FEBS LETTERS

SPECIFIC AND NON-SPECIFIC SUCCINIC SEMIALDEHYDE REDUCTASES FROM RAT BRAIN: ISOLATION AND PROPERTIES

J. F. RUMIGNY, M. MAITRE, C. CASH and P. MANDEL

Centre de Neurochimie du CNRS, and Unité 44 de L'INSERM, Faculté de Médecine, 11, Rue Humann, 67085 Strasbourg Cedex, France

> Received 2 June 1980 Revised version received 24 June 1980

1. Introduction

Succinic semialdehyde (SSA) is an intermediate of the 4-aminobutyrate shunt pathway (GABA-shunt). In mammals, this pathway is particularly important in the brain [1]; this was bypass calculated to account for 10% of the flux through the Krebs cycle [2]. In brain, SSA is primarly oxidized to succinate by a specific dehydrogenase which has been purified and characterized from several species (reviewed [3]). However, brain tissue can also reduce SSA to γ -hydroxybutyrate (GHB) [4] and recently the enzyme(s) responsible have been identified as NADPH-dependent aldehyde reductases [5]. The biosynthesis of GHB in brain tissue is of great interest as this compound which occurs naturally in the brain [6] induces anaesthesia when administered to man and animals in relatively large doses [7]. Although the degree to which this reductive pathway operates in vivo is not as yet known, the recent isolation from human brain of a fairly specific SSA reductase [8] and these similar results using rat brain as the enzyme source, strongly support the hypothesis that GHB biosynthesis may be a significant pathway of pharmacological interest.

2. Materials and methods

DOCKE.

Biogenic aromatic aldehydes were obtained by incubation of the parent amines with rat liver monoamine oxidase [9].

Address correspondence to: Dr Michel MAITRE, Centre de Neurochimie du CNRS, 11, Rue Humann, 67085 Strasbourg Cedex, France

Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press

2.1. Enzyme assays

For qualitative assays of column eluates, $50 \ \mu$ l enzyme samples were pipetted into tubes of cold 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7), containing 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 2×10^{-4} M SSA or *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde. The reaction was started by rapid addition of NADPH to 5×10^{-5} M final conc. in a 1 ml total vol. and subsequent incubation for 30 min at 37°C. Then the decrease in NADPH fluorescence in the samples was measured at excitation 355 nm and emission 470 nm.

Quantitative enzyme assays were performed by direct recording of the initial rate of NADPH oxidation at 37°C in a double beam spectrophotometer at 340 nm, assuming an absorbance of 6.22×10^3 for NADPH. The volume and composition of the incubation medium were identical to that described for the qualitative assay, except that the pH was 6.0 and the reference cuvette contained no aldehyde substrate. The reaction was started by addition of enzyme.

2.2. Enzyme extraction and purification

Adult Wistar rats (50) were stunned, decapitated and the brains rapidly removed and suspended in 400 ml final vol. of cold 2 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7) containing 1×10^{-4} M glutathione and 1×10^{-4} M phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride.

All subsequent operations were done at $\sim 4^{\circ}$ C. The suspension was homogenized at maximum speed for 3 min in a food blender. The homogenate was then centrifuged for 1 h at 30 000 × g and concentrated KCl solution was added to the resultant clear supernatant solution to 100 mM final conc.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Volume 117, number 1

FEBS LETTERS

2.3. Column chromatography

All rinsing and elution buffers contained 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 5 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.2) up to the two final chromatographic steps where the pH was 7.8. The supernatant was absorbed onto a 1.6×13 cm column of blue Sepharose (Pharmacia). The column was rinsed with phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) containing 100 mM KCl, and then with the same buffer minus the KCl. The outlet of the blue Sepharose column was then attached to a 1.6×14 cm column of DEAE-cellulose (Whatman DE 52). The blue Sepharose column was then eluted directly onto the DEAE-cellulose column with 100 ml of 1 \times 10⁻⁴ M Cibacron blue (Ciba-Geigy) dissolved in the above rinsing buffer. The dye is firmly retained as a narrow band at the top of the DEAE-cellulose column. The DEAE-cellulose column was separated from the blue Sepharose column and rinsed with buffer before elution with 400 ml linear gradient of 0-200 mM KCl in the same buffer. Fractions of 6 ml were collected. It is at this stage that two succinic semialdehyde reductases are separated and thus they will now be referred to as SSR 1 and SSR 2 which is the order in which they are eluted from the DEAE-cellulose column. Both enzymes were then treated separately but almost identically. The enzymes were concentrated to ~ 10 ml in an Amicon cell equipped with a PM 10 membrane, then diluted to ~100 ml and reconcentrated and rediluted in order to lower the KCl concentration. They were then adsorbed onto $1.6 \times$ 7 cm columns of QAE Sephadex (Pharmacia) columns. After rinsing with starting buffer, enzyme SSR 1 was eluted with a linear gradient of 200 ml 0-100 mM KCl, and enzyme SSR 2 with the same volume of 50-250 mM KCl. Fractions of 4 ml were collected. The final two chromatographic steps were carried out at pH 7.8 and were identical for each enzyme. The active fractions from the QAE Sephadex columns were concentrated to 5 ml and applied to a 2.6 \times 100 cm column of Sephadex G-150 (Pharmacia). Elution was carried out with potassium phosphate buffer 5 mM (pH 7.8) containing 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 6 ml fractions were collected. The active fractions were adsorbed directly onto a 0.9 X 16 cm column of 2',5'-ADP Sepharose (Pharmacia). After rinsing with potassium phosphate buffer 5 mM (pH 7.8) containing 1×10^{-4} M glutathione containing in addition 1×10^{-4} M glutathione, the enzymes were eluted with a linear gradient of 100 ml $0-1 \times 10^{-5}$ M NADP and collected in 3 ml fractions.

The pooled active fractions were stored at +4°C.

2.4. Protein determinations

The Folin method [10] was used up to the DEAE— Sepharose step and thence the densitometry of the stained protein bands on SDS gels. Bovine serum albumin was used as the standard.

2.5. Molecular weight determinations

These were determined using appropriate molecular weight markers by SDS-polyacrylamide gels [11] and non-denaturing gels of different polyacrylamide concentrations [12].

2.6. pH optima

These were measured for the two enzymes for both the forward and reverse reactions. For the forward reaction the standard reaction mixture was employed in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffers. For the reverse reaction, 100 mM phosphate buffer was used up to pH 8.0 and thereafter 100 mM borate buffers. The substrate concentrations were GHB = 10 mM and NADP = 5×10^{-4} M.

2.7. K_m values

For the forward reaction the $K_{\rm m}$ values for SSA were measured in the standard reaction medium (pH 6) containing 5×10^{-5} M NADP. The $K_{\rm m}$ values for NADPH were obtained similarly at a SSA concentration of 2×10^{-4} M. $K_{\rm m}$ values for GHB in the reverse reaction were measured in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.1) at 5×10^{-4} M NADP and the values for NADP were similarly determined at 1×10^{-2} M GHB.

2.8. Substrate specificities and inhibition

For both enzymes, various aldehydes at 2×10^{-4} M were substituted for SSA in the standard reaction medium at pH 6 and the relative initial reaction velocities compared. Potential inhibitors were similarly tested using 2×10^{-4} M SSA as substrate.

3. Results

Fig.1 shows the separation of 3 peaks of aldehyde reductase activity by DEAE-cellulose chromatography; the first peak (SSR 1) is active with both SSA and *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde. The second peak reduced only *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde whereas the third peak (SSR 2) was specific for SSA.

DOCKE.



Fig.1. DEAE-cellulose chromatography: (•) Activity with succinic semialdehyde; (•) Activity with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde; (•) A 254

| Fraction | Volume (ml) | Units /ml | Total units | Protein (mg/ml) | Units/ mg protein | Yield (%) | Purificatior (-fold) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| SSR 2 | | | | | | | |
| Supernatant | 268 | 49.2 | 13 186 | 9.21 | 5.34 | (100) | _ |
| + Blue Sepharose DEAE-cellulose | 95 | 26.9 | 2 5 5 6 | 0.105 | 256 | 19.4 | 48 |
| QAE-Sephadex | 59 | 35.3 | 2 083 | 0.0279 | 1 265 | 15.8 | 237 |
| Sephadex G-150 | 57 | 19.0 | 1 083 | 0.0104 | 1 827 | 8.2 | 342 |
| ADP-Sepharose | 10.5 | 60.3 | 633 | 0.0056 | 10 768 | 4.8 | 2 016 |
| SSR 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Supernatant | 280 | 56.5 | 15 820 | 7.21 | 7.84 | (100) | |
| + Blue Sepharose DEAE-cellulose | 50 | 270 | 13 500 | 0.056 | 4 821 | 85 | 615 |
| QAE-Sephadex | 50 | 197.6 | 9 880 | 0.017 | 11 624 | 62.5 | 1 483 |
| Sephadex G-150 | 90 | 77.6 | 6 984 | 0.005 | 15 520 | 44 | 1 980 |
| ADP-Sepharose | 18.7 | 360 | 6 7 3 2 | 0.020 | 18 000 | 42.5 | 2 297 |

Table 1 Purification of two SSA reductases from 50 rat brains

Units are 10^{-9} mol NADPH oxidized/min at $37^{\circ}C$

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Volume 117, number 1

Table 1 summarizes the results of a typical purification. Both purified enzymes migrated as single protein bands on SDS and non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels.

The molecular weights determined by SDS gel electrophoresis are, respectively, 50 000 for enzyme SSR 1 and 43 000 for enzyme SSR 2. The molecular weights of the native enzymes determined on polyacrylamide gels are, respectively, 54 000 and 45 000.

FEBS LETTERS

August 1980

The pH optima of enzyme SSR 1 are, respectively, 5.5 for SSA reduction and 8.7 for GHB dehydrogenation (reverse reaction). For enzyme SSR 2, these values are, respectively, 5.0 and 8.1. The $K_{\rm m}$ values determined under the above conditions are given in table 2. Table 3 shows the relative activities with various aldehyde substrates and table 4 compares the effect of various potential inhibitors on the two enzymes.

| | Table 2 K_m values expressed | n molarities | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| SSA | NADPH | GHB | | |

| | SSA | NADPH | GHB | NADP |
|-------|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| SSR 1 | 1.4×10^{-4} | 2.6×10^{-6} | $ \frac{1.5 \times 10^{-2}}{1.2 \times 10^{-2}} $ | 2.2×10^{-6} |
| SSR 2 | 2.8×10^{-5} | 2.4×10^{-6} | | 1.4×10^{-6} |

| Substrate | Relative activities | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | SSR 2 (Specific enzyme) | SSR 1 (Non-specific enzyme) | | |
| Succinic semialdehyde | 100 | 46.5 | | |
| 4-Carboxy benzaldehyde | nd | 100 | | |
| 2-Methyl succinic semialdehyde | 76.5 | 85 | | |
| 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde | nd | 62 | | |
| 3-Pyridine carboxaldehyde | nd | 32 | | |
| Glyoxal | nd | 12.5 | | |
| D-Lactaldehyde | nd | 9.2 | | |
| 3,4-Dihydroxyphenyl-acetaldehyde | nd | 8.9 | | |
| D-L-Glyceraldehyde | nd | 3.4 | | |
| Iso-phthalaldehyde | nd | 3.1 | | |
| 5-Hydroxyindolacetaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Propionaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Indolacetaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| 3-Methoxy-4-hydroxy-phenylglycol-aldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Hydroxyphenylglycolaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| 4-Anisaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| n-Valeraldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Phenyl-methyl-ketone | nd | nđ | | |
| Acetaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Benzaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde | nd | nd | | |
| Glucose | nd | nd | | |
| Lactose | nd | nd | | |
| Arabinose | nd | nd | | |
| Succinic semialdehyde/NADH | 20 | nd | | |
| | | | | |

Table 3 Substrate specificities

nd, not detected under our assay conditions

LARM

DOCKE.

Δ

Volume 117, number 1

FEBS LETTERS

August 1980

| Inhibitor ^a | Percent inhibition | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | SSR 2 Specific enzyme) | SSR 1 (Non-specific enzyme) | | |
| Barbiturates | | | | |
| Barbital | 0 | 80 | | |
| Pentobarbital | 0 | 76 | | |
| Phenobarbital | 0 | 72 | | |
| Amobarbital | 0 | 70 | | |
| Miscellaneous | | | | |
| 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde | 55 | 68 | | |
| Oxalate | 0 | 0 | | |
| Diphenylhydantoin ^b | 0 | 63 | | |
| Chlorpromazine | 29 | 43 | | |
| Рутаzol | 0 | 0 | | |
| Diazepam | 0 | 25 | | |
| 4-Hydroxybutyrate | 20 | 21 | | |
| Chioral | 0 | 19 | | |
| Valproate | 10 | 96 | | |

^a Final conc. 10^{-3} M; ^b Final conc. 2×10^{-4} M

4. Discussion

DOCKE.

RM

Enzyme SSR 1 exhibits a broad substrate specificity and is strongly inhibited by barbiturates and certain anticonvulsant drugs. It is thus similar to many NADPH-dependent aldehyde reductases (reviewed [13]). However, enzyme SSR 2 shows a fairly high degree of specificity for SSA, and unlike the first enzyme, it is not appreciably inhibited by the various hypnotics/anticonvulsants tested, including valproate. This enzyme is thus similar to the SSA reductase isolated from human brain [8].

As valproate is an inhibitor of rat brain SSADH [14], its administration might raise the endogenous level of SSA. If this is the case, it could explain the recent finding that administration of valproate to rats brings about some increase in cerebral GHB levels [15] since we have shown that one of the enzymes capable of reducing SSA to GHB is not significantly inhibited by this drug. However, it is unlikely that GHB formation contributes to the anticonvulsant effect of valproate, since administration of this drug to rats has been shown to antagonise the epileptiform electrocorticogram patterns elicited by GHB administration [16].

In view of the multiple effects of GHB on the central nervous system (reviewed [17]) the finding of an enzyme in brain which is apparently specific for its biosynthesis is of great interest.

Acknowledgement

This work has been partially supported by a grant from INSERM (ATP no. 81.79.113).

References

- Baxter, C. F. (1970) Handbook of neurochemistry (Lajtha, A. ed) vol. 3, pp. 289-353, Plenum Press, New York.
- [2] Patel, A. J., Balazs, R. and Richter, D. (1970) Nature 226, 1160-1161.
- [3] Cash, C., Maitre, M., Ciesielski, L. and Mandel, P. (1979) GABA – Biochemistry and CNS functions (Mandel, P. and DeFeudis, F. eds) pp. 93-100, Plenum Press, New York.
- [4] Fishbein, W. and Bessman, P. (1964) J. Biol. Chem. 239, 357-361.
- [5] Tabakoff, B. and Von Wartburg, J. P. (1975) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 63, 957-966.
- [6] Doherty, J. D., Hattox, S. E., Snead, O. C. and Roth, R. H. (1978) J. Pharmac. Exp. Ther. 207, 130-139.
- [7] Laborit, H., Jouany, J. M., Gérard, J. and Fabiani, P. (1961) Neuropsychopharmacol. 2, 490-497.

DOCKET



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

