

Case IPR2016-00013  
Patent No. 7,128,988  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Attorney Docket No. LMBTH M.10-001

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL

---

TDK CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

LAMBETH MAGNETIC STRUCTURES LLC  
Patent Owner

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2016-00013  
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,128,988

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION  
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,128,988**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
EXHIBIT LIST .....	iv
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 7,128,988 .....	3
III. PETITIONER’S BURDEN .....	7
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	8
A. Standard For Claim Construction .....	9
B. “Uniaxial” .....	10
V. PETITIONER FAILED TO PROVIDE A PROPER INHERENCY ANALYSIS FOR EACH OF ITS GROUNDS .....	13
VI. GROUNDS .....	15
A. Ground 1: Shen In View Of Dill Does Not Invalidate Claims 1 And 27 .....	17
1. Shen Does Not Disclose A “bcc-d” Layer That Is “Uniaxial” .....	17
2. Dill Does Not Remedy Shen’s Lack Of Disclosure Of A “bcc-d” Layer That Is “Uniaxial” Because Dill Also Lacks Such Layer .....	24
3. Shen Does Not Disclose A “Symmetry Broken Structure” .....	28
4. A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Modify Shen With The Teachings Of Dill To Create The Claimed “Uniaxial” “bcc-d Layer”	

	Because The Teachings Of Shen And Dill Are Incompatible.....	33
B.	Ground 2: Dill In View Of Shen Does Not Invalidate Claims 1, 3, 6-11, 13, 14, 17-19, 22, 24, 27-30, 34, and 38 for failure to disclose a “bcc-d layer” which is “Uniaxial” .....	38
1.	Dill Does Not Disclose An Interface Layer Having “bcc-d” Structure.....	38
2.	Dill Does Not Disclose An Interface Layer That Is Uniaxial.....	51
3.	Shen Does Not Remedy Dill’s Failure to Disclose A Symmetry Broken Structure.....	51
4.	A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Modify Shen With The Teachings Of Dill To Create The Claimed “Uniaxial” “bcc-d Layer” Because The Teachings Of Shen And Dill Are Incompatible.....	52
C.	Ground 3: Dill, Shen And Heim Do Not Invalidate Claims 12, 15, 16, 21, And 23 Because Heim Does Not Remedy The Deficiencies Of Dill And Shen, And Would Also Not Be Combined With Them .....	53
D.	Ground 4: Dill, Shen And Lambeth I Do Not Invalidate Claims 2, 25, 26, And 31 Because Lambeth I Does Not Remedy The Deficiencies Of Dill And Shen, And Would Also Not Be Combined With Them .....	54
E.	Ground 5: Dill, Shen And Noguchi Do Not Invalidate Claim 39 Because Noguchi Does Not Remedy The Deficiencies Of Dill And Shen, And Would Also Not Be Combined With Them .....	55

VII. DEFICIENCIES WITH THE PETITION WARRANTING DENIAL OF GROUNDS 1-5.....	57
A. The Petition Fails to Identify Any Compelling Rationale for Adopting Redundant Grounds of Rejection.....	57
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	60

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Tech, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454 (P.T.A.B. Aug. 29, 2014).....	8
<i>Epistar, et al. v. Trustees of Boston University</i> , IPR2013-00298 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 15, 2103).....	37
<i>Illumina, Inc. v. Trustees of Columbia Univ.</i> , IPR2012-00006 (P.T.A.B. May 10, 2013) .....	57
<i>Intellicall, Inc. v. Phonometrics, Inc.</i> , 952 F.2d 1384, 1387-88, 21 U.S.P.Q.2d 1383, 1386 (Fed. Cir. 1992) .....	10
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	9
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578, 581 (C.C.P.A. 1981).....	15
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM 2012-00003 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 25, 2012) .....	57
<i>OSRAM Sylvania, Inc. v. Am. Induction Techs., Inc.</i> , 701 F.3d 698 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	38
<i>Par Pharma., Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	passim
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994) .....	9
<i>Sandoz Inc. v. EKR Therapeutics, LLC</i> , IPR2015-00007, (P.T.A.B. Apr. 24, 2015).....	14
<b>STATUTES, RULES &amp; OTHER AUTHORITIES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	17, 38

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.