

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
Patent No. 8,141,154

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Palo Alto Networks, Inc.
Petitioner

v.

Finjan, Inc.
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154
Filing Date: June 14, 2010
Issue Date: March 20, 2012

Title: System and Method for Inspecting
Dynamically Generated Executable Code

Inter Partes Review No. 2015-01979

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	2
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	3
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	3
	D. Service Information	3
	E. Power of Attorney.....	4
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	4
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108.....	4
	A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
	B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	4
	C. Status of the Cited References as Prior Art	5
	D. Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	6
V.	BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE '154 PATENT	6
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '154 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY.....	8
	A. Brief Description of the '154 Patent	8
	B. Priority Date of the Petitioned Claims	9
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	9
	A. Legal Overview.....	9
	B. Construction of “first function” (All Petitioned Claims).....	9
	C. Construction of “second function” (All Petitioned Claims)	10
	D. Construction of “transmitter” (Claims 1-3 and 6-8).....	11
	E. Construction of “receiver” (Claims 1-3 and 6-8).....	12
VIII.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART	13
IX.	THE PETITIONED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE OVER THE PRIOR ART	13
	A. Overview of Khazan	14
	B. Overview of Sirer.....	16
	C. Overview of Ben-Natan	17

;

Table of Contents
(continued)

D.	Khazan, Sirer, and Ben-Natan Are All Analogous Art.....	18
X.	CLAIMS 1-8, 10, AND 11 OF THE '154 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	18
A.	Ground 1 – Claims 1 - 5 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Khazan in View of Sirer	18
1.	Claim 1.....	19
a.	Claim 1 preamble 1[a]	19
b.	Claim element 1[b]	19
c.	Claim element 1[c].....	19
d.	Claim element 1[d]	20
e.	Claim element 1[e].....	27
f.	Claim element 1[f]	29
g.	Claim element 1[g]	30
h.	Claim element 1[h]	34
i.	Claim element 1[i]	36
j.	Claim element 1[j]	37
2.	Claim 2.....	39
a.	Claim element 2[a].....	39
b.	Claim element 2[b]	40
3.	Claim 3.....	41
4.	Claim 4.....	41
a.	Claim 4 preamble 4[a]	42
b.	Claim element 4[b]	42
c.	Claim element 4[c].....	42
d.	Claim element 4[d]	43
e.	Claim element 4[e].....	43
f.	Claim element 4[f]	43
g.	Claim element 4[g]	44
h.	Claim element 4[h]	44
i.	Claim element 4[i]	44
j.	Claim element 4[j]	45
k.	Claim element 4[k]	45

Table of Contents
(continued)

5.	Claim 5.....	45
B.	Ground 2 – Claims 6 – 8, 10, and 11 Are Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Khazan in View Sierer and further in view of Ben-Natan	46
1.	Claim 6.....	46
	a. Claim 6 preamble 6[a]	46
	b. Claim element 6[b]	46
	c. Claim element 6[c].....	46
	d. Claim element 6[d]	47
	e. Claim element 6[e].....	47
	f. Claim element 6[f]	47
	g. Claim element 6[g]	51
	h. Claim element 6[h]	51
	i. Claim element 6[i]	52
	j. Claim element 6[j]	53
2.	Claim 7.....	54
	a. Claim preamble 7[a]	54
	b. Claim element 7[b]	54
	c. Claim element 7[c].....	55
3.	Claim 8.....	55
4.	Claim 10.....	55
	a. Claim 10 preamble 10[a]	56
	b. Claim element 10[b]	56
	c. Claim element 10[c].....	56
	d. Claim element 10[d]	56
	e. Claim element 10[e].....	57
	f. Claim element 10[f]	57
	g. Claim element 10[g]	57
	h. Claim element 10[h]	58
	i. Claim element 10[i]	58
	j. Claim element 10[j]	59
	k. Claim element 10[k]	59

Table of Contents
(continued)

5.	Claim 11	59
C.	No Secondary Considerations.....	60
XI.	CONCLUSION	60

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.