	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		
	BEFORE THE PATENT AND APPEAL BOARD		
2			
	:		
3	LG ELECTRONICS, INC., :		
	:		
4	Petitioner, :		
	:		
5	VS. : Case IPR2015-01984		
	:		
6	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING : Patent 8,434,020		
	S.A.R.L.,		
7	:		
	Patent Owner. :		
8	:		
9			
10			
11	ORAL DEPOSITION OF		
12	V. THOMAS RHYNE, PhD		
13	APRIL 28, 2016		
14			
15	ORAL DEPOSITION OF V. THOMAS RHYNE, PhD,		
16	produced as a witness at the instance of the Patent		
17	Owner and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and		
18	numbered cause on the 28th day of April, 2016, from		
19	9:04 a.m. to 1:05 p.m., before Marsha Yarberry,		
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of		
21	Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices of		
22	Greenberg Traurig, LLP, 300 West 6th Street,		
23	Suite 2050, Austin, Texas, pursuant to 37 C.F.R.		
24	Section 42.53 and the provisions stated on the record		



Page 2
APPEARANCES
FOR THE PETITIONER:
MR. KEVIN KUDLAC
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
300 West 6th Street, Suite 2050
Austin, Texas 78701
512-320-7277
kudlack@gtlaw.com
and
MR. HERBERT H. FINN (Via Telephone)
MR. ERIC MAIERS (Via Telephone)
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100
Chicago, Illinois 60601
312-456-8400
finnh@gtlaw.com
maierse@gtlaw.com
FOR THE PATENT OWNER:
MR. WAYNE HELGE
DAVIDSON BERQUIST JACKSON & GOWDEY, LLP
8300 Greensboro Drive, Suite 500
McLean, Virginia 22102
571-765-7708
whelge@dbjg.com
ALSO PRESENT:
Mr. Kenneth Liu
Mr. Nicholas Brown



	v. Homas Kirjine , 1 hb	710111 20, 2010
		Page 3
1	INDEX	
_		PAGE
2		
	Appearances	2
3		
4	V. THOMAS RHYNE, PhD	
5	Examination by Mr. Helge	4
	Examination by Mr. Kudlac	114
6	Further Examination by Mr. Helge	
7	Changes and Corrections	
8	Signature	128
9	Reporter's Certificate	
10		
11	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS	
12		
13	NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE/LINE REFE	ERENCED
14		
	Exhibit 1001, Patent '020	8/10
15		
	Exhibit 1001, Patent '476	8/12
16		
	Exhibit 1002	37/2
17		
	Exhibit 1004, Declaration, '476	101/2
18		
	Exhibit 1004, Declaration, '020	104/3
19		
20		
21	(Exhibits attached to transcript)	
22		
23		
24		



Page 4

- 1 V. THOMAS RHYNE, PhD,
- 2 | having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
- 3 EXAMINATION
- 4 QUESTIONS BY MR. HELGE:
 - Q. Good morning, Dr. Rhyne.
- 6 A. Good morning, Mr. Helge.
- 7 Q. You have been deposed a number of times
- 8 before, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.

5

- 10 Q. And you were deposed yesterday in another IPR
- 11 | matter, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Were you provided with a list of standard
- 14 deposition rules yesterday?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you need me to go over standard
- deposition rules for -- for example, that we need to
- 18 have an oral response to the questions, head nodding is
- 19 | not enough because of the record here?
- 20 A. I think you don't need to provide me with the
- 21 rules.
- 22 Q. Okay. You understand those rules pretty well?
- 23 A. Well, if I fail to follow them, just point it
- 24 out to me, or the court reporter may.

O Understood Understood Talthern any reason



Page 5

why you cannot give true and accurate testimony today?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Dr. Rhyne, could you please just for the record confirm your full name.
 - A. It's Vernon Thomas Rhyne III.
- Q. Dr. Rhyne, you're registered as a patent agent, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Dr. Rhyne, have you given many depositions in the context of an inter partes review?
 - A. I believe yesterday was my first --
- 12 Q. Okay.
 - A. -- in terms of a deposition.
 - Q. Understood. Understood. Are you aware that there is an office patent trial practice guide that spells out some guidelines that may be a little different from litigation depositions?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Let me go ahead and give you -- what I'm going to do is actually read into the record one of the -- one of the sets of guidelines that are specific to inter partes review. And after I read this we can talk about it and make sure that you understand what is required here. Well, first of all,

undorationd that this is a gross agamination



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

