

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01984
Patent 8,434,020 B2

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S REPLY
EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Patent Owner objects to the reply evidence of Petitioner filed and served on September 30, 2016 for the reasons set forth below:

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1010	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit is not established to be prior art, and contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; exhibit not authenticated
1011	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit is not established to be prior art, and contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; exhibit not authenticated
1012	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit is not established to be prior art, and contains hearsay (including alleged release date) not subject to any exception; exhibit not authenticated

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1013	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit is not established to be prior art, and contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; exhibit not authenticated
1014	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit is not established to be prior art, and contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; exhibit not authenticated
1015	¶¶ 13-18	FRE 402/403, 702/703, 801, 901	Opinions rely on unauthenticated exhibits that are not relevant to any issue in this proceeding, not established to be prior art and contain hearsay not subject to any exception; Dr. Rhyne does not establish that Exs. 1010-1013 are documents that a POSITA would have relied upon at the relevant time.

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1015	¶¶ 40-46	FRE 402/403, 702/703, 801, 901	Opinions rely on unauthenticated exhibits that are not relevant to any issue in this proceeding, not established to be prior art and contain hearsay not subject to any exception; Dr. Rhyne does not establish that Ex. 1014 is a document that a POSITA would have relied upon at the relevant time.

Dated: October 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Wayne M. Helge

Wayne M. Helge (Reg. No. 56,905)

Walter D. Davis (Reg. No. 45,137)

DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY,
LLP

8300 Greensboro Drive, Suite 500

McLean, VA 22102

Telephone: 571-765-7700

Fax: 571-765-7200

Email: whelge@dbjg.com

Email: wdavis@dbjg.com

Counsel for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 7, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing *Patent Owner's Objections to Petitioner's Reply Evidence* is being filed and served via email, by consent, to the Petitioner at the correspondence e-mail addresses of record as follows:

Herbert H. Finn	LG-CoreWireless-IPR@gtlaw.com
Richard D. Harris	finnh@gtlaw.com
Eric J. Maiers	harrisr@gtlaw.com
Kevin Kudlac	maierse@gtlaw.com
Nicholas A. Brown	kudlack@gtlaw.com
Ashkon Cyrus	brownn@gtlaw.com
Greenberg Traurig, LLP	cyrusa@gtlaw.com
77 W. Wacker Dr., Suite 3100	
Chicago, IL 60601	

By: /s/ Wayne M. Helge
USPTO Reg. No. 56,905
Counsel for Patent Owner