

By: Qurio Holdings, Inc.  
Robert Renke  
4011 WestChase Blvd, Suite 110  
Raleigh, NC 27607  
(919) 532-7665 (telephone)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DIRECTV, LLC  
Petitioner

v.

QURIO HOLDINGS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-02005  
Patent 7,787,904

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	BACKGROUND OF THE ‘904 PATENT .....	1
III.	A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	8
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	9
A.	“WPAN” .....	10
B.	“mobile device” .....	12
C.	“media device” .....	12
D.	“media database” .....	13
V.	THE PETITION FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	14
A.	Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 are not obvious in view of DeVet and Vidal .....	14
1.	Summary of DeVet .....	14
2.	Summary of Vidal.....	15
3.	There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of DeVet and Vidal .....	16
B.	Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 are not obvious in view of Morse and Holloway .....	20
1.	Summary of Morse.....	21
2.	Summary of Holloway .....	21
3.	There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of Morse and Holloway .....	22
C.	Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 are not obvious in view of NetRemote and RX3000 .....	26
1.	Summary of NetRemote .....	27
2.	Summary of RX3000 .....	27
3.	Petitioner has not sufficiently proven that RX3000 is a prior art printed publication .....	28

4.	Petitioner has not sufficiently proven that the NetRemote Webpage (Ex. 1011) is a prior art printed publication .....	30
5.	There Is No Reasonable Likelihood That Claims 1-3, 10, 12, and 15-18 Would Have Been Obvious Over the Combination of NetRemote and RX3000.....	31
VI.	PETITIONER PRESENTS REDUNDANT GROUNDS .....	35
A.	Ground 1 Based On DeVet and Vidal, Ground 2 Based On Morse and Holloway, and Ground 3 Based On NetRemote and RX3000 Are Horizontally Redundant Relative to Each Other .....	36
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	37

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Bicon Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> , 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	9
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359. (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	9
<i>Endo Pharmaceutical, Inc. v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00656 .....	9
<i>In re Hall</i> , 781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....	28
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	9
<i>In re Wyer</i> , 655 F. 2d 221 (CCPA 1981).....	28
<i>Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. ITC</i> , 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	28
<i>Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Progressive Casualty Insurance Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003.....	35
<i>Macronix Int’l v. Spansion LLC</i> , IPR2014-00106 .....	9
<i>Merck &amp; Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Microsoft Corporation v. Depomed, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00292 .....	10
<i>Oracle Corp. v. Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013-00088 .....	36
<i>Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors, Inc.</i> , 508 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	10
<i>Universal Remote Control, Inc. v. Universal Electronics, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00152 .....	9

### Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 311(b) .....	28
35 U.S.C. § 313 .....	1
35 U.S.C. § 326(b) .....	35
35 U.S.C. §314(a) .....	14

### Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) .....	35
37 C.F.R. § 42.107 .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).....	35
37 C.F.R. §42.100(b) .....	9

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

### Existing Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,787,904 B2 to Issa
1003	De Vet et al, "A personal digital assistant as an advanced remote control for audio/video equipment" from the Second Workshop on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices, 1999
1004	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0193426 A1 to Vidal
1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0057538 A1 to Morse <i>et al.</i>
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0041655 A1 to Holloway <i>et al.</i>
1008	NetRemote LE Installation Guide for J. River Media Center
1009	NetRemote LE Network Configuration Guide
1010	NetRemote LE Setup Guide
1011	Proximis NetRemote Webpage
1012	User's Guide for HP iPAQ rx3000 series Mobile Media Companion
1013	Declaration of Tal Lavian, Ph.D.
1016	Declaration of Lisa Gade
1017	Declaration of Christopher Butler

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.