

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

EASTMAN KODAK CO., AGFA CORP., ESKO SOFTWARE BVBA, and
HEIDELBERG, USA,
Petitioner,

v.

CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00790
Patent 6,611,349 B1

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD, and
BRIAN J. MCNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WOOD, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

I. INTRODUCTION

A. *Background*

Eastman Kodak Co., Agfa Corp., Esko Software BVBA, and Heidelberg, USA (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed a Corrected Petition (Paper 4, “Pet.”) to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–3 (the “challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,611,349 B1 (Ex. 1001, “the ’349 patent”). CTP Innovations, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 8, “Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314.

Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute when “the information presented in the petition filed under section 311 and any response filed under section 313 shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314(a). We determine that Petitioner has shown a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail with respect to at least one of the claims of the ’349 patent. Accordingly, we grant the Petition for *inter partes* review of the ’349 patent.

B. *Related Proceedings*

Petitioner discloses that the ’349 patent has been asserted in 49 infringement actions, most of which are still pending. Pet. 1; Ex. 1002. Petitioner also has filed three additional petitions for *inter partes* review: IPR2014-00791, for review of claims 4–14 of the ’349 patent; IPR2014-00788, for review of claims 10–20 of U.S. Patent 6,738,155 (“the ’155 patent”), which shares the ’349 patent’s disclosure; and IPR2014-00789, for review of claims 1–9 of the ’155 patent. Pet. 2. The ’349 and ’155 patents

were also the subject of two previous petitions for *inter partes* review, both of which were denied. *See Printing Indus. of Am. v. CTP Innovations, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00474 (PTAB Dec. 31, 2013) (Paper 16) (denying petition for *inter partes* review of the '349 patent); *Printing Indus. of Am. v. CTP Innovations, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00489 (PTAB Dec. 30, 2013) (Paper 15) (denying petition for *inter partes* review of the '155 patent).

C. *The '349 Patent*

The '349 patent issued on August 26, 2003, from an application filed July 30, 1999. Ex. 1001, cover page. The '349 patent relates to “a system and method of providing publishing and printing services via a communications network.” *Id.* at 1:9–10. According to the '349 patent, “[k]ey steps for producing printed materials using a plate process include (1) preparing copy elements for reproduction, (2) prepress production, (3) platemaking, (4) printing, and (5) binding, finishing and distribution.” *Id.* at 1:12–15. In the first or “design” stage, an end user—e.g., a publisher, direct marketer, advertising agency, or corporate communication department—uses a desktop publishing program such as “QuarkXpress” to design “pages” from image and data files. *Id.* at 1:16–25. In the prepress production stage, the user-created pages (also called “copy”) are “transformed into a medium that is reproducible for printing.” *Id.* at 1:26–28. This transformation typically involves typesetting, image capture and color correction, file conversion, “RIPing, trapping, proofing, imposition, filmsetting, and platesetting.” *Id.* at 1:29–32.

“RIPing” is based on the acronym “RIP,” which stands for raster image processor. *Id.* at 7:57–59. A RIP is a hardware or software component that “rasterize[s]” an image file—i.e., converts it to a “bitmap”

or raster image. *Id.* “RIPing” is therefore synonymous with rasterizing. A bitmap “is a digitized collection of binary pixel information that gives an output device, such [as a printer, proofer, or platesetter,] the ability to image data to paper, film, or plate.” *Id.* at 7:59–62. “Proofing” involves creating a sample of the finished product that is sent to the end user for approval. *Id.* at 1:32–35. After alterations are made, new proofs are sent to the end user; once the end user approves the proof, a medium, such as a computer-to-plate (CTP) file, is produced and sent to the printer. *Id.* at 1:35–39. “Imposition” involves “the set of pages on a particular plate as well as their positioning and orientation.” *Id.* at 1:38–40. According to the ’349 patent, imposition “is particularly important in the creation of booklets or catalogs, where pages are positioned using register marks to assist in the stripping, collating, and folding of the printed product.” *Id.* at 1:41–44. A printer makes a plate “using the medium created during prepress,” e.g., if a CTP file is used, the printer converts the CTP file into a printing plate. *Id.* at 1:45–48. The printer uses the plate on a printing press to reproduce the product; the product is bound, finished, and distributed to create the product in its final form. *Id.* at 1:45–51.

The ’349 patent describes and claims a publishing and printing system in which “[s]ystem components are installed at an end user facility, a printing company facility, and a central service facility,” each connected to the others via a communication network. *Id.* at 2:31–36, 51–56. Figure 1, reproduced below, depicts an embodiment of the claimed invention:

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.