RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

STEADYMED LTD.,

Petitioner,

VS.

UNITED THERAPEUTICS CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00006
Patent 8,497,393 B2
Technology Center 1600
Oral Hearing Held: Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Before: LORA M. GREEN, JONI Y. CHANG, and JACQUELINE T. HARLOW (via video link) Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, November 29, 2016, at 1:00 p.m., Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

REPORTED BY: RAYMOND G. BRYNTESON, RMR,



CRR, RDR

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

STUART E. POLLACK, ESQ.

DLA Piper LLP (US)

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10020-1104

212-335-4500

MAYA PRAKASH CHOKSI,

DLA Piper LLP (US)

33 Arch Street, 26th Floor

Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1447

617-406-6000

DAVID NASSIF, ESQ.

JONATHAN RIGBY, CEO

SteadyMed Ltd.



ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

STEPHEN B. MAEBIUS, ESQ.

GEORGE E. QUILLIN, ESQ.

Foley & Lardner LLP

Washington Harbour

3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20007-5109

202-672-5310

DOUGLAS H. CARSTEN, ESQ.

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati

12235 El Camino Real, Suite 200

San Diego, California 92130-3002

858-350-2300

ROBERT A. DELAFIELD, ESQ.

Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati

900 South Capital of Texas Highway

Las Cimas IV, Fifth Floor

Austin, Texas 78746-5546

512-338-5400

SHAUN R. SNADER, ESQ.

United Therapeutics Corp.



Case IPR2016-00006 Patent 8,497,393 B2

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|---|
| 2 | (1:00 p.m.) |
| 3 | JUDGE HARLOW: Good afternoon. We will hear |
| 4 | argument now in Case No. IPR2016-00006, SteadyMed versus |
| 5 | United Therapeutics, concerning U.S. Patent Number |
| 6 | 8,497,393 B2. |
| 7 | At this time would counsel please introduce |
| 8 | themselves and their colleagues, beginning with Petitioner? |
| 9 | MR. POLLACK: Good afternoon, Your Honors. |
| 10 | Stuart Pollack on behalf of SteadyMed Limited. I'm joined |
| 11 | here today by my colleague Maya Choksi from my office at |
| 12 | DLA Piper. |
| 13 | Also with me today is the CEO of SteadyMed, |
| 14 | Mr. Jonathan Rigby. Jonathan, if you could stand up for a |
| 15 | second. And also with us is David Nassif from SteadyMed. |
| 16 | David is an attorney. |
| 17 | JUDGE HARLOW: Thank you. For Patent |
| 18 | Owner? |
| 19 | MR. MAEBIUS: Good afternoon, Your Honors. |
| 20 | Steve Maebius for United Therapeutics, Patent Owner. And |
| 21 | with me I have George Quillin, also from Foley & Lardner. |
| 22 | And in the back here I have Shaun Snader, the |
| 23 | Chief Patent Counsel for United Therapeutics, and Doug |
| 24 | Carsten, co-counsel in this IPR. Bobby Delafield is |
| 25 | co-counsel in the IPR, and also from Wilson Sonsini. |



Case IPR2016-00006 Patent 8,497,393 B2

| 1 | JUDGE HARLOW: Thank you and welcome to the |
|----|--|
| 2 | Board. Before we turn to the business of today, we have a |
| 3 | little bit of housekeeping to take care of regarding yesterday's |
| 4 | objections to certain demonstrative exhibits that we just |
| 5 | wanted to put on the record. |
| 6 | As discussed yesterday, we considered the parties' |
| 7 | objections to each other's demonstratives, and ruled on the |
| 8 | objections as follows: Patent Owner's objection to |
| 9 | Petitioner's originally-filed demonstrative, slide number 11, |
| 10 | was sustained because Petitioner did not identify record |
| 11 | support for the diagram depicted on that slide. |
| 12 | Accordingly, we will expunge the originally-filed |
| 13 | demonstratives from the record, since Petitioner has already |
| 14 | re-filed its demonstratives excluding slide 11 which we will |
| 15 | use in today's proceeding. |
| 16 | The parties' remaining objections are overruled as |
| 17 | each of the disputed slides presented material that is of record |
| 18 | and each slide clearly identified the source of that material. |
| 19 | With regard to Patent Owner's concerns as to the |
| 20 | potential waiver of arguments, we note that any argument |
| 21 | concerning Phares figure 18 and Patent Owner's motion to |
| 22 | exclude has not been waived. |
| 23 | If there are no questions regarding that bit of |
| 24 | housekeeping we can turn to today's hearing. Consistent with |
| 25 | our prior order, each party will have 45 minutes to present its |



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

