

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-02001
Patent No. 8,225,408

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE ‘408 PATENT	4
A. Overview	4
B. Challenged Claims	5
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	6
A. “parse tree” (all challenged claims):	6
B. “dynamically building . . . while said receiving receives the incoming stream” (all claims):	7
C. “dynamically detecting, while said dynamically building builds the parse tree” (all claims):.....	9
D. “instantiating . . . a scanner for the specific programming language” (claims 1 and 22):.....	10
IV. SPECIFIC REASONS WHY THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT INVALIDATE THE CLAIMS, AND WHY INTER PARTES REVIEW SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED.....	11
A. THE BOARD SHOULD NOT INSTITUTE TRIAL AS THE PETITION IS PLAINLY DEFECTIVE ON ITS FACE	12
1. The Board Should Deny the Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.20(c), 42.22(a)(2), and 42.104(b)(4).....	12
2. The Board Should Also Deny Instituting Trial Because Petitioner Cannot Rely on Impermissible Incorporation by Reference To Cure Its Defective Petition	15
B. Ground 1: Chandnani in view of Kolawa Does Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	18

1.	Chandnani in view of Kolawa Does not Disclose “receiving, by a computer, an incoming stream of program code” (all challenged claims)	21
2.	Chandnani in view of Kolawa Does not Disclose “instantiating a scanner for the specific programming language, in response to said determining” (claims 1 and 22).....	22
3.	Chandnani in view of Kolawa Does not Disclose “dynamically building, by the computer while said receiver receives the incoming stream, a parse tree whose nodes represent tokens and patterns in accordance with the parser rules” (all challenged claims).....	23
	(a) Chandnani does not Disclose Building the Claimed Parse Tree	24
	(b) Chandnani in view of Kolawa does not Disclose Dynamically Building a Parse Tree.....	25
4.	Chandnani in view of Kolawa Does not Disclose “dynamically detecting, by the computer while said dynamically building builds the parse tree, combinations of nodes in the parse tree which are indicators of potential exploits, based on the analyzer rules” (all challenged claims).....	27
C.	Ground 2: Chandnani in view of Kolokawa and Walls Does Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103	30
	1. Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Walls Does not Disclose “receiving, by a computer, an incoming stream of program code” (all challenged claims).....	31
	2. Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Walls Does not Disclose “instantiating a scanner for the specific programming language, in response to said determining” (claims 1 and 22).....	32
	3. Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Walls Does not Disclose “dynamically building, by the computer while	

said receiver receives the incoming stream, a parse tree whose nodes represent tokens and patterns in accordance with the parser rules” (all challenged claims).....32

4. Chandnani in view of Kolawa and Walls Does not Disclose “dynamically detecting, by the computer while said dynamically building builds the parse tree, combinations of nodes in the parse tree which are indicators of potential exploits, based on the analyzer rules” (all challenged claims).....34

V. PETITIONER’S OBVIOUSNESS ARGUMENTS FAIL AS A MATTER OF LAW BECAUSE IT DID NOT CONDUCT A COMPLETE OBVIOUSNESS ANALYSIS.....36

VI. CONCLUSION.....38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'n, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	33
<i>Apple Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 725 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	35
<i>Aventis Pharms. Inc. v. Amino Chems. Ltd.</i> , 715 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	11
<i>BAE Sys. Information and Electronic Sys. Integration, Inc. v. Cheetah Omni, LLC</i> , Case IPR2013-00175	13
<i>In re Baxter Int'l</i> , 678 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	6
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00454	17, 18, 19, 20
<i>Corning Incorp. v. Danjou's DSM IP Assets B.V.</i> , Case No. IPR2013-00043	29
<i>DeSilva v. DiLeonardi</i> , 181 F.3d 865 (7th Cir. 1999)	17
<i>EMC Corp, v. Secure Axxess, LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-00475	16
<i>EMC Corp. v. Secure Axxess, LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00475	23, 24
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.</i> , Case No. 14-cv-04908-PJH (N.D. Cal.)	39
<i>Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.</i> , Case No. 13-cv-05808-HSG, Dkt. No. 267 (N.D. Cal.)	39

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.