	Page 1	
1	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
2	x	
3	LG ELECTRONICS, INC.; LG :	
	ELECTRONICS USA, INC.; LG :	
4	ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM USA, INC. : Case No.	
	vs. : IPR2015-01984	
5	CORE WIRELESS : Patent No.	
	x8,434,020	
6	LG ELECTRONICS, INC.; LG :	
	ELECTRONICS USA, INC.; LG :	
7	ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMMUSA, INC. : Case No.	
	vs. : IPR2015-01985	
8	CORE WIRELESS : Patent No.	
9	x 8,713,476	
10	Washington, D.C.	
11	Wednesday, September 7, 2016	
12	Deposition of:	
13	SCOTT DENNING	
14	Called for oral examination by counsel for LG	
15	Electronics, pursuant to notice, at the law	
16	offices of Greenberg Trauig, 1750 Tysons	
17	Boulevard, Suite 1000, McLean, Virginia, before	
18	Denise M. Brunet, RPR, a Notary Public in and for	
19	the Commonwealth of Virginia, beginning at	
20	9:58 a.m., when were present on behalf of the	
21	respective parties:	
2.2		



	Page 2	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1 PROCEEDINGS
2		2 WHEREUPON,
3	On behalf of LG Electronics:	3 SCOTT DENNING,
4	NICHOLAS A. BROWN, ESQUIRE	4 called as a witness, and after having been first
5	Greenberg Trauig, LLP	5 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6	Four Embarcadero Center	6 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR LG ELECTRONICS
7	Suite 3000	7 BY MR. BROWN:
8	San Francisco, California 94111	8 Q Good morning, Mr. Denning.
9	(415) 655-1271	9 A Good morning.
10	brownn@gtlaw.com	10 Q Can you please state your full name for
11	<del>o</del>	11 the record.
12	On behalf of Core Wireless:	12 A Scott Andrew Denning.
13	WAYNE HELGE, ESQUIRE	13 Q And what is your current address?
14	WALTER D. DAVIS, JR., ESQUIRE	14 A 11855 Windmill Road, Colorado Springs,
15	Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey, LLP	15 Colorado, 80908.
16	8300 Greensboro Drive	16 MR. HELGE: Nick, just to jump in here,
17	Suite 500	17 my understanding is we're here for deposition on
18	McLean, Virginia 22102	18 LG Electronics, Inc., v. Core Wireless Licensing
19	(571) 765-7709	19 S.A.R.L., which is IPR 2015-01984 and 01985; is
20	whelge@dbjg.com	20 that correct?
21	where e dojg.com	21 MR. BROWN: Yes.
22		22 BY MR. BROWN:
	Dona 2	
1	Page 3 CONTENTS	Page 5  1 Q You understand you're here for a
	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE:	2 deposition in the two IPRs that your counsel just
3	Counsel for LG Electronics 4	3 described; is that right?
	Counsel for Core Wireless 179	4 A Yes.
	Counsel for LG Electronics 186	5 Q And you submitted a declaration in each
6	Counsel for Ed Electronics 180	6 of those two IPRs?
	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: PAGE:	7 A Yes.
	Exhibit A U.S. Patent 8,434,020 9	
	Exhibit B U.S. Patent 6,415,164 10	8 Q And a copy of that declaration is in 9 front of you?
		10 A Yes.
	Exhibit 1010 Excerpt from 12/99 issue of Popular	11 Q And it's marked as Exhibit 2001 in those
11	Science 130	
	Exhibit 1011 User's guide from R380s	12 IPRs down in the bottom right-hand corner?
13	smartphone 132	13 A Yes.
	Exhibit 1012 Press release dated 3/18/99 137	14 Q If you turn to page 40. That's your
	Exhibit 1013 Press release from EE Times	15 signature?
16		16 A Yes.
17		17 Q And you signed this declaration on
18		18 June 30th, 2016?
19	* '	19 A Yes.
20		Q Attached to the end of your declaration,
21		21 after page 40, is what appears to be your CV. Is
22		22 that, in fact, your CV?

2 (Pages 2 - 5)



Page 8 Page 6 1 Yeah. That is a version of my CV. 1 MR. HELGE: Okay. 2 2 Is that a current version of your CV? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I wasn't allowed to 3 A No. post them on my CV until they had been. 4 Was this the current version of your CV 4 MR. HELGE: Okay. Just wanted to make 5 as of June 30th, 2016? 5 sure. Yes. 6 6 BY MR. BROWN: 7 O What has changed about your CV since 7 Q So you filed declarations in a matter 8 then? involving Alarm.com and Vivant; is that right? 9 A On the first page, under inter partes 9 That's correct. 10 review declarations, there are a couple more that 10 And in that -- was it a single IPR? 11 have been added. Also under the expert services 11 Several IPRs? 12 retainers, there's some additional listings there. 12 A There are several. 13 Q The first one you identified was the IPR 13 And on behalf of which party did you 14 declarations; is that right? 14 submit a declaration? 15 Yes. Α 15 A Vivant. What has been added there? 16 Q 16 Q Other than these Vivant IPRs, are there 17 A I'm sorry. I've been thinking about this 17 any other additional matters that are added to 18 case for so long now, I'm not able to think of the 18 your CV in comparison to the one that we have 19 others that I've been working on before. 19 attached to your declaration here? Q Okay. So there are some other IPRs other 20 A I don't recall any others. 21 than this case that you've added here, but you I've handed you a copy of U.S. patent 22 can't remember them right now? 22 8,434,020. Do you recognize this -- and you Page 7 Page 9 1 That's correct. 1 understand that patents are typically referred to Q Okay. And you said there were some 2 by their last three digits? 3 things added to expert services retainers. Do you 3 Α Yes. 4 remember what those are? MR. HELGE: I note that this one is not A They go together. 5 marked with an exhibit number. There should have 5 6 Q Oh, they go together? 6 been an Exhibit 1001 already of record in the 7 7 case. Yeah. 8 Do you remember anything about the IPR 8 MR. BROWN: I'll stipulate that this 9 declarations, for example, the area of technology patent is Exhibit 1001 in each case. I think you 10 or anything like that? 10 might remember that there are two different -- the 11 A Yes, I do. It's alarm-related. 11 reason I'm using the one without the exhibit 12 Q Alarm-related? 12 number is that it applies in each case. A Yes. Actually, that gave me the clue 13 MR. HELGE: Do we need to have the 14 there. So the case is actually Alarm.com versus 14 reporter mark this? 15 Vivant, V-I-V-A-N-T. 15 MR. BROWN: Sure, we can mark it as 16 Q Which party --16 Exhibit 1001. 17 MR. HELGE: Nick, can I jump in real 17 MR. HELGE: Well, I would not use the

3 (Pages 6 - 9)

18 same number that's already a record in the case.

MR. BROWN: That's fine. Can we mark

(Deposition Exhibit Letter A was marked

Maybe Exhibit A or something like that.



18 quick?

MR. BROWN: Sure.

21 those declarations had actually been filed.

THE WITNESS: They have.

MR. HELGE: Just because I'm not sure if

19

20

22

19

20

22

21 this as Exhibit A?

Page 10 Page 12

- 1 for identification.)
- 2 BY MR. BROWN:
- 3 Q Do you recognize this as a copy of the
- 4 '020 patent?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q I also have a copy of U.S. patent
- 7 6,415,164 to Blanchard.
- 8 MR. HELGE: And let's do the same --
- 9 MR. BROWN: Do you want to do the same
- 10 thing?
- 11 MR. HELGE: Please. Let's do the same
- 12 thing.
- MR. BROWN: Okay. Could we mark this as
- 14 Exhibit B.
- 15 (Deposition Exhibit Letter B was marked
- 16 for identification.)
- 17 BY MR. BROWN:
- 18 Q Mr. Denning, do you recognize this as a
- 19 copy of the Blanchard patent that you have offered
- 20 opinions about in your declaration?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q If you could turn to your declaration at

- 1 software is implemented with monolithic
- 2 instructions, or an operating program as discussed
- 3 by Oommen, and that these instructions would
- 4 include subroutines, perhaps dynamically linked as
- 5 Oommen describes, that can be called to perform
- 6 various features of the operating program."
- 7 Correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Let me give you a copy of what has been
- 10 previously marked as Exhibit 2009 in both IPRs.
- 11 This is a copy of U.S. patent 6,993,328 to Oommen,
- 12 and that is the Oommen that you're referring to in
- 13 your declaration, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q So in your opinion, Blanchard is
- 16 describing a phone that would use the type of
- 17 software that's described in Oommen as opposed to
- 18 applications; is that correct?
- 19 MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 BY MR. BROWN:
- 22 Q What is the difference between the type

Page 11

- 1 page 24. Actually, why don't we go back to
- 2 page 22, paragraph 43. You state near the bottom
- 3 of that paragraph, starting four lines from the
- 4 bottom, "Blanchard never discloses that the
- 5 features shown in these screens are implemented
- 6 with applications."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q So it's your opinion that Blanchard
- 10 doesn't describe the use of applications, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q If you turn to paragraph 46 on page 24,
- 13 you produced figure 1 from Blanchard at the top of
- 14 that page, correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Then near the bottom of page 24, you
- 17 state, "If any conclusion could be reached by a
- 18 POSITA" -- let's stop there for a second. That's
- 19 an acronym that refers to a person of ordinary
- 20 skill in the art; is that correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 O Go on -- "it would be that Blanchard

- of software that's described in Oommen and the
- 2 applications that you say are not present in
- 3 Blanchard or Oommen?
- 4 A Oommen describes a software architecture
- 5 that would be considered as monolithic by one
- 6 skilled in the art, that meaning -- monolithic
- 7 being an all-inclusive, one application, meaning
- 8 that there are various levels of subroutines and
- 9 functions that are all included in a single large
- 10 executable program.
- 11 This monolithic program in the case of
- 12 Blanchard includes functions for contacts. It
- 13 includes functions for text or dialing a phone,
- 14 various things like that, that are all included
- 15 within this same program.
- The other way of designing software is to
- 17 use application operating system type architecture
- 18 where the operating system manages the lower level
- 19 functions of the phone and various applications
- 20 sit on top of that. The applications can each be
- 21 launched individually. They're executed and
- 22 loaded one by one. An operating system is capable

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Page 13



Page 14

1 Do you see that one?

- 1 of executing multiple applications simultaneously,
- 2 typically. In a monolithic software architecture,
- 3 there is only one program running ever.
- Q I believe you said in Blanchard there are
- 5 functions for contacts, dialing a phone and text
- 6 messages; is that accurate?
- 7 A I believe that's what I said.
- 3 Q And do you believe it's accurate that a
- 9 person of ordinary skill in the art would
- 10 understand that the phone in Blanchard had
- 11 functions for dialing the phone, sending text
- 12 messages and displaying contacts?
- 13 MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Well, Blanchard itself
- 15 never goes into details of exactly what is
- 16 implemented where. You can just kind of look at
- 17 the figures and determine some of the things that
- 18 he had in mind that would be implemented with this
- 19 invention.
- 20 BY MR. BROWN:
- 21 Q Well, if you look at figure 3, for
- 22 example -- actually, let's back up. Let's start

- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And it has a book icon highlighted in the

Page 16

Page 17

- 4 top row. Do you see that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And then the first line of text says,
- 7 "Phone book"?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And then there's another line of text
- 10 with a filled-in oval next to it that says, "View
- 11 all." Do you see that?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q A person of ordinary skill in the art
- 14 would understand that selecting that "view all"
- 15 option would show you the entries in the phone
- 16 book, right?
- 17 MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: You know, I don't know that
- 19 you can say that.
- 20 BY MR. BROWN:
- 21 Q In your opinion, what would a person of
- 22 ordinary skill in the art understand would happen

Page 15

- 1 with figure 2. Figure 2 shows a phone, correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And using the phone shown in figure 2, a
- 4 person of ordinary skill in the art would
- 5 understand that you could call a phone number.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And you could receive a phone call,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So those would be functions available
- 11 within the phone in Blanchard?
- MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- 13 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 14 BY MR. BROWN:
- 15 Q To address your counsel's objection, is
- 16 it correct that dialing a phone number is a
- 17 function available within the phone in Blanchard?
- 18 MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. BROWN:
- 21 Q And if you look at figure 3, there's a
- 22 series of screens, and one of them is marked 320.

- 1 if you selected this "view all" option that's
- 2 highlighted in screen 320?
- 3 MR. HELGE: Objection.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I just don't know that I'm
- 5 given enough information to make assumptions about
- 6 what the menu items on this does. For example,
- 7 there could be multiple phone books. Maybe I'm
- 8 going to view all the phone books. I mean, I
- 9 don't know. I can't say what this particular
- 10 function does.
- 11 BY MR. BROWN:
- 12 Q I think you said before that Blanchard
- 13 describes a function for contacts. Do you
- 14 remember that?
- 15 MR. HELGE: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 BY MR. BROWN:
- 18 Q Can you point out in Blanchard what the
- 19 function is for contacts that you were thinking
- 20 of?
- 21 A No, I can't.
- 22 Q In your opinion, could a person of

5 (Pages 14 - 17)



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

