/94	Hirata, T.	455	78	10/26/90
	5,247,564	9/21/93	Zicker, R.	379	40	10/24/90
	5,243,529	9/7/93	Kashiwazaki, T.	364	449	6/29/92
mjt	5,223,844	6/29/93	Mansell et al.	342	357	4/17/92

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Sub-Class	Translation Yes/No
mjt 0123 562	10/1984	Europe	G01S	5702	Yes

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

- mjt "Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button," *The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution*, March 18, 1993.
- mjt 1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator-esp. for buses - has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.
- mjt AVIONICS, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.
- mjt Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head," *Flying*, February, 1994
- mjt CAS-81 Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System, *Maintenance Manual 34-45-00 I.B. 1181*, Allied Signal Aerospace Company, January 1990
- "Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System"
- Nordwall, B.D., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:22, p. 17, Dec. 2, 1991
- "GPS Demonstration Results Push System into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan"

Examiner: mjt Janelli	Date Considered: 11/13/95
Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.	

PTO 1449		Page 2 of 6	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		Atty Dkt: 7933-012	Serial No. 08/275,547
Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM		Applicants: Farmakis, T.S. Routsong, R.D.	
		Filing Date: July 15, 1994	Group 2304



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
mgj	5,221,925	6/22/93	Cross	340	988	7/25/91
mgj	5,218,629	6/8/93	Dumond Jr., D.	379	59	5/12/89
mgj	5,218,367	6/8/93	Sheffer <i>et al.</i>	342	457	6/1/92
	5,216,611	6/1993	McElreath	364	454	
mgj	5,216,429	6/1/93	Nakagawa <i>et al.</i>	342	450	4/17/92
	5,208,756	5/4/93	Song, H.	364	449	1/28/91
	5,208,590	5/4/93	Pitts, A.	340	973	9/20/91
	5,197,009	3/23/93	Hoffman Jr., <i>et al</i>	364	443	6/12/92
mgj	5,168,451	12/1/92	Bolger, J.G.	364	436	2/28/91
	5,153,836	10/6/92	Fraughton, <i>et al</i>			8/22/90
	5,153,836	10/1992	Fraughton, <i>et al</i>	364	461	
mgj	5,119,102	6/2/92	Barnard, M.	342	357	1/23/91
	5,109,341	4/1992	Blackburn <i>et al.</i>	364	434.05	
	5,103,459	4/7/92	Gilhousen <i>et al.</i>	375	7	
mgj	5,099,245	3/24/92	Sagey	342	357	

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

- mgj Chapman Security Systems, Inc. (Bensenville, Illinois), "Chapman 911 Capital Litigation Support," Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pgs.
- mgj Connes, K., "GPS," *Plane & Pilot*, pp 22-24, August, 1994
- mgj Delta Air Lines "Cat II/III Operations -- Technical Operations Training Course #401," April 6, 1990
- mgj Delta Air Lines Flight Operations Policy Manual, Jan 29, 1993
- mgj Gilbert, C., "Obtaining Real-time Differential Data from Government Sources," *Earth Observation Magazine*, November/December 1993
- mgj International Teletrac Systems, "Have an Unfair Advantage Over Car Thieves", Advertising Flyer, 1990, 3 pages
- mgj International Teletrac Systems, "How to Put Your Fleet on the Map", Advertising Flyer, undated, 5 pages.
- mgj Klass, P.J., *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, 135:24/25, p. 42, Dec. 23, 1991

Examiner: <i>mgj Jamblo</i>	Date Considered <i>4/13/95</i>
-----------------------------	--------------------------------

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.


PTO 1449

Page 3 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group 2304

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
mjt	5,081,667	1/14/92	Drori, et al	379	59	3/20/90
	5,055,851	10/8/91	Sheffer	342	457	
	5,043,903	8/27/91	Constant, C.	364	460	12/1/89
	5,043,736	8/27/91	Darnell et al.	342	357	
mjt	5,032,845	7/16/91	Velasco	342	457	
	5,025,382	6/1991	Artz	364	439	
mjt	5,025,247	6/1991	Banks	340	574	
	5,021,794	6/1991	Lawrence	342	457	
	5,014,206	5/7/91	Scribner et al.	364	449	
	4,928,778	5/29/90	Tin, J.	180	167	12/11/87
	4,910,493	3/20/90	Chambers, et al	340	426	12/5/88
	4,908,629	3/1990	Apsell et al.	342	457	
	4,908,627	3/1990	Santos	342	125	
	4,905,271	2/27/90	Namekawa, M.	379	58	11/21/88
mjt	4,904,983	2/27/90	Mitchell, S.	340	426	5/13/87

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

- mjt Class, Philip J., "Airline Officials Foresee Quick Growth in Use of GPS, Glonass on Commercial Transports", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, June 29, 1992, page 54.
- mjt Class, Philip J., "FAA Steps Up Program to Introduce GPS as Instrument Approach Aid", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, August 17, 1992, pp. 35-36.
- ~~Class, Philip J., "GPS Demonstration Results Push System Into Forefront for Airport Traffic Plan", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 16, 1991, page 42.~~
- mjt Logsdon, T., *The Navstar Global Positioning System*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1992.
- mjt Magnavox Advanced Products and Systems Company (Advertising Flyer), "Presenting the Most Advanced AVLS Available," 1988, 6 pages.
- mjt Meluso, D., "Accuracy Guaranteed," *Boating*, September, 1993
- mjt Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Public Safety Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Services", 1989, 4 pages.

Examiner:

mjt Janell

Date Considered

11/13/95

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

PTO 1449

Page 4 of 6

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group

2304

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
mjd	4,897,642	1/30/90	DiLullo, et al	340	485.060	10/14/88
	4,893,240	1/9/90	Karkouti, I.	364	434.05	3/9/89
	4,891,650	1/2/90	Sheffer, E.	342	457	5/16/88
	4,888,595	12/1989	Friedman	342	457	
	4,887,064	12/12/89	Drori, et al	340	426	12/28/87
	4,884,208	11/28/89	Marinelli, et al	364	460	5/16/88
	4,860,336	8/22/89	D'avello, et al	379	63	1/15/88
	4,835,537	5/30/89	Manion, J.	342	30	8/8/88
	4,821,309	4/11/89	Namekawa, M.	379	58	7/2/86
mjd	4,818,998	4/1989	Apsell et al.	342	44	
	4,814,711	3/1989	Olsen, et al	324	331	
mjd	4,809,316	2/28/89	Namekawa, M.	379	58	9/11/87
	4,794,649	12/27/88	Fujiwara, R.	455	9	4/29/87
	4,754,255	6/28/88	Sanders, et al	340	64	5/14/87
mjd	4,750,197	6/7/88	Denekamp, et al	379	58	7/2/87

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

- 12 Mets, Inc. (Indianapolis, Indiana), "Trucking National & Regional Fleet Control", 1989, 4 pages.
- mjd Morgen-Walke Associates, Inc. News Release "Trimble Announces New Generation of GPS Marine Products," January 5, 1994, London, U.K.
- mjd Nordwall, B.D., "Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, December 2, 1991, page 71.
- mjd Nordwall, B.D., "Imagination Only Limit to Military, Commercial Applications for GPS", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, October 14, 1991, page 60.
- h 32 Nordwall, B.D., "Low Price, New Ideas Fuel GPS Growth", *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, November 30, 1992, page 48.
- WJ Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Fleetvision Integrated Fleet Management System", undated, 9 pages.
- h 32 Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starfinder GPS Intelligent Mobile Sensor", 1991, 2 pages.
- h 32 Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Starview Tracking and Display Station", undated, 1 page.

Examiner:

mjd [Signature]

Date Considered


11/13/95

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547



Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.

Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEM

Filing Date:
July 15, 1994

Group
2304

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
mj	4,736,461	4/5/88	Kawasaki, et al	455	343	2/12/87
	4,718,080	1/5/88	Serrano, et al	379	59	12/1685
	4,704,735	11/3/87	Swapp, et al	455	68	9/12/85
	4,688,244	8/18/87	Hannon, et al	379	58	11/10/86
	4,688,026	8/18/87	Scribner et al.	340	572	
	4,674,454	6/23/87	Phairr, D.	123	179B	8/22/85
	4,673,936	6/1987	Kotoh	342	51	
	4,642,775	2/10/87	Cline et al.	364	443	
	4,630,289	12/1986	Wren	375	71	
	4,622,557	11/11/86	Westerfield, E.	342	357	10/31/84
	4,606,307	8/19/86	Cook, N.	123	179B	12/1/83
	4,503,525	3/5/85	Malik, et al	365	222	4/7/82
	4,392,059	7/5/83	Nespor, T.	290	380	10/8/80
	4,345,554	8/24/82	Hildreth, et al	123	179B	11/19/79
mj	4,325,057	4/13/82	Bishop, M.	340	539	6/30/80

OTHERS, including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

- mj Trimble Navigation (Sunnyvale, California), "Trimble's GPS/AVL Continues to Dominate Public Safety Market," Press Release, February 7, 1994
- mj Trimble Navigation, "FleetVision -- Integrated Fleet Management System," (undated)
- mj Trimble, "The 9th Utility" Advertisement
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 6560.14A Order, "Project Implementation Plan for the Digital Altimeter Setting Indicator (DASI) Program," November 10, 1993
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 6690.4 Order, "Project Implementation Plan Voice Switching and Control System," October 25, 1993
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.10K CHG 2, re Flight Services, May 28, 1994
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 1, re Air Traffic Control, November 4, 1993
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 7110.65H CHG 2, re Air Traffic Control, Feb. 4, 1994
- mj U.S. DOT, FAA; 7210.3K CHG 1, re Facility Operation and Administration, October 4, 1993
- mj Westinghouse (Baltimore, Maryland), "Vehicle Management Systems", Product Catalogue, undated, 4 pages.

Examiner: mj Jall

Date Considered 4/13/95

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Atty Dkt: 7933-012

Serial No. 08/275,547

Applicants: Farmakis, T.S.
Routsong, R.D.Title: SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEMFiling Date:
July 15, 1994

Group 2304

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initial	Patent Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-Class	Filing Date
mjl	4,236,594	12/2/80	Ramsperger, D.	180	167	8/21/78
	4,200,080	4/29/80	Cook, et al	123	179.6	7/14/78
	4,197,538	4/8/80	Stocker, G.	343	6.5LL	6/2/78
mjl	4,177,466	12/4/79	Reagan, Wm.	343	112TC	11/16/77
	4,117,267	9/1978	Haberle, et al.	179	15-B5	
mjl	4,107,675	8/1978	Sellers et al.	343	6.5LC	
	4,105,900	8/1978	Martin, et al	307	219	
mjl	4,039,957	8/2/77	Jennings, M.	325	466	3/8/76
	3,886,515	5/27/75	Cottin, et al	340	23	5/24/73
	3,870,994	3/1975	McCormick et al.	343	6.5R	
	3,859,540	1/7/75	Weiner, I.	290	38	12/13/73
	3,824,595	7/16/74	Hall, S.	343	113R	6/4/71
	3,824,469	7/1974	Pistonbatt	325	39	
	3,750,166	7/31/73	Dearth, J.	343	6TV	6/11/71
	3,718,899	2/27/73	Rollins, J.	340	997	4/5/71
	3,696,333	10/3/72	Mott, W.	340	52R	6/10/70
	3,657,720	4/18/72	Avdenko, et al	343	225	6/1/70
	3,633,040	1/4/72	Baxter, G.	290	33	2/18/70
	3,538,898	11/10/70	Edgemir, E.	123	179	10/18/68
	3,530,846	9/29/70	Bean, et al	123	179	9/10/68
	3,455,403	7/15/69	Hawthorne, V.	123	179.2	4/25/67
	3,357,417	12/12/67	Baumann, R.	123	179.2	4/20/65
	3,078,834	2/26/63	Wright, R.	123	179.2	7/5/60
	2,975,296	3/14/61	Dominguez-Rego	123	179.2	5/11/59
	2,836,732	5/27/58	Newlin, M.	123	179.3	3/22/57
	2,748,759	6/5/56	Schiffer, H.	123	179.5	11/23/53
	2,698,391	12/28/54	Braden, et al	123	179.3	5/2/52
mjl	RE 32,856	2/7/89	Millsap, et al	340	539	11/1987

Examiner:

mjl

Date Considered

11/3/95

Examiner: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

\$190.00 216 JP
2304

PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

APR 16 1996

Group Art Unit: **GROUP 230U**

Examiner: M. Zanelli

10
4-22

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis
Russell D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control System

BOX AF
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)

Applicants respectfully request a two-month extension of time in which to respond to the final office action dated November 16, 1995, for which a response period expiring on February 16, 1996 was set. The extended period expires on April 16, 1996. Applicants are requesting this extension to establish copendency for the continuation application being filed herewith under 37 C.F.R. § 1.60.

Please charge the \$190.00 small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension and any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: April 16, 1996

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

010 SB 04/17/96 08275547
1 216 190.00 CK



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

PATENT
Docket No. 07933/012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Tom S. Farmakis
Russell D. Routsong
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filing Date: 15 Jul 94
For: Satellite Based Aircraft Traffic Control
System

Group Art Unit: 2304

Examiner: M. Zanelli

RECEIVED
APR 16 1996
GROUP 2300

BOX AF
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)

Applicants respectfully request a two-month extension of time in which to respond to the final office action dated November 16, 1995, for which a response period expiring on February 16, 1996 was set. The extended period expires on April 16, 1996. Applicants are requesting this extension to establish copendency for the continuation application being filed herewith under 37 C.F.R. § 1.60.

Please charge the \$190.00 small entity fee for the 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) extension and any additional fees required in connection with this communication to the deposit account of **Howrey & Simon**, deposit account number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Edward Brake (Reg. No. 37,784)

Dated: April 16, 1996

HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800 (telephone)
(202) 383-6610 (telecopier)

PTO-103c (rev. 6-79) SECURITY FILE	GROI	FILING DATE	SERIAL #	SERIES OF 1970)
			275 547	
SCREENED BY	15 JUL 94			
LICENSE <input type="checkbox"/>	AUG 03 94 07		INVENTION	
DATE				
DARCOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DRAWINGS	TOTAL CL'S	IND CL'S	FILING FEE REC
NAVY <input type="checkbox"/>				TRANSACTION
AF <input type="checkbox"/>				ATTY DR.
CE <input type="checkbox"/>				
DOE <input type="checkbox"/>				
NASA <input type="checkbox"/>				
NSA <input type="checkbox"/>				

#11
RL
EPA

CLEARED BY
GROUP 220; SECURITY

RECOMMENDATION BY EXPERTS

(Every expert examining this application should indicate an express RECOMMENDATION followed by their SIGNATURE, AGENCY AND DATE)

Recommend Unclassified

Mark W. Ludema 16 JUN 95 RL/PCSS

22.2 every
7.06

DARCOM
 NAVY
 AF
 CE
 DOE
 NASA
 NSA
 LOG
 185
 OTHER
 SCREENED BY _____

PTO-1453 (12-80)

PTO-103c (rev. 6-79) SECURITY FILE	GROUP	275 547		
		REG. DATE	SERIAL #	SERIES OF 1970I
SCREENED BY	AUG 0 8 9407			
LICENSE <input type="checkbox"/>	APPLICANT		INVENTION	
DATE				
DARCOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DRAWINGS	TOTAL CL'S	IND CL'S	FILING FEE REC
NAVY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				TRANSACTION
AF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				ATT'Y DK.
CE <input type="checkbox"/>				
DOE <input type="checkbox"/>				
NASA <input type="checkbox"/>				
NSA <input type="checkbox"/>				

CLEARED BY
GROUP 220; SECURITY

RECOMMENDATION BY EXPERTS

(Every expert examining this application should indicate an express RECOMMENDATION followed by their SIGNATURE, AGENCY AND DATE)

SAR
P. Janner
US Army
29 Dec 84 *22.2 Army*
7.06

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SCREENED BY
DARCOM	NAVY	AF	CE	DOE	NASA	NSA	LOG	185	OTHER		

PTO-1453 (12-80)

PTO-103c (rev. 6-79) SECURITY FILE	GROUP NO.	FILING DATE	SERIAL NO (SERIES OF 1970)		
			27554 15 JUL 94		
SCREENED BY	AUG 02 94 07		INVENTION		
LICENSE <input type="checkbox"/>	APPLICANT				
DATE					
DARCOM	DRAWINGS	TOTAL CL'S	IND CL'S	FILING FEE REC	TRANSACTION
NAVY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
AF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
CE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
DOE <input type="checkbox"/>					
NASA <input type="checkbox"/>					
NSA <input type="checkbox"/>					

ACCESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
As Required by
Title 35, United States Code (1952) Section 181

I hereby acknowledge that I have inspected the disclosure of the above identified application for patent in the administration of the law cited above, on behalf of the department or agency which I represent, and promise that any information acquired from said application will not be divulged, disclosed or used for any purpose other than in the administration of the cited law.

NAME	DATE	AGENCY REPRESENTED
M Jordan	3 Jan 95	AFLSA / ITACAP
Mark A Lunden	16 JUN 95	RL/OCCSS

PTO-103c (rev. 6-79) SECURITY FILE	GROUP NO.	FILING DATE	SERIAL NO. (ISSUES OF 1970)			
			2755 7 15 JUL 94			
SCREENED BY	AUS J 129407					INVENTION
LICENSE <input type="checkbox"/>	APPLICANT					
DATE						
DARCOM <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DRAWINGS	TOTAL CL'S	IND CL'S	FILING FEE REC	TRANSACTION	ATTY DK.
NAVY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
AF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
CE <input type="checkbox"/>						
DOE <input type="checkbox"/>						
NASA <input type="checkbox"/>						
NSA <input type="checkbox"/>						

ACCESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
As Required by
Title 35, United States Code (1952) Section 181

I hereby acknowledge that I have inspected the disclosure of the above identified application for patent in the administration of the law cited above, on behalf of the department or agency which I represent, and promise that any information acquired from said application will not be divulged, disclosed or used for any purpose other than in the administration of the cited law.

NAME	DATE	AGENCY REPRESENTED
<i>B Jan</i>	<i>29 Dec 94</i>	<i>US Army</i>



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/275,547	07/15/94	FARMAKIS	07933012

R EDWARD BRAKE
HOWREY AND SIMON
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

B3M1/0603

ZANELLI, M

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2304	<i>BA</i>

DATE MAILED: 06/03/96

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

This application is abandoned in view of:


1. Applicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed 11/16/95
2. Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file the response received _____ within the period set in the Office letter.
4. Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the mailing date of _____ of the Notice of Allowance.
 - The issue fee was received on _____
 - The issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of _____

In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17(l), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay.

If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of *Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler*, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513.

5. Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal drawings by _____ as required in the last Office action.
 - The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on _____
6. The reason(s) below.

Michael Zanelli
MICHAEL ZANELLI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2300



200
2617
9-27-96
161

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
Thomas S. Farmakis and Russell D. Routsong:
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filed: July 15, 1994
For: SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT
TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM

Swarthout, Brent

Group Art Unit: 2304
Examiner: M. Zanelli
Atty. Dkt.: 07933-0012

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

RECEIVED
OCT 03 1996
GROUP 2300

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

2304

Sir:


The following are enclosed for consideration in the above-identified application:

	FEE
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice to File Missing Parts	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice of Incomplete Application	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Declaration: <input type="checkbox"/> Original; <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Submission of Formal Drawings	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Drawings: ___ Sheets ___ Figures	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement and Form 1449 and ___ References	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment: <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary; <input type="checkbox"/> §116; <input type="checkbox"/> §312; <input type="checkbox"/> Other	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Correction of Inventorship	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Extension of Time for <u>two</u> month(s)	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee: <input type="checkbox"/> Part B - Issue Fee Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Part C - Charge to Deposit Account	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Oral Hearing	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief	\$
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Power of Attorney by Assignee	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> No additional claim fee is required	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> An additional claims fee is required, and is calculated as shown below	\$
TOTAL FEES BEING SUBMITTED	\$

HS/USPTO-H
Rev-1.0
Date 10/1/96

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR §1.16 and §1.17 associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to the deposit account of Howrey & Simon, Deposit Account Number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,




Thomas G. Woolston
Registration No. 40,235
Attorney for Appellant

Date: October 1, 1996
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 383-7155

HS/USPTO-H
Rev-1.0
Date 10/1/96

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
 Thomas S. Farmakis and Russell D. Rousong Group Art Unit: 2304
 Serial No.: 08/275,547 Examiner: M. Zanelli
 Filed: July 15, 1994 Atty. Dkt.: 07933-0012
 For: SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT
 TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM



TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED
 OCT 03 1994
 GROUP 2300

Sir:


The following are enclosed for consideration in the above-identified application:

	FEE
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice to File Missing Parts	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Notice of Incomplete Application	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Declaration: <input type="checkbox"/> Original; <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Submission of Formal Drawings	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Drawings: ___ Sheets ___ Figures	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement and Form 1449 and ___ References	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment: <input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary; <input type="checkbox"/> §116; <input type="checkbox"/> §312; <input type="checkbox"/> Other	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Correction of Inventorship	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Extension of Time for <u>two</u> month(s)	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee: <input type="checkbox"/> Part B - Issue Fee Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Part C - Charge to Deposit Account	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Oral Hearing	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief	\$
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Power of Attorney by Assignee	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> No additional claim fee is required	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> An additional claims fee is required, and is calculated as shown below	\$
TOTAL FEES BEING SUBMITTED	\$

HS/USPTO-H
 Rev-1.0
 Date 10/1/96

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR §1.16 and §1.17 associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to the deposit account of Howrey & Simon, Deposit Account Number 08-3038. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Thomas G. Woolston
Registration No. 40,235
Attorney for Appellant

Date: October 1, 1996
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 383-7155



PATENT
Docket No. 07933-0012

#13
aug
1/2/96

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of
T.S. FARMAKIS et al.
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filed: July 15, 1994
For: SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC
CONTROL SYSTEM

RECEIVED

OCT 03 1996

GROUP 2800

POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

The undersigned Assignee of the entire interest in the above-identified subject application hereby revokes all prior granted powers of attorney and hereby appoints:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Robert A. Auchter, Reg.No. 38,069 | Richard S. Meyer, Reg.No. 32,541 |
| Jeffrey I. Auerbach, Reg.No. 32,680 | Joseph A. Micallef, Reg.No.39,772 |
| Melvin L. Barnes, Jr. Reg.No. 38,375 | Barry E. Negrin, Reg.No. 37,407 |
| CelineT. Callahan, Reg.No. 34,301 | Karen L. Nicastro Reg.No. 35,968 |
| Cono A. Carrano, Reg.No. 39,623 | Stephen J. Rosenman, Reg.No. 29,209 |
| Daniel N. Daisak, Reg.No. 39,160 | David P. Ruschke, Reg.No. 40,151 |
| James F. Davis, Reg.No. 21,072 | Timothy L. Scott, Reg.No. 37,931 |
| Thomas M. Dunham, Reg.No. 39,965 | Thomas J. Scott, Jr. Reg.No. 27,836 |
| Joel M. Freed, Reg.No. 25,101 | Michael J. Songer, Reg.No. 39,841 |
| Alan M. Grimaldi, Reg.No. 26,599 | C. Scott Talbot, Reg.No. 34,262 |
| Jennifer M. Hall, Reg.No. 38,169 | Michelle Van-Patten Frank, Reg.No. 37,028 |
| Richard H. Kjeldgaard, Reg.No. 30,186 | Richard J. Veltman, Reg.No. 36,957 |
| Joseph P. Lavelle, Reg.No. 31,036 | Thomas G. Woolston, Reg.No. 40,235 |
| W. Jackson Matney, Jr. Reg.No. 39,292 | |

all of the firm of Howrey & Simon, as its attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Send correspondence to:

DOCKET DEPARTMENT
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Facsimile: (202) 383-7195

Direct all telephone calls to Thomas G. Woolston at (202) 783-7155.

ASSIGNEE: Worldwide Notification Systems, Inc.

SIGNATURE John S. Ross DATE 9-25-96

TYPED NAME John S. Ross TITLE Chairman


ADDRESS: 3343 Peachtree Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30326 USA

HS/USPTO-K
Rev-1.0
Date

PATENT
Docket No. 07933-0012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of
T.S. FARMAKIS et al.
Serial No.: 08/275,547
Filed: July 15, 1994
For: SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC
CONTROL SYSTEM



RECEIVED

OCT 03 1996

GROUP 2300

POWER OF ATTORNEY BY ASSIGNEE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

The undersigned Assignee of the entire interest in the above-identified subject application hereby revokes all prior granted powers of attorney and hereby appoints:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Robert A. Auchter, Reg.No. 38,069 | Richard S. Meyer, Reg.No. 32,541 |
| Jeffrey I. Auerbach, Reg.No. 32,680 | Joseph A. Micallef, Reg.No.39,772 |
| Melvin L. Barnes, Jr. Reg.No. 38,375 | Barry E. Negrin, Reg.No. 37,407 |
| CelineT. Callahan, Reg.No. 34,301 | Karen L. Nicastro Reg.No. 35,968 |
| Cono A. Carrano, Reg.No. 39,623 | Stephen J. Rosenman, Reg.No. 29,209 |
| Daniel N. Daisak, Reg.No. 39,160 | David P. Ruschke, Reg.No. 40,151 |
| James F. Davis, Reg.No. 21,072 | Timothy L. Scott, Reg.No. 37,931 |
| Thomas M. Dunham, Reg.No. 39,965 | Thomas J. Scott, Jr. Reg.No. 27,836 |
| Joel M. Freed, Reg.No. 25,101 | Michael J. Songer, Reg.No. 39,841 |
| Alan M. Grimaldi, Reg.No. 26,599 | C. Scott Talbot, Reg.No. 34,262 |
| Jennifer M. Hall, Reg.No. 38,169 | Michelle Van-Patten Frank, Reg.No. 37,028 |
| Richard H. Kjeldgaard, Reg.No. 30,186 | Richard J. Veltman, Reg.No. 36,957 |
| Joseph P. Lavelle, Reg.No. 31,036 | Thomas G. Woolston, Reg.No. 40,235 |
| W. Jackson Matney, Jr. Reg.No. 39,292 | |

all of the firm of Howrey & Simon, as its attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Send correspondence to:

DOCKET DEPARTMENT
HOWREY & SIMON
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Facsimile: (202) 383-7195

Direct all telephone calls to Thomas G. Woolston at (202) 783-7155.

ASSIGNEE: Worldwide Notification Systems, Inc.

SIGNATURE John S. Ross DATE 9-25-96

TYPED NAME John S. Ross TITLE Chairman

ADDRESS: 3343 Peachtree Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30326 USA

HS/USPTO-K
Rev-1.0
Date

59158 U.S. PTO



12/05/96

RECEIVED

DEC 18 1996

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

GROUP 2300

In Re Application of:

TOM STEWART ET AL.

Serial No.: 08/711,286

Filed: September 9, 1996


For: AUTOMATED PIECE WORK
PRODUCTION DATA
COLLECTION AND
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Group Art Unit: 2304

Examiner: Unassigned

TS Docket No. 3921.85148

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with adequate postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on December 2, 1996.


Signature - Scott M. Frank

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with applicant's duty of disclosure under Rules 56, 97 and 98 of the Rules of Practice for Patent Cases (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98 respectively), a completed form PTO-1449 is submitted herewith along with copies of cited references.


These references are cited only as constituting the closest art of which applicant is aware,

0030104.01

and their inclusion herein should not be construed as a statement as to their priority nor materiality. It is respectfully submitted that the claims patentably define over all of the above references.

No fee is believed to be payable in connection with the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. If a fee is, however, deemed to be payable, please charge such additional fees due to deposit account 20-1507. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Scott M. Frank
Registration No. 36,375
Attorney for Applicant

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP
600 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 5200
Atlanta, Georgia 30308-2216
(404) 885-3558

59158 U.S. PTO



12/05/96

RECEIVED

DEC 18 1996

ATTY DOCKET NO.: 3921.85148	SERIAL NO.: 08/711,286
APPLICANT(S): TOM STEWART ET AL.	
FILING DATE: September 9, 1996	GROUP: 2304

GROUP 2300

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Page 1 of 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	2,663,497	12/22/53	Straus	235	61.8	
	3,894,215	07/08/75	Lotter et al.	235	61.6	
	3,806,705	04/23/74	Reilly et al.	235	61.9	
	4,011,434	03/08/77	Hockler	235	61.9	
	4,270,043	05/26/81	Baxter et al.	235	419	
	4,323,771	04/06/82	Chalker, Jr., et al.	235	377	
	4,333,085	06/01/82	Witts	346	76	
	4,409,657	10/11/83	van der Lely	364	401	
	4,536,646	08/20/85	Adams et al.	235	377	
	4,924,451	05/08/90	Hsiung	368	10	
	4,812,627	03/14/89	Wexler et al.	235	377	
	4,819,162	04/04/89	Webb, Jr. et al.	364	401	
	5,068,787	11/26/91	Pipella et al.	364	406	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO

0030115.01

ATTY DOCKET NO.: 3921.85148	SERIAL NO.: 08/711,286
APPLICANT(S): TOM STEWART ET AL.	
FILING DATE: September 9, 1996	GROUP: 2304

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Page 2 of 2

OTHER DOCUMENTS

(including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

AUTHOR	DATE	TITLE AND REFERENCE
Leadtech Systems, Inc.	Believed to be 3/95	Satellite Plus - Real-Time Factory Control System

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

FORM PTO-FB-A820 (also form PTO-1449)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/275,547	07/15/94	TOM S. FARMAKISM ET AL	07933012

EXAMINER

M. ZANELLI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2304 14

DATE MAILED: 01/03/97

R. EDWARD BRAKE
 HOWREY & SIMON
 1229 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2402

This is a communication from
 the Patent & Trademark Office

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed _____ OCTOBER 1, 1996.

- 1. The Power of Attorney to you in this application **has been revoked** by the applicant. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.
- 2. The Power of Attorney to you in this application **has been revoked** by the assignee who has intervened as provided by 37 CFR 3.71. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. (37 CFR 1.33).
- 3. The withdrawal as attorney in this application **has been accepted**. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.
- 4. The Power of Attorney in this application **is accepted**. Correspondence in this application will be mailed to the below-noted address as provided by 37 CFR 1.33.
- 5. The Power of Attorney in this application **is not accepted** for the reason(s) checked below:
 - a. The Power of Attorney is from an assignee and the Certificate required by 37 CFR 3.73 (b) has not been received.
 - b. The person signing for the assignee has omitted their empowerment to sign on behalf of the assignee.
 - c. The inventor(s) is without authority to appoint attorneys since the assignee has intervened as provided by 37 CFR 3.71.
 - d. The signature of _____, a co-inventor in this application, has been omitted. The Power of Attorney will be entered upon receipt of confirmation signed by said co-inventor.
 - e. The person(s) appointed in the Power of Attorney is not registered to practice before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.
 - f. The revocation is not signed by the applicant, the assignee of the entire interest, or **one** particular principal attorney having the authority to revoke.

THOMAS G. WOOLSTON
 DOCKET DEPARTMENT
 HOWREY & SIMON
 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-02

*April 2, 1996
 Group 2300 for
 Greg McMillan*

RETAIN THIS COPY IN THE APPLICATION FILE

RECEIVED

JUL - 7 99

GROUP 2700

REQUEST FOR ACCESS OF ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14(a)

In re Application of <i>Farmakis et al.</i>	
Application Number <i>08/275,547</i>	Filed <i>7/15/94</i>
Group Art Unit	Examiner

Paper No. 15

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(3)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is: (CHECK ONE)

- (A) referred to in United States Patent Number 5714948, column _____
- (B) referred to in an application that is open to public inspection as set forth in 37 CFR 1.11, i.e., Application No. _____, filed _____, on page _____ of paper number _____
- (C) an application that claims the benefit of the filing date of an application that is open to public inspection, i.e., Application No. _____, filed _____, or
- (D) an application in which the applicant has filed an authorization to lay open the complete application to the public.

Please direct any correspondence concerning this request to the following address:

B. A. Harris

Signature

B. A. Harris

Typed or printed name

7-7-99

Date

FOR PTO USE ONLY

Approved by: _____
(Initials)

Unit: _____

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SS 21 (37-03)

Approved for use through 7/31/2003. OMB 2597-0007
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO AN ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14

Bring completed form to:
File Information Unit
Crystal Plaza Three, Room 1C01
2021 South Clark Place
Arlington, VA
Telephone: (703) 308-2733

RECEIVED
MAR 31 2004
File Information Unit

In re Application of

Application Number

08/275547

Filed

7/15/94

Paper No.

A/16

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is identified in, or to which a benefit is claimed, in the following document (as shown in the attachment):

United States Patent Application Publication No. _____, page _____, line _____

United States Patent Number 5714948, column _____, line _____, or

WIPO Pub. No. _____, page _____, line _____

Related Information about Access to Pending Applications (37 CFR 1.14):

Direct access to pending applications is not available to the public but copies may be available and may be purchased from the Office of Public Records upon payment of the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.19(b)), as follows. For published applications that are still pending, a member of the public may obtain a copy of:

- the file contents;
- the pending application as originally filed; or
- any document in the file of the pending application.

For unpublished applications that are still pending:

- (1) If the benefit of the pending application is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365 in another application that has: (a) issued as a U.S. patent, or (b) published as a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of:
 - the file contents;
 - the pending application as originally filed; or
 - any document in the file of the pending application.
- (2) If the application is incorporated by reference or otherwise identified in a U.S. patent, a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of:
 - the pending application as originally filed.

Steven J. Dily
Signature
Steven Dily
Typed or printed name

3/31/04
Date

Registration Number, if applicable
703-416-0366
Telephone Number

FOR PTO USE ONLY
Approved by: _____
(Initials)
Unit: **File Information Unit**

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.14. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including review of the application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including review of the application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including review of the application.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO AN ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14

Bring completed form to: File Information Unit, Room 2E04 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202-3514 Telephone: (703) 308-2733	In re Application of	
	Application Number 08/275547	Filed Jul 15, 1994
		Paper No. #17

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is not within the file jacket of a pending Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) (37 CFR 1.53(d)) and which is identified in, or to which a benefit is claimed, in the following document (as shown in the attachment):

United States Patent Application Publication No. _____, page, _____ line _____.

United States Patent Number **6314366**, column _____, line, _____ or

WIPO Pub. No. _____, page _____, line _____.

Related information About Access to Applications Maintained in the Image File Wrapper System (IFW) and Access to Pending Applications in General

A member of the public, acting without a power to inspect, cannot order applications maintained in the IFW system through the FIU. If the member of the public is entitled to a copy of the application file, then the file is made available through the Public Patent Application Information Retrieval system (Public PAIR) on the USPTO internet web site (www.uspto.gov). Terminals that allow access to Public PAIR are available in the Public Search Room. The member of the public may also be entitled to obtain a copy of all or part of the application file upon payment of the appropriate fee. Such copies must be purchased through the Office of Public Records upon payment of the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.19(b)).

For published applications that are still pending, a member of the public may obtain a copy of: the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.

For unpublished applications that are still pending:

- (1) If the benefit of the pending application is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365 in another application that has: (a) issued as a U.S. patent, or (b) published as a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of: the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.
- (2) If the application is incorporated by reference or otherwise identified in a U.S. patent, a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of the pending application as originally filed.

Darlene Jones
 Signature

Darlene Jones
 Typed or printed name

Registration Number, if applicable
703 418 0330
 Telephone Number

RECEIVED
 MAY 26 2006
 File Information Unit
 Date
 FOR PTO USE ONLY
 Approved by [Signature]
 (initials)
 Unit: F.I.U.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. BRING TO: File Information Unit, Room 2E04, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Transaction History Date 2007-07-17

Date information retrieved from USPTO Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)

system records at www.uspto.gov

PTO/SB/68 (04-07)

Approved for use through 9/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO AN ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14

Bring completed form to:
File Information Unit, Room 2E04
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-3514

JUL 17 2007

In re Application of

Application Number

08/275,547

Filed

7/15/94

Telephone: (703) 308-2733

Paper No. 18

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is not within the file jacket of a pending Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) (37 CFR 1.53(d)) and which is identified in, or to which a benefit is claimed, in the following document (as shown in the attachment):

United States Patent Application Publication No. _____, page, _____ line _____.

United States Patent Number 5,714,948, column _____, line, _____ or

WIPO Pub. No. _____, page _____, line _____.

Related Information About Access to Applications Maintained in the Image File Wrapper System (IFW) and Access to Pending Applications in General

A member of the public, acting without a power to inspect, cannot order applications maintained in the IFW system through the FIU. If the member of the public is entitled to a copy of the application file, then the file is made available through the Public Patent Application Information Retrieval system (Public PAIR) on the USPTO internet web site (www.uspto.gov). Terminals that allow access to Public PAIR are available in the Public Search Room. The member of the public may also be entitled to obtain a copy of all or part of the application file upon payment of the appropriate fee. Such copies must be purchased through the Office of Public Records upon payment of the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.19(b)).

For published applications that are still pending, a member of the public may obtain a copy of:

the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.

For unpublished applications that are still pending:

- (1) If the benefit of the pending application is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365 in another application that has: (a) issued as a U.S. patent, or (b) published as a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of: the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.
- (2) If the application is incorporated by reference or otherwise identified in a U.S. patent, a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of the pending application as originally filed.

Azrah Cosby
Signature

7/17/07
Date

Azrah Cosby
Typed or printed name

FOR PTO USE ONLY

Approved by: [Signature]
JUL 17 2007

Registration Number, if applicable
703-488-1150

Unit: _____

Telephone Number

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. BRING TO: File Information Unit, Room 2E04, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO AN ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14

Bring completed form to:
 File Information Unit, Room 2E04
 2900 Crystal Drive
 Arlington, VA 22202-3514

Telephone: (703) 308-2733

In re Application of

Application Number

08/875,547

Filed

JUL 15, 1994

Paper No. #19

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is not within the file jacket of a pending Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) (37 CFR 1.53(d)) and which is identified in, or to which a benefit is claimed, in the following document (as shown in the attachment):

United States Patent Application Publication No. _____, page, _____ line _____

United States Patent Number 6314 366, column _____, line, _____ or

WIPO Pub. No. _____, page _____, line _____

Related Information About Access to Applications Maintained in the Image File Wrapper System (IFW) and Access to Pending Applications in General

A member of the public, acting without a power to inspect, cannot order applications maintained in the IFW system through the FIU. If the member of the public is entitled to a copy of the application file, then the file is made available through the Public Patent Application Information Retrieval system (Public PAIR) on the USPTO internet web site (www.uspto.gov). Terminals that allow access to Public PAIR are available in the Public Search Room. The member of the public may also be entitled to obtain a copy of all or part of the application file upon payment of the appropriate fee. Such copies must be purchased through the Office of Public Records upon payment of the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.19(b)).

For published applications that are still pending, a member of the public may obtain a copy of:
 the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.

For unpublished applications that are still pending:

- (1) If the benefit of the pending application is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365 in another application that has: (a) issued as a U.S. patent, or (b) published as a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of: the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.
- (2) If the application is incorporated by reference or otherwise identified in a U.S. patent, a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of the pending application as originally filed.

M. Shohed

Signature

01-26-09

Date

SHAHAB G. HAYOUR

Typed or printed name

Registration Number, if applicable

(703) 553-0000

Telephone Number

FOR PTO USE ONLY	
Approved by: <u>6 2009</u>	(initials) <u>DA</u>
Unit: _____	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. BRING TO: File Information Unit, Room 2E04, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



US006314366B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Farmakis et al.

(10) Patent No.: **US 6,314,366 B1**
(45) Date of Patent: **Nov. 6, 2001**

#19

- (54) **SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM** 3,718,899 2/1973 Rollins .
 - 3,808,598 4/1974 Carter 343/112 TC
 - 3,824,469 7/1974 Pistonbatt 325/39
 - 3,824,595 7/1974 Hall .
 - 3,870,994 3/1975 McCormick et al. 343/6.5 R
 - 3,886,515 5/1975 Cottin et al. .
 - 4,039,957 8/1977 Jennings .
 - 4,107,675 8/1978 Sellers 343/6.5 LC
 - 4,177,466 12/1979 Reagan .
 - 4,197,538 4/1980 Stocker
 - 4,200,080 4/1980 Cook et al. .
 - 4,236,594 12/1980 Ramsperger .
 - 4,325,057 4/1982 Bishop .
 - 4,380,050 4/1983 Tanner 364/461
 - 4,392,059 7/1983 Nespor .
 - 4,503,525 3/1985 Malik et al. . .
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 08/291,564
- (22) Filed: Aug. 16, 1994

Related U.S. Application Data

(List continued on next page.)

- (63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/275,547, filed on Jul. 15, 1994, now abandoned, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/062,406, filed on May 14, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,351,194.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- 0123 562 1/1984 (EP) G01S/5/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses—has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.

(List continued on next page.)

- (51) Int. Cl.⁷ G01S 3/02
- (52) U.S. Cl. 701/201; 701/213; 342/357.1; 342/451; 455/456; 340/961
- (58) Field of Search 364/449, 461, 364/452, 460, 444, 443; 340/961, 450, 451, 463; 342/41, 36, 455, 357.07, 357.1, 451; 701/14, 200, 120; 703/213; 455/456

Primary Examiner—Kevin J. Teska
Assistant Examiner—Thai Phan
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Sughrue, Mion, Zinn, Macpeak & Seas, PLLC

References Cited


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- Re. 32,856 2/1989 Millsap et al. .
- 2,698,391 12/1954 Braden et al. .
- 2,748,759 6/1956 Schiffer .
- 2,836,732 5/1958 Newlin .
- 2,975,296 3/1961 Dominguez-Rego .
- 3,078,834 2/1963 Wright .
- 3,357,417 12/1967 Baumann .
- 3,455,403 7/1969 Hawthorne .
- 3,530,846 9/1970 Bean et al. .
- 3,538,898 11/1970 Edgemir .
- 3,633,040 1/1972 Baxter .
- 3,657,720 4/1972 Avdenko et al. .
- 3,696,333 10/1972 Mott .

(57) ABSTRACT

This invention provides a method and apparatus to provide coordinated evasive maneuver commands to aircraft to avoid collisions. More specifically, the invention comprises a GPS system to determine the location of aircraft, control logic to calculate evasive maneuvers, display aircraft tracking information, coordinate the evasive maneuver with the intruding aircraft, and give a synthetic voice warning and command to the pilots.

9 Claims, 13 Drawing Sheets



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO AN ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.14

Bring completed form to:
 File Information Unit, Suite 3A20
 2800 South Randolph Street
 Arlington, VA 22206
 Telephone: (703) 756-1800

In re Application of

Application Number

08-275347

Filed

7-15-94 *[Signature]*

Paper No. _____

I hereby request access under 37 CFR 1.14(a)(1)(iv) to the application file record of the above-identified ABANDONED application, which is not within the file jacket of a pending Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) (37 CFR 1.53(d)) and which is identified in, or to which a benefit is claimed, in the following document (as shown in the attachment):

United States Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0132294, page, _____ line _____

United States Patent Number _____, column _____, line, _____

WIPO Pub. No. _____, page _____, line _____

Related Information About Access to Applications Maintained in the Image File Wrapper System (IFW) and Access to Pending Applications in General

A member of the public, acting without a power to inspect, cannot order applications maintained in the IFW system through the FIU. If the member of the public is entitled to a copy of the application file, then the file is made available through the Public Patent Application Information Retrieval system (Public PAIR) on the USPTO internet web site (www.uspto.gov). Terminals that allow access to Public PAIR are available in the Public Search Room. The member of the public may also be entitled to obtain a copy of all or part of the application file upon payment of the appropriate fee. Such copies must be purchased through the Office of Public Records upon payment of the appropriate fee (37 CFR 1.19(b)).

For published applications that are still pending, a member of the public may obtain a copy of:
 the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.

For unpublished applications that are still pending:

- (1) If the benefit of the pending application is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365 in another application that has: (a) issued as a U.S. patent, or (b) published as a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of the file contents; the pending application as originally filed; or any document in the file of the pending application.
- (2) If the application is incorporated by reference or otherwise identified in a U.S. patent, a statutory invention registration, a U.S. patent application publication, or an international patent application publication in accordance with PCT Article 21(2), a member of the public may obtain a copy of the pending application as originally filed.

[Signature]

 Signature

3-23-15

 Date

Ingrid Arbuckle

 Typed of printed name

Registration Number, if applicable

2002-343-8845

 Telephone Number

FOR PTO USE ONLY	
Approved by <u>[Signature]</u> (initials)	
Unit: <u>MAR 23 2015</u>	
BY: <u>[Signature]</u>	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. BRING TO: File Information Unit, Suite 3A20, 2800 South Randolph Street, Arlington, Virginia.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



US 20020133294A1

(19) United States

(12) Patent Application Publication
Farmakis et al.

(10) Pub. No.: US 2002/0133294 A1
(43) Pub. Date: Sep. 19, 2002

(54) SATELLITE BASED COLLISION
AVOIDANCE SYSTEM

Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/062,406, filed on May 14, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,351,194, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/275,547, filed on Jul. 15, 1994, now abandoned.

(76) Inventors: Tom S. Farmakis, Sharpsburg, GA (US); Russell D. Routsong, Peachtree City, GA (US)

Publication Classification

Correspondence Address:
Clifton W. Thompson
THORPE, NORTH & WESTERN, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1219
Sandy, UT 84091-1219 (US)

(51) Int. Cl.⁷ G06F 17/10
(52) U.S. Cl. 701/301


(57) ABSTRACT

(21) Appl. No.: 09/993,922
(22) Filed: Nov. 6, 2001

This invention provides a method and apparatus to provide coordinated evasive maneuver commands to aircraft to avoid collisions. More specifically, the invention comprises a GPS system to determine the location of aircraft, control logic to calculate evasive maneuvers, display aircraft tracking information, coordinate the evasive maneuver with the intruding aircraft, and give a synthetic voice warning and command to the pilots.

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Division of application No. 08/291,564, filed on Aug. 16, 1994, now Pat. No. 6,314,366, which is a con-



4. 5,424,746, Jun. 13, 1995, Method and system for monitoring vehicles; Carl E. Schwab, et al., 342/49, 46, 169, **463** [IMAGE AVAILABLE]

5. 5,400,031, Mar. 21, 1995, Airport surface vehicle identification system and method; Richard A. Fitts, **342/36**, 37 [IMAGE AVAILABLE]
=> d 114 1, 3, 4

1. 5,459,469, Oct. 17, 1995, **Air** **traffic** surveillance and communication system; Leonard Schuchman, et al., 342/37, 32, 36 [IMAGE AVAILABLE]

3. 5,448,768, Sep. 5, 1995, **Aircraft** data **communication** employing existing voice channels; Richard L. Zinser, 455/108, 46, 47, 156.1 [IMAGE AVAILABLE]

4. 5,440,544, Aug. 8, 1995, Integrated data link concept for **air** **traffic** control applications; Richard L. Zinser, Jr., 370/50, 76, 95.3; 375/285, 346; 379/63; 455/63 [IMAGE AVAILABLE]
=>

5,351,194

364/449, 439, 443



United States Patent [19]
Farmakis et al.

[11] Patent Number: **5,714,948**
[45] Date of Patent: **Feb. 3, 1998**

- [54] **SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM**
- [75] Inventors: Tom S. Farmakis, Sharpsburg; Russell D. Routsong, Peachtree City, both of Ga.
- [73] Assignee: Worldwide Notifications Systems, Inc., Atlanta, Ga.
- [21] Appl. No.: 633,192
- [22] Filed: Apr. 16, 1996

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses—has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location".

Avionics, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.

Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head." *Flying*, Feb. 1994.

"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System".

Related U.S. Application Data

- [63] Continuation of Ser. No. 275,547, Jul. 15, 1994, abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 62,406, May 14, 1993, Pat. No. 5,351,194.
- [51] Int. Cl.⁶ G08G 5/04
- [52] U.S. Cl. 340/961; 342/29; 364/439
- [58] Field of Search 340/961, 971, 340/945; 455/38.1, 115; 342/29, 30, 32, 36, 37, 38; 364/439, 461, 424.06

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Brent A. Swarthout
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Fish & Richardson P.C.

[57] **ABSTRACT**

A satellite based air traffic control (ATC) system includes an aircraft unit on an aircraft and an ATC facility. The aircraft unit includes an AARTS processor, GPS receivers or other satellite receivers, a comparator for comparing the GPS data, a two-way radio, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over a data link with the ATC facility. The ATC facility includes an ATC computer, a two-way radio, a display for displaying aircraft, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over the data link. The aircraft transmits aircraft identification information, GPS data, aircraft status information, and a transmit detect code to the ATC facility to allow the ATC to track the aircraft and identify the aircraft communicating on two-way radio. The traffic control system and a flight control system utilizing GPS may be used for aircraft in the air and on the ground, and may be used for ships, boats, automobiles, trains or railroads, and aircraft.

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- ✓ Re. 32,856 2/1989 Millsap et al.
- 2,698,391 12/1954 Braden et al.
- 2,748,759 6/1956 Schiffer
- 2,836,732 5/1958 Newlin
- 2,975,296 3/1961 Dominguez-Rego

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS


- 0123 562 10/1984 European Pat. Off. G01S 5/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button."
The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution, Mar. 18, 1993.

19 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets

forming indicators



161 Pgs



US005714948A

United States Patent [19]
Farmakis et al.

[11] Patent Number: 5,714,948
[45] Date of Patent: Feb. 3, 1998

- [54] **SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM**
- [75] **Inventors:** Tom S. Farmakis, Sharpsburg; Russell D. Routsong, Peachtree City, both of Ga.
- [73] **Assignee:** Worldwide Notifications Systems, Inc., Atlanta, Ga.
- [21] **Appl. No.:** 633,192
- [22] **Filed:** Apr. 16, 1996

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses—has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location".
Avionics, Business & Commercial Aviation, May 1993, pp. 168-172.
Benenson, T., "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head," *Flying*, Feb. 1994.
"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System".

Related U.S. Application Data

(List continued on next page.)

- [63] Continuation of Ser. No. 275,547, Jul. 15, 1994, abandoned which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 62,406, May 14, 1993, Pat. No. 5,351,194.
- [51] **Int. Cl.⁶** G08G 5/04
- [52] **U.S. Cl.** 340/961; 342/29; 364/439
- [58] **Field of Search** 340/961, 971, 340/945; 455/38.1, 115; 342/29, 30, 32, 36, 37, 38; 364/439, 461, 424.06

Primary Examiner—Brent A. Swarthout
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Fish & Richardson P.C.

[57] **ABSTRACT**

A satellite based air traffic control (ATC) system includes an aircraft unit on an aircraft and an ATC facility. The aircraft unit includes an AARTS processor, GPS receivers or other satellite receivers, a comparator for comparing the GPS data, a two-way radio; and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over a data link with the ATC facility. The ATC facility includes an ATC computer, a two-way radio, a display for displaying aircraft, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over the data link. The aircraft transmits aircraft identification information, GPS data, aircraft status information, and a transmit detect code to the ATC facility to allow the ATC to track the aircraft and identify the aircraft communicating on two-way radio. The traffic control system and a flight control system utilizing GPS may be used for aircraft in the air and on the ground, and may be used for ships, boats, automobiles, trains or railroads, and aircraft.

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- Re. 32,856 2/1989 Millsap et al.
- 2,698,391 12/1954 Bradca et al.
- 2,748,759 6/1956 Schiffer
- 2,836,732 5/1958 Newlin
- 2,975,296 3/1961 Dominguez-Rego

(List continued on next page.)


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- 0123 562 10/1984 European Pat. Off. G01S 5/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button."
The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution, Mar. 18, 1993.

19 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets





US005714948A

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: 5,714,948

Farmakis et al.

[45] Date of Patent: Feb. 3, 1998

[54] **SATELLITE BASED AIRCRAFT TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEM**

[75] Inventors: Tom S. Farmakis, Sharpsburg; Russell D. Routsong, Peachtree City, both of Ga.

[73] Assignee: Worldwide Notifications Systems, Inc., Atlanta, Ga.

[21] Appl. No.: 633,192

[22] Filed: Apr. 16, 1996

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd. Abstract "Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses—has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location".

Avionics, *Business & Commercial Aviation*, May 1993, pp. 168-172.

Benenson, T. "GPS Test: Five Leading Aviation Hand-Helds Go Head-to-Head." *Flying*, Feb. 1994.

"Flight Tests Highlight New GPS Uses, Emphasize Need for GPS/Glonass System".

Related U.S. Application Data

(List continued on next page.)

[63] Continuation of Ser. No. 275,547, Jul. 15, 1994, abandoned, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 62,406, May 14, 1993, Pat. No. 5,351,194.

[51] Int. Cl.⁶ G08G 5/04

[52] U.S. Cl. 340/961; 342/29; 364/439

[58] Field of Search 340/961, 971, 340/945; 455/38.1, 115; 342/29, 30, 32, 36, 37, 38; 364/439, 461, 424.06

Primary Examiner—Brent A. Swarthout
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Fish & Richardson P.C.

[57] ABSTRACT

A satellite based air traffic control (ATC) system includes an aircraft unit on an aircraft and an ATC facility. The aircraft unit includes an AARTS processor, GPS receivers or other satellite receivers, a comparator for comparing the GPS data, a two-way radio, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over a data link with the ATC facility. The ATC facility includes an ATC computer, a two-way radio, a display for displaying aircraft, and a transmitter and receiver for communicating information and data over the data link. The aircraft transmits aircraft identification information, GPS data, aircraft status information, and a transmit detect code to the ATC facility to allow the ATC to track the aircraft and identify the aircraft communicating on two-way radio. The traffic control system and a flight control system utilizing GPS may be used for aircraft in the air and on the ground, and may be used for ships, boats, automobiles, trains or railroads, and aircraft.

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- Re. 32,856 2/1989 Millsap et al.
- 2,698,391 12/1954 Braden et al.
- 2,748,759 6/1956 Schiffer
- 2,836,732 5/1958 Newlin
- 2,975,296 3/1961 Dominguez-Rego

(List continued on next page.)


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- 0123 562 10/1984 European Pat. Off. G01S 5/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Device Lets Motorists Send 'Mayday' At Touch of Button." *The Atlanta Journal/The Atlanta Constitution*, Mar. 18, 1993.

19 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets





US006314366B1

(12) United States Patent Farmakis et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 6,314,366 B1 (45) Date of Patent: Nov. 6, 2001

(54) SATELLITE BASED COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM

(76) Inventors: Tom S. Farmakis, 100 Indian Creek Trail, Sharpsburg, GA (US) 30277; Russell D. Routsong, 706 Bookman Point, Peachtree City, GA (US) 30269

(* Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 08/291,564

(22) Filed: Aug. 16, 1994

Related-U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 08/275,547, filed on Jul. 15, 1994, now abandoned, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 08/062,406, filed on May 14, 1993, now Pat. No. 5,351,194.

- (51) Int. Cl. G01S 3/02 (52) U.S. Cl. 701/201; 701/213; 342/357.1; 342/451; 455/456; 340/961 (58) Field of Search 364/449, 461, 364/452, 460, 444, 443; 340/961, 450, 451, 463; 342/41, 36, 455, 357.07, 357.1, 451; 701/14, 200, 120; 703/213; 455/456

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- Re. 32,856 2/1989 Millsap et al. 2,698,391 12/1954 Braden et al. 2,748,759 6/1956 Schiffer 2,836,732 5/1958 Newlin 2,975,296 3/1961 Dominguez-Rego 3,078,834 2/1963 Wright 3,357,417 12/1967 Baumann 3,455,403 7/1969 Hawthorne 3,530,846 9/1970 Bean et al. 3,538,898 11/1970 Edgemir 3,633,040 1/1972 Baxter 3,657,720 4/1972 Avdenko et al. 3,696,333 10/1972 Mott

- 3,718,899 2/1973 Rollins 3,808,598 4/1974 Carter 3,824,469 7/1974 Platonball 3,824,595 7/1974 Hall 3,870,994 3/1975 McCormick et al. 3,886,515 5/1975 Cottin et al. 4,039,957 8/1977 Jennings 4,107,675 8/1978 Sellers 4,177,466 12/1979 Reagan 4,197,538 4/1980 Stocker 4,200,080 4/1980 Cook et al. 4,236,594 12/1980 Ramsperger 4,325,057 4/1982 Bishop 4,380,050 4/1983 Tanaer 4,392,059 7/1983 Nespor 4,503,525 3/1985 Melik et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- 0123 562 1/1984 (EP) G01S/5/02

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

1985 Derwent Publications Ltd., Abstract Location and waiting time indicator esp. for buses—has bus-stop transponders linked to telephone network and buses which emit signals corresponding to location.

(List continued on next page.)


Primary Examiner—Kevin J. Teska Assistant Examiner—Thai Phao (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Sughruc, Mion, Zinn, Macpeak & Seas, PLLC

(57) ABSTRACT

This invention provides a method and apparatus to provide coordinated evasive maneuver commands to aircraft to avoid collisions. More specifically, the invention comprises a GPS system to determine the location of aircraft, control logic to calculate evasive maneuvers, display aircraft tracking information, coordinate the evasive maneuver with the intruding aircraft, and give a synthetic voice warning and command to the pilots.

9 Claims, 13 Drawing Sheets

DO NOT ATT



PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD

Effective October 1, 1992

Application or Docket Number

275547

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I			SMALL ENTITY	OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
FOR	(Column 1) NUMBER FILED	(Column 2) NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	FEE	RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE				\$355.00		\$710.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	20	minus 20 = *	x\$11=		x\$22=	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	minus 3 = *	x 37=	37	x 74=	74
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT			+115=		+230=	
			TOTAL	392	OR TOTAL	784

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II					SMALL ENTITY	OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
AMENDMENT A	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)	(Column 4)	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA					
Total	18	Minus ** 20	=		x\$11=		x\$22=	
Independent	2	Minus *** 4	=		x 37=		x 74=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ 115=		+230=	
					TOTAL		OR TOTAL	

AMENDMENT B	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)	(Column 4)	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA					
Total	*	Minus **	=		x\$11=		x\$22=	
Independent	*	Minus ***	=		x 37=		x 74=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ 115=		+ 230=	
					TOTAL		OR TOTAL	

AMENDMENT C	(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)	(Column 4)	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA					
Total	*	Minus **	=		x\$11=		x\$22=	
Independent	*	Minus ***	=		x 37=		x 74=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+115=		+230=	
					TOTAL		OR TOTAL	

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

PACE DATA ENTRY CODING SHEET

1ST EXAMINER *Sales* DATE *88*
2ND EXAMINER *Arac* DATE *11-3-74*

APPLICATION NUMBER *00/275541* TYPE APPL 1 FILING DATE MONTH *07* DAY *15* YEAR *94* SPECIAL HANDLING 0 SHEETS OF DRAWING 3

TOTAL CLAIMS *20* INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 4 SMALL ENTITY? 2 FILING FEE - *457* FOREIGN LICENSE 11 CLASS *540* ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER *07933012*

CONTINUITY DATA

CONT. STATUS CODE	PARENT APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	PCT APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	PARENT PATENT NUMBER	PARENT FILING DATE		
				MONTH	DAY	YEAR
<i>03</i>	<i>208062406</i>	<i>/</i>		<i>05</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>93</i>
		<i>/</i>				
		<i>/</i>				
		<i>/</i>				
		<i>/</i>				

PCT/FOREIGN APPLICATION DATA

FOREIGN PRIORITY CLAIMED	COUNTRY CODE	PCT/FOREIGN APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER			FOREIGN FILING DATE		
		MONTH	DAY	YEAR	MONTH	DAY	YEAR