

By: Curio Holdings, Inc.
Robert Renke
4011 WestChase Blvd, Suite 110
Raleigh, NC 27607
(919) 532-7665 (telephone)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DISH NETWORK, LLC
Petitioner

v.

QURIO HOLDINGS, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00007
Patent 7,787,904

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. | BACKGROUND OF THE ‘904 PATENT | 1 |
| III. | A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART | 9 |
| IV. | CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 10 |
| A. | “WPAN” | 11 |
| B. | “mobile device” | 13 |
| C. | “media device” | 14 |
| D. | “validation process” | 15 |
| E. | “media database” | 16 |
| F. | “last-played time-stamps” | 16 |
| V. | THE PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE..... | 17 |
| A. | Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 12, and 15-18 are not obvious in view of Morse and Meade | 17 |
| 1. | Summary of Morse..... | 18 |
| 2. | Summary of Meade..... | 18 |
| 3. | There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-4, 7, 10, 12, and 15-18 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of Morse and Meade | 19 |
| B. | Claims 13 and 20 are not obvious in view of Morse, Meade, and Terada | 23 |
| 1. | Summary of Terada..... | 23 |
| 2. | There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 13 and 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of Morse, Meade, and Terada..... | 24 |
| C. | Claim 14 is not obvious in view of Morse, Meade, and Krikorian | 26 |
| 1. | Summary of Krikorian | 26 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2. | There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claim 14 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of Morse, Meade, and Krikorian | 26 |
| VI. | CONCLUSION..... | 26 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Bicon Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... | 11 |
| <i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002) | 10 |
| <i>Endo Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00656 | 10 |
| <i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994)..... | 10 |
| <i>Macronix Int'l v. Spansion LLC</i> , IPR2014-00106 | 10 |
| <i>Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... | 11 |
| <i>Microsoft Corporation v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00292 | 11 |
| <i>Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors, Inc.</i> , 508 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007) | 11 |
| <i>Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00152 ... | 10 |

Statutes

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| 35 U.S.C. § 313 | 1 |
| 35 U.S.C. §314(a) | 17 |

Rules

| | |
|----------------------------|----|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.107 | 1 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)..... | 1 |
| 37 C.F.R. §42.100(b) | 10 |

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Existing Exhibits:

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 1001 | US Patent No. 7,787,904 B2 to Issa |
| 1004 | US Patent No. 7,535,465 B2 to Morse <i>et al.</i> |
| 1005 | US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0071117 A1 to Meade, II |
| 1006 | US Patent Application Publication No. 20040073610 A1 to Terada <i>et al.</i> |
| 1007 | US Patent No. 7,647,614 B2 to Krikorian <i>et al.</i> |
| 1008 | IEEE 802.15.1 Standard, June 14, 2005 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.