

For the Petitioner

Paper No. ____

Lead counsel: James T. Carmichael, Reg. No. 45,306

Backup counsel: Carol A. Spiegel, Reg. No. 68,033

Carmichael IP, PLLC

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS V LLC;
HAYMAN CREDES MASTER FUND, L.P.;
HAYMAN ORANGE FUND SPC – PORTFOLIO A;
HAYMAN CAPITAL MASTER FUND, L.P.;
HAYMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND, L.P.;
HAYMAN OFFSHORE MANAGEMENT, INC.;
HAYMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC;
NXN PARTNERS, LLC;
IP NAVIGATION GROUP, LLC;
J KYLE BASS, and ERICH SPANGENBERG,
Petitioners,

v.

BIOGEN MA INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01993
Patent 8,399,514 B2

PETITIONER REPLY TO BIOGEN OPPOSITION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	3
III. ORDINARY MEANING OF DOSING TERMS	4
IV. BIOGEN IS NOT ENTITLED TO THE EARLIER 2007 FILING DATE OF ITS PROVISIONAL APPLICATION 60/888,921	4
A. The ‘921 Provisional Does Not Provide Written Descriptive Support for the ‘514 Patent Claims.....	5
1. The ‘921 provisional fails to describe DMF or MMF being therapeutically effective when administered in a dosage of about 480 mg/day to an MS patient.....	6
2. The ‘921 provisional does not describe a dosage of about 480 mg/day administered in 2, 3, 4 or 6 equal doses.....	11
B. The ‘921 Provisional Does Not Enable the ‘514 Patent Claims.....	12
V. JOSHI ’999 CANNOT BE DISQUALIFIED AS PRIOR ART UNDER §103(c)(1)	13
VI. KAPPOS 2006 IS PRIOR ART UNDER §102(b)	14
VII. THE ‘514 PATENT CLAIMS ARE OBVIOUS	15
A. Overview of the Primary References.....	15
B. POSA Would Have Selected a Dosage of About 480 mg/day DMF.....	17
1. ICH Guideline Suggests Conventional Dose-Response Study.....	17
2. ICH Guideline Emphasizes Dose-Response Function.....	18

3. ICH Guideline is Applied in Combination with Kappos 2006, Joshi ‘999
and ClinicalTrials..... 19

4. Safety Concerns Provide Motivation for About 480 mg/day 20

C. POSA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation a Dosage of About 480
mg/day Would Be Successful 22

VIII. ASSERTED SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS DO NOT OVERCOME
THE PRIMA FACIE OBVIOUSNESS 24

IX. CONCLUSION..... 26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Atofina v. Great Lakes Chemical Corp.</i> , 441 F.3d 991, 1000 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	7
<i>In re Deuel</i> , 51 F.3d 1552, 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	19
<i>KSR International Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398, 421 (2007)	19, 20
<i>Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565, 1571-72 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	11
<i>Streck, Inc. v. Research and Diagnostic Systems, Inc.</i> , 665 F.3d 1269, 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	5
<i>In re Ruschig</i> , 379 F.3d 990, 995 (CCPA 1967)	7
<i>In re Wands</i> , 858 F.2d 731, 737 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	12
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §102	13, 14
35 U.S.C. §103	1, 13, 14, 20
35 U.S.C. §112	5, 10
35 U.S.C. §119	4, 5
35 U.S.C. §120	4

Other Authorities

Recro Tech., LLC v Purdue Pharma L.P.,
Interference 106,022, Paper 243 at 24 (April 29, 2016)..... 7

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.