

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC.,
2K SPORTS, INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC., and
BUNGIE, INC.,

Petitioners

v.

ACCELERATION BAY, LLC,

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01996¹
Patent Number 6,829,634 B1

Before the Honorable SALLY C. MEDLEY, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and
WILLIAM M. FINK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONERS' CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF THE DEFAULT PROTECTIVE ORDER AND
TO SEAL PATENT OWNER RESPONSE AND CERTAIN EXHIBITS
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54**

¹ Bungie, Inc., who filed a Petition in IPR2016-00964, has been joined as a
petitioner in this proceeding.

The undersigned on behalf of, and acting in a representative capacity for, Petitioners Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., 2K Sports, Inc., Rockstar Games, Inc., and Bungie, Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”) hereby oppose in part Patent Owner’s Motion for Entry of the Default Protective Order and to Seal Patent Owner Response and Certain Exhibits Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54 (Paper 35). Petitioners do not oppose the entry of the Board’s Default Protective Order, but Petitioners do object to Patent Owner’s request, under that order, to seal the entirety of each document allegedly containing confidential information without submitting a redacted version such that the non-confidential information contained therein can be part of the public record, and without making the required showing of good cause for sealing the entirety of each document subject to Patent Owner’s motion. In particular, Patent Owner has filed under seal the entirety of its Patent Owner Response (Paper 33) and multiple declarations and exhibits thereto. *See* Paper 35.

The Board has confirmed, in both its regulations and its orders, the clear intent that information in PTAB proceedings – including, in particular, information that impacts the Board’s deliberations – is to remain public. *See, e.g.*, 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 (“The record of a proceeding, including documents and things, shall be made available to the public, except as otherwise ordered.”); Paper 9, Scheduling Order, §A.3 (“Redactions should be limited strictly to isolated passages consisting

entirely of confidential information. The thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted version.”). This policy certainly pertains, for example, to Patent Owner’s Response, which must set forth the entirety of Patent Owner’s substantive arguments here (Paper 9 at 5), but which Patent Owner proposes to keep from the public record in its entirety, without justification for doing so.

Indeed, the Default Protective Order itself, which Patent Owner seeks to have entered in this matter, requires that “[w]here confidentiality is alleged as to some but not all of the information submitted to the Board, the submitting party shall file confidential and nonconfidential versions of its submission, together with a Motion to Seal the confidential version setting forth the reasons why the information redacted from the non-confidential version is confidential and should not be made available to the public.” Paper 35 (Proposed Protective Order) at 4.A.i. Again, Petitioners do not oppose entry of the Protective Order attached to Paper 35 – but they do believe the Board should insist that the requirements of the Protective Order be followed.

Petitioners raised this issue with Patent Owner by email dated July 26, 2016, and during a meet and confer teleconference on August 2, 2016, requesting that Patent Owner file versions of the documents at issue with redactions appropriately

limited to actual confidential information, and citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 and Section A.3 of the Scheduling Order in this proceeding (Paper 9). Patent Owner nonetheless stated during these discussions that it was not aware of any authority requiring the filing of redacted versions of these documents.

Accordingly, Petitioners oppose Patent Owner's Motion to the extent Patent Owner seeks the unsupported, wholesale sealing in their entirety of the documents that are the subject of its Motion, rather than only those portions that are actually shown to be confidential information, and refuses to file redacted versions of the sealed documents that limit redactions to that confidential information.

Respectfully submitted by:

Dated: August 18, 2016

/J. Steven Baughman/

J. Steven Baughman (lead counsel)
Reg. No. 47,414
Ropes & Gray LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington D.C. 20006-6807
P: 202-508-4606 / F: 202-383-8371
steven.baughman@ropesgray.com

Andrew Thomases (backup counsel)
Reg. No. 40,841
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1900 University Ave., 6th Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
P: 650-617-4712 / F: 650-566-4275
andrew.thomases@ropesgray.com

James L. Davis, Jr. (backup counsel)
Reg. No. 57,325
Ropes & Gray LLP
1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
P: 650-617-4794/F: 650-566-4147
james.l.davis@ropesgray.com

*Counsel for Petitioners Activision
Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc.,
Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.,
2K Sports, Inc., and Rockstar
Games, Inc.*

Michael T. Rosato
Andrew S. Brown
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH
& ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036
mrosato@wsgr.com
asbrown@wsgr.com

Counsel for Petitioner Bungie, Inc.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.